www.nature.com/cdd

Investigation of the role of the C-terminus of Bax and of tc-Bid on Bax interaction with yeast mitochondria

Muriel Priault^{1,4}, Pierre-François Cartron², Nadine Camougrand¹, Bruno Antonsson³, François M. Vallette² and Stéphen Manon^{*,1}

- ¹ UMR 5095 CNRS/Université de Bordeaux 2, 1 Rue Camille Saint-Saëns, F-33077 Bordeaux, France
- ² UR 419 INSERM/Université de Nantes, 9 Quai Moncousu, F-44035 Nantes, France
- ³ Serono Pharmaceutical Research Institute, 14 Chemin des Aulx, CH-1228 Plan-les-Ouates, Switzerland
- ⁴ Current address: Département de Biologie Cellulaire, Sciences III, 30 Quai Ernest Ansermet, CH-1211 Genève 4, Switzerland
- Corresponding author: S. Manon, UMR 5095 CNRS/Université de Bordeaux 2, 1 Rue Camille Saint-Saëns, F-33077 Bordeaux cedex, France Tel: + 33-556-99-90-45; Fax: + 33-556-99-90-51; E-mail: manon@ibgc.u-bordeaux2.fr

Received 12.12.02; revised 03.1.03; accepted 04.1.03 Edited by S Roy

Abstract

Because of structural homology with the transmembrane domain of Bcl-2, the proapoptotic protein Bax has been proposed to be anchored to the outer membrane of mitochondria through its carboxy-terminal end (CT). We took advantage of the absence of Bcl-2 family members in yeast to further investigate the role of Bax CT in its mitochondrial association and function. The complete deletion or the addition of a C-terminal c-myc tag as well as the replacement of CT by a random coiled sequence enhanced membrane insertion of Bax. It has previously been suggested that conformational change in the N-terminal end of Bax would allow the C-terminal end to play its anchoring function. We found that a mutant truncated in both N- and C-termini still exhibited a strong binding activity to mitochondria. In mammals, Bax interaction with the caspase-8-generated truncated form of Bid (tc-Bid) is believed to promote a conformational change necessary for the insertion of Bax into mitochondria. We coexpressed Bax and tc-Bid in yeast and found that native Bax functions are not stimulated by tc-Bid, whereas functions of an active variant with a modified CT are. We propose that Bax CT has to undergo a conformational change to allow the insertion of Bax in mitochondria but, contrary to current views, is not a bona fide membrane anchor. Cell Death and Differentiation (2003) 10, 1068-1077. doi:10.1038/ sj.cdd.4401270

Keywords: apoptosis, Bax; Bid; addressing; cytochrome *c* release; yeast mitochondria

Abbreviations: CT, C-terminal α-helix; DPMS, dolichol-phosphate mannose synthase; MAC, mitochondrial apoptosis-induced channel; PGK, phosphoglycerate kinase; PVDF, polyvinyl difluoride; SDS-PAGE, sodium dodecyl sulphate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis; TCA, trichloroacetic acid

Introduction

Apoptosis, the best described type of programmed cell death, is a highly regulated phenomenon, and is under the control of numerous proteins, among which stand proteins of the Bcl-2 family.¹ Members of the Bcl-2 family are either pro- or antiapoptotic, and may exhibit a total or partial mitochondrial localization.² Indeed, one of their main targets is mitochondria, where they control the release of apoptogenic factors from mitochondria to the cytosol.³ One of the most crucial steps in the implementation of apoptosis is the translocation, from cytosol to the mitochondrial outer membrane, of proapoptotic protein Bax.^{4,5} Bax is a relatively hydrophilic protein and its translocation and insertion into the mitochondrial outer membrane following apoptosis induction probably requires profound conformational changes.⁶

The 3D structure of Bax strongly resembles that of antiapoptotic members of the family, such as Bcl-2 or Bcl-x_L.⁷ The mitochondrial localization of Bcl-2 and Bcl-x_L is driven by their C-terminal α -helix, which play the role of anchors spanning the mitochondrial outer membrane (as well as other intracellular membranes); actually, suppression of this α -helix in antiapoptotic members of the Bcl-2 family impairs membrane localization and function both in yeast^{8–10} and mammalian cells.^{11–12}

