


A very mysterious foundation

Some 3,000 scientists, including more than 100 Nobel laureates, have apparently accepted membership of a body called the World Innovation Foundation (WIF), which claims to be a powerful world-changing network to provide “the technological tools and miracle technologies that we shall all need to solve the world’s impending global problems”.

No fewer than four Nobel laureates hold executive positions in the WIF’s governance, according to its website: Jerome Karle, William Knowles, Robert Huber, and Yuan Lee. Huber, described as vice-president, claims that he has no recollection of joining the organization. “I am not aware what this organization is,” he says.

Yuan Lee, a 1986 Nobel laureate in chemistry, says he has had “very limited” involvement with the foundation that amounted to accepting a 2002 invitation to join, signing a WIF letter opposing the Iraq war, and accepting in 2004 the position of the WIF ‘national representative’ in Taiwan. Since accepting this position he has had no dealings with the WIF, he says.

Nature polled several WIF fellows who advertise their fellowships on their websites. What emerges is a pattern whereby scientists join on the strength of the list of existing members, but know little about the foundation or its activities. “I was invited under the signature of a Nobel laureate. It looked as if it was going to do some good in developing countries,” says Satya N. Atluri, the Samuelli/Von Karman



Metaphysical: the proposed US\$22.5 billion Open Research Establishment.

“There is no way of knowing whether the WIF is active or defunct.”

aerospace engineering chair at the University of California, Irvine, who accepted a fellowship. “So far, I am involved in nothing.”

The WIF, which has no full-time staff or offices, was founded by David Hill, who runs a construction consultancy in Huddersfield, in West Yorkshire, UK. He first registered the WIF as a series of private UK companies in the 1990s, and then in 2005, as a foundation in Bern, Switzerland. Hill, who holds an honorary doctorate from the National Academy of Kyrgyzstan, continues to operate the WIF from Huddersfield alongside his private business and says he spends half his time on the foundation free of charge.

Bern’s Chamber of Commerce lists the WIF’s current legal structure as a foundation with four directors: Hill; Lutz Baehr, former executive director of the African Development Bank;

Christopher Leuch, a Bern-based attorney specializing in tax matters; and Dialog Treuhand, a Bern-based accounting firm. Although a charity, its accounts are not public. Under Swiss law a foundation has no obligation to publish its accounts, says Leuch. Hill says he was “not aware that the accounts were not available”, but that he must wait on incoming board members to decide if he has permission to show them.

“Members of the future WIF board are aware of WIF’s structural and financial shortcomings, but we hope to overcome these this year,” adds Baehr. “To draw, however, from these existing shortcomings, conclusions that question the state

of the WIF, will not do justice to this process, to the integrity of those involved in this process, or to the cause of the WIF and its steadily growing fellowship.”

The promised land

Among the WIF’s stated goals are the creation “for the future benefit of all humankind” of the ORE-STEM Complex, a proposed US\$22.5 billion proposal it describes as a “scientific, technological, engineering and manufacturing complex — the world’s largest open-research establishment. Equipped and operational for 20,000 leading-edge scientists, engineers, technologists and innovators”. It has been a goal for a decade, but so far it exists only as a web page on the WIF’s site. The plan is still in a preparatory phase, says Hill, “the drawings and documents for the ORE-STEM are confidential”.

Brian Ralph, a materials scientist who is now

Creationists launch ‘science’ journal

The organization that last year opened a US\$27-million creation museum in Kentucky has started its own ‘peer-reviewed’ scientific research journal.

On 9 January, Answers in Genesis, a Christian ministry run by evangelical Ken Ham, launched *Answers Research Journal (ARJ)*, a free, online publication devoted to research on “recent Creation and the global Flood within a biblical framework”. Papers will be peer reviewed by those who “support the positions taken by the journal”, according

to editor-in-chief Andrew Snelling, a geologist based in Brisbane, Australia.

“There have been these kinds of publications in the past,” says Keith Miller, a geologist at Kansas State University in Manhattan, who follows creationism. For the most part, he says, the work is ignored by the scientific community. But those without a science background, including some policy-makers, may not be able to judge the difference in value of a paper in *ARJ* and a genuine science journal.

Recent court rulings make it all but impossible for intelligent design, a belief that a higher being shaped evolution, to be taught in US public schools. Nevertheless, creationists still try to discourage the teaching of evolution and other scientific theories at the local level, according to Eugenie Scott, executive director of the National Center for Science Education, an education watchdog in Oakland, California. Publications such as *ARJ* are part of the continued battle to excise science from local



**MUSE: THE MATHS
BEHIND GROUP SHOWERS**
Read *Nature's* online
columns.
[www.nature.com/news/
opinion/index.html](http://www.nature.com/news/opinion/index.html)

D. J. GREEN/ALAMY

retired from Brunel University in Uxbridge, UK, was among the first people invited in those early days and served on the WIF's board. But he became quickly disillusioned, he says, and has had nothing to do with the WIF since. "My impression was that Hill had lots of big ideas, but few results," says Ralph, adding that "Hill did seem to be able to attract people to go on headed notepaper by the bucketload".