The anchoring function of the C-terminal *a*-helix of proapoptotic Bax (Bax CT) is far less clear. Bax does not spontaneously interact with mitochondria, and when isolated from the rest of the protein, the CT does not behave like a membrane anchor,^{13,14} despite the fact that peptides derived from this sequence can disrupt the organization of lipid bilayers.¹⁵ Goping et al.¹⁶ observed that suppression of the Nterminal end of Bax increased its insertion into the mitochondrial outer membrane and proposed that this N-terminal part of the protein interacts with the C-terminal anchoring sequence, thus interfering with the targeting of Bax to mitochondria, and accounting for its withholding in the cytosol. Wood and Newcomb¹⁷ as well as Cartron et al.¹⁸ confirmed the positive effect of the N-terminus deletion on binding to mitochondria, but Cartron et al.18 further reported that a variant deprived of both N- and C-terminal ends exhibited a stronger binding to mitochondria than the single N-terminusdeleted variant. In addition, and in disfavour with an anchoring function of the C-terminal end, a Bax variant only deprived of its C-terminal end still interacts with mitochondria and exhibits a killing function, both in yeast⁹⁻¹⁰ and in mammalian cells.¹³ Consequently, the consensus about a conformational change involving both N- and C- terminal ends of Bax and leading to its insertion into the mitochondrial outer membrane is still challenged by the fact that the respective function of these domains regarding Bax addressing remains unclear.

In the present paper, we re-evaluated the function of the Cterminal end of Bax by using the yeast system, which allows one to express Bax variants, independently of the presence of endogenous Bax and other Bcl-2 family members. Our data show that the C-terminus of Bax is not a membrane anchor but, on the contrary, that alterations that mimic a conformational change of the C-terminus promote Bax/mitochondria interaction and further activation, independently of the presence of the N-terminus. We further extended these studies by co-expressing Bid or its activated truncated form tc-Bid with active or inactive variants of Bax in yeast as tc-Bid has been proposed to be required for Bax to acquire its active conformation.¹⁹⁻²¹ We showed that tc-Bid stimulates the function of an activated Bax with a CT variant, but is not sufficient to activate Bax with a wild-type CT.

Results

Alterations of Bax CT improve its binding to mitochondria but not necessary its killing efficiency

Previous reports showed that C-terminal c-*myc*-tagged human Bax is very efficient in killing yeast, and that this death is accompanied by cytochrome *c* release and is fully prevented by co-expression of Bcl-x_L.^{8,9,22,23}

Since the introduction of a c-myc tag at the C-terminal end of Bax already represented a modification from the native protein, a new series of Bax variants was engineered on the basis of untagged human Bax. In the first variant, amino acids 173–192 of Bax were replaced by the homologous sequence of Bcl- x_L (variant BaxXL). In the second variant, they were replaced by a hydrophilic sequence designed to acquire a random coil structure (variant BaxRC) (Figure 1). Comparison of the C-terminal sequences (160-end) of variants Bax, Bax-c*myc*, BaxXL and BaxRC shows that the marked hydrophobic character of $\alpha 9$ is conserved in the BaxXL variant, but is compromised in variants BaxRC, which is essentially hydrophilic, as well as in Bax-c-*myc*, due to the hydrophilic tag (Figure 2).

It may be noted that, in addition to the hydrophobic character, p*I* of the C-terminal end of the protein is changed by the modifications: p*I* of the C-terminus of Bax is 10.0, because of the presence of two lysines in positions 189/190. This high p*I* is slightly decreased in BaxXL (8.8) and more strongly decreased in BaxRC (6.8) and Bax-c-*myc* (6.3). Consequently, at physiological pH, the C-terminal ends of Bax and BaxXL are positively charged, whereas that of BaxRC is neutral, and that of Bax-c-*myc* is slightly negative. It is also noteworthy that the variant Bax Δ C-c-*myc*, which exhibits a strong cytochrome *c*-release activity only partially reversed by the coexpression of Bcl-x_L, has a C-terminal end (the c-*myc* tag) with a very low pl of 4.4.

The variant proteins (BaxXL and BaxRC) as well as the native form of Bax were assayed for their ability to kill yeast, to insert in mitochondria and to release cytochrome *c*.

In contrast with Bax-c-*myc*, native human Bax was unable to kill yeast (Figure 3a). Replacement of α 9-helix by that of Bcl-x_L did not significantly increase the killing efficiency, whereas replacement of α 9-helix by a random coil sequence remarkably increased the cytotoxicity of BaxRC compared to native Bax.

According to its innocuousness, native Bax was absent from purified mitochondria after 6 h of expression, under

Bax

MDCSGEQPRG GGPTSSEQIM KTGALLLQGF IQDRAGRMGG BAPELALDPV PQDASTKKLS ECLKRIGDEL DSNMELQRMI AAVDTDSPRE VFFRVAADMF SDGNFNWGRV VALFYFASKL VLKALCTKVP BLIRTINGWT LDFLRERLLG WIQDQGGWDG LLSYFGTPTW QT<u>VTIFVAGV LTASLTIWKK MG</u>

Bax-c-mpc

MDGSGEQPRG GGPTSSEQIM KTGALLLQGF IQDRAGRMGG EAPELALDPV PQDASTKKLS ECLKRIGDEL DSNMELQRMI AAVDTDSPRE VFFRVAADMF SDGNFNWGRV VALFYFASKL VLKALCTKVP ELIRTIMGWT LDFLRERLLG WIQDQGGWDG LLSYFGTPTW QT<u>VTIFVACV</u> LTASLTIWKK MGSREQKLIS EEDLNG

BaxXL

NDGSGEQPRG GGPTSSEQIM KTGALLLQGF IQDRAGRMGG EAPELALDPV PQDASTKKLS ECLKRIGDEL DSNMELQRMI AAVDTDSPRE VFFRVAADMF SDGNFNWGRV VALFYFASKL VLKALCTKVP ELIRTIMGWT LDFLRERLLG WIQDQGGWDG LLSYFGTPTW Q*IDWFLTGMT VAGVVLLGSL LFSR*K

BaxRC

NDGSGEQPRG GGPTSSEQIM KTGALLLQGF IQDRAGRMGG EAPELALDPV PODASTKKLS ECLKRIGDEL DSNMELQRMI AAVDTDSPRE VFFRVAADMF SDGNFNWGRV VALFYFASKL VLKALCTKVP ELIRTIMGWT LDFLRERLLG WIQDQGGWDG LLSYFGTPTW QIGLVPDGHD CGRRGSAGLT LQSEMSGRSS MILEGREM

Figure 1 Primary structure of Bax variants. Deleted sequences in Bax Δ N, Bax Δ C and Bax Δ N Δ C variants are underlined; c-*myc* tag is in bold; altered sequences in BaxXL and BaxRC are in italic



Figure 2 Structure analyses of the C-terminal ends of Bax variants. Kyte–Doolittle plots were generated on 160-end parts of the different variants with a seven aminoacid window using the FASTA program at the University of Virginia (http://fasta.bioch.virginia.edu/o_fasta/grease.htm) . p/values were calculated on 170-end parts of the variants using the p/ tool at Swiss-Prot (http://www.expasy.org/tools/pi_tool.html)

conditions where both BaxXL and BaxRC exhibited a mitochondrial localization (Figure 3b).

In contrast to native Bax, BaxRC did have a significant effect on the release of cytochrome c (Figure 3c). The lack of release induced by native Bax is in accordance with its absence from mitochondrial membrane. BaxXL only induced a marginal release of cytochrome *c*. This seems surprising, considering its presence in mitochondria, but correlates well with previous observations in mammalian cells, where BaxXL has a constitutive mitochondrial localization without any proapoptotic effect.²⁴ This indicates that insertion of Bax into mitochondrial membrane is a necessary but insufficient step for its action.

Taken together, these data suggest that replacement of the C-terminus of Bax by a less hydrophobic sequence does not impair but, on the contrary, improves its capacity to bind to yeast mitochondria, to induce the release of cytochrome *c*, and to promote cell death. This is not the behaviour expected from a mitochondria-targeting/insertion sequence.