The foundation's main current activity is providing scientific advice on sustainable development to governments worldwide, says Hill. He seems to be a one-man Davos conference, dropping names of ministers, ambassadors and heads of states that he has met, noting nonchalantly that he had a chat with Mikhail Gorbachev recently at a Nobel peace summit.

The foundation does have at least one project in the public domain. Baehr is testing a Vietnamese herbal remedy for heroin addiction — a project he began at the United Nations (see *Nature* 433, 568–569; 2005). The WIF has helped to open doors, says Ludger Wessjohann, an organic chemist at the Leibniz Institute of Plant Biochemistry in Weinberg, Germany, who is involved in the project. Hill says the WIF also has projects spanning from avian flu, to bringing solar electricity to rural Africa, to building research centres in Kazakhstan and Kyrgyzstan. But it is difficult to find out more, because the details, says Hill, are "confidential".

"It is a mysterious organization," says Ian Pearson, a WIF fellow and a former futurologist at British Telecom, "I was invited to join a couple of years ago and allowed them to re-use one of my articles for their web site, and that is the last contact I had ... It says at the outset that it works in secrecy, so of course there is no way of knowing whether it is extremely active or totally defunct." Pearson adds that he is likely to remove the WIF honours from his website. ■

Declan Butler

curricula, she says. "Creation science is alive and well and appealing to a substantial minority of the American public."

Miller, himself an evangelical Christian, says that scientists must be careful when responding to the launch of *ARJ*. Taking too strong a stand against the journal will fuel creationists' accusations of scientific 'bias' against religion, he argues. Researchers should instead try to educate non-scientists about the scientific process, he says. ■

Geoff Brumfiel

Huge crystal baffles chemists

A giant molecular bauble, by far the largest single-molecule crystal ever made, is confounding chemists. Involving almost 500 silver atoms, the crystals are so large and complex that their creators cannot figure out their structure.

Each of the 30 crystals made by Dieter Fenske of the University of Karlsruhe in Germany and his colleagues is thought to contain 490 silver atoms linked by 188 sulphur atoms and 114 organic groups, $\text{Ag}_{490}\text{S}_{188}(\text{StC}_5\text{H}_{11})_{114}$. "This is an idealized structure" based on energy calculations, explains Fenske, who published the structure this month (C. E. Anson *et al.* *Angew. Chem. Int. Edn* doi:10.1002/anie.200704249; 2008).

"With structures that size you are pushing the crystallography technique right to its limit," says chemist Paul Raithby at the University of Bath, UK. Yet, he adds: "As far as crystallography and mass spectrometry can prove anything, this structure is as definitively proved as you could get."

Fenske's molecules, described as 'clusters', produce crystals that are about 3 nanometres in diameter. The crystals have a well-defined outer 'shell' that is possible, though not simple, to characterize using X-ray diffraction.


But delve beneath the crystals' outer shell and things get a lot more complicated. Rather than the regular structure seen in a typical crystal, Fenske's clusters contain disorder: a void, filled by silver and sulphur atoms linked together in haphazard disarray. Molecules of silver sulphide (Ag_2S) can be arranged in a number of different geometries, including cubic, octahedral or dodecahedral. The disorder inside Fenske's cluster is so great that he can't tell what the geometry is in any of his samples. He describes the interior as looking "molten".

The crystals have been tricky to define in more than one way: even though they grow in a crystalline way, the disordered core causes a problem. "If the interior of the particles is 'mobile' then they are not crystals," says Frank Leusen, a crystallographer at Bradford University, UK. And are they molecules? Some say yes, others are not so sure. "These systems are at the boundary between molecular chemistry and bulk materials chemistry," says Raithby. "I am at a bit of a loss finding an appropriate term," adds Leusen.

Because of their huge size, Fenske's clusters may have characteristics that transcend the

limits of molecular chemistry and enter the realm of macroscopic particles. For example, they may have interesting electrical properties.

The sheer size and complexity of the clusters mean that their internal structure cannot be revealed using X-ray diffraction. The technique uses X-rays that are reflected off the atoms in a rotating crystal, creating patterns of spots. These spots are converted into a map of the electron density around the atoms, and eventually the molecule's structure is calculated. But with larger molecules the number of spots increases, the spots get closer together and can even overlap. Also the



Atomic mess: the exact structure of this giant crystal may prove impossible to determine.

intensity of each spot decreases as molecules get bigger.

Fenske instead calculated likely arrangements for the different internal geometries that Ag_2S could adopt within the known molecular mass. The most tightly packed arrangement, Fenske calculated, involves 490 silver atoms, making it by far the largest cluster reported.

"The research in this paper is spectacular," says Bill Clegg, a crystallographer at Newcastle University, UK.

But Fenske is not stopping there. In the same mixture that produced the black crystals he thinks he has a cluster that contains 800 silver atoms, the structure of which he is grappling with at the moment. "I'm pretty sure we can get larger particles," he says. ■

Katharine Sanderson