Role of the interaction between C- and N-terminal ends of Bax

Goping *et al.*¹⁶ reported that an N-truncated variant of Bax, deprived from the 20 first amino acids, was able to interact

Cell Death and Differentiation

strongly with mitochondria. These observations were further confirmed by the fact that naturally occurring variants with similar characteristics exhibited strong proapoptotic activity^{17,18}. Goping et al.¹⁷ proposed that the N-terminal end of Bax prevented the interaction of the C-terminal anchoring sequence with mitochondrial membrane. In an attempt to verify this hypothesis, three new variants were engineered, lacking the N-terminus end (Bax ΔN), the C-terminus end (Bax Δ C) or both ends (Bax Δ N Δ C). The three mutants exhibited the same killing efficiency, higher than that of native Bax (Figure 4a), and also a high cytochrome creleasing activity (Figure 4c). Measurement of their interaction with mitochondria showed that both $Bax\Delta N$ and $Bax\Delta C$ mutants were strongly anchored in the mitochondrial outer membrane since they were not released by Triton X-100 (Figure 4b). The double-truncated mutant, however, was sensitive to Triton X-100 (like native Bax), but was present in mitochondria in similar amounts as $Bax\Delta N$ and $Bax\Delta C$ (and higher amounts than native Bax). Taken together, these data show that the double truncated mutant Bax $\Delta N\Delta C$ is as efficient as $Bax\Delta N$ and $Bax\Delta C$ to promote the release of cytochrome c. This shows that, even when the N-terminus is removed, the C-terminus is not required to allow the release of cytochrome c. This is an additional strong argument against an anchoring function of the C-terminus, whether or not the N-terminus is present.

1070

Bax interaction with yeast mitochondria M Priault *et al*



Figure 3 Comparison of native Bax, BaxXL and BaxRC. (a) Cell death induced by the expression of native Bax (\Box), BaxXL (\diamond) and BaxRC (\triangle). Cells were grown in lactate medium, and then transferred to a galactose medium. At indicated times, aliquots of 200 cells were spread on YPD plates and the number of growing colonies was counted after a 3-day incubation at 28°C. (b) Western blots of mitochondrial proteins (200 μ g) with anti-Bax antibody N20 after 6 h of expression. (c) Cytochrome *c* content of mitochondria isolated from the strains expressing the different variants after 6 h of expression

Bid or tc-Bid-coexpression does not allow Bax to acquire an active conformation in yeast but tc-Bid stimulated the effect of an activated Bax variant

According to Desagher and Martinou,⁵ Bid would help Bax to acquire its active conformation. We assayed its effect in yeast and therefore coexpressed full-length Bid and native Bax or Bax-c-myc. In the latter case, Bax-c-myc was expressed under the control of the weak promoter *tet*-off, in order to be able to observe a putative stimulating effect of Bid. Although full-length Bid was found to have some effects in mammalian mitochondria, the most active form of the protein is the



Figure 4 Comparison of Bax Δ N, Bax Δ C and Bax Δ N Δ C. (a) Cell death induced by the expression of native Bax (\Box), Bax Δ N (upward triangles), Bax Δ C (\bigtriangledown) or Bax Δ N Δ C (\diamond) was measured at the indicated times after galactose addition, as in Figure 3a. (b) Mitochondria were isolated from the different strains 6 h after galactose addition. Mitochondria (1 mg ml⁻¹) were incubated for 10 min on ice in the absence (lane 1) or in the presence of 0.1 M Na₂CO₃ (lane 2), 0.1% Triton X-100 (lane 3) or 1% Triton X-100 (lane 4), then centrifuged (15 min, 105 000 × g) and proteins were separated on SDS-PAGE, transferred on PVDF membranes and blotted with anti-(murine)Bax Δ C21 antibody. A measure of 800 μ g mitochondrial proteins was loaded with Bax, while 200 μ g were loaded with the three other variants (c) Cytochrome *c* content of isolated mitochondria was measured after 6 h of expression, as in Figure 3c



Figure 5 Effects of Bid and tc-Bid on Bax and Bax-c-*myc*-induced cell death. (a) W303/Bax (\blacksquare), W303/Bax/Bid (\blacklozenge) and W303/Bax/tcBid (\bigtriangledown) strains were grown in lactate medium and then transferred to galactose medium. At different time, aliquots of 200 cells were spread on YPD plates and the number of growing colonies was counted after 3 days. (b) Wtb1, Wtb1/Bid and Wtb1/tcBid were grown in galactose medium in the presence of doxycyclin, then washed and transferred in the same medium without doxycyclin and the number of growing colonies was countered after a 3-day-incubation at 28°C. (c) Cytochrome *c* content was measured on isolated mitochondria after 8 h of (co)expression

caspase-8-generated 15 kDa C-terminal fragment termed tc-Bid.²⁵ A gene encoding this truncated form was then also built and tc-Bid protein was assayed in parallel to Bid.

From data reported in Figure 5a, Bid and tc-Bid did not allow native Bax to acquire a killing effect in yeast. This shows that, when expressed in yeast, independently of the mammalian apoptotic network, Bid and tc-Bid are unable to induce the conformational change of Bax allowing its activation.

Bid and tc-Bid were therefore assayed on the kinetics of cell death induced by the activated form Bax-c-*myc* (Figure 5b). Bid did not have any stimulating effect; on the contrary, tc-Bid significantly stimulated the rate of Bax-c-*myc*-induced cell death.

This stimulation of Bax-c-*myc* effects by tc-Bid was even more obvious on the release of cytochrome c (Figure 5c). Bid and tc-Bid alone or in combination with native Bax are not able to induce any release of cytochrome c, in accordance with the lack of effects on cell death. When expressed under the control of the weak promoter *tet*-off, Bax-c-*myc* is able to kill yeast (see Figure 5b), but this death happens without a significant release of cytochrome c (Figure 5c; see also Priault *et al.*²⁶ and Roucou *et al.*²⁷). In accordance with the killing assays, Bid coexpression with Bax-c-*myc* did not induce any release of cytochrome c, while tc-Bid and Bax-c-*myc* coexpression induced a massive release of cytochrome c.

Localization of Bid and tc-Bid in yeast cells and their effect on the localization of Bax-*c*-myc

Although Bid is strongly expressed in yeast cells, it was hardly found in mitochondrial and microsomal membranes, but was



Figure 6 Localization of Bid and tc-Bid in yeast. (a) W303 (-bid) or W303/Bid (+bid) spheroplasts (spher.) were fractionated to a mitochondria-enriched fraction (mitoch.), a microsomal fraction (microsomes) and a post-microsomal fraction (supernat.). A measure of 250 μ g proteins from each fraction was loaded in each lane. Bid was revealed with an anti-Bid antibody directed against the purified protein,¹⁹ which reveals native Bid at 28 kDa and some degradation products below 20 kDa. (b) A measure of 800 μ g of mitochondrial proteins isolated from cells expressing Bax-c-*myc* alone or both Bax-c-*myc* and Bid was blotted with the anti-Bid antibody showing that Bid can be found at trace levels in the mitochondrial fraction. (c) A measure of 200 μ g of mitochondrial proteins from W303/Bid, W303/tBid or Wtb1/tcBid was separated by SDS-PAGE and blotted with the anti-Bid antibody revealed by Western blot. At this amount of proteins, Bid cannot be detected in mitochondria, contrary to tcBid which appears as a 15 kDa band. The presence of Bax-c-*myc* increases the amount of mitochondrial tc-Bid

mostly found in the cytosol (Figure 6a). However, trace amounts of Bid could be detected on blots made with concentrated mitochondrial proteins (Figure 6b), showing that Bid is marginally able to bind to yeast mitochondria. On the contrast, tc-Bid could be detected in purified mitochondria, under conditions where Bid was not (Figure 6c). Interestingly, the amount of mitochondrial tc-Bid was increased when it was coexpressed with the activated variant Bax-c-myc (Figure 6c).

Conversely, localization of Bax-c-*myc* was investigated, following its coexpression with tc-Bid. When expressed in yeast, activated variant Bax-c-*myc* is found in all fractions including the cytosol, microsomes and mitochondria (Figure 7a). It appears clearly that tc-Bid did not increase the amount of Bax-c-*myc* localized in mitochondria (Figure 7b).

Discussion

Previous data were obtained on Bax variants carrying a Cterminal c-*myc* tag. Bax-c-*myc* was found to be highly efficient to kill yeast,⁸ to insert into mitochondrial membrane^{10,23} and



Figure 7 Effect of tcBid on Bax-c-*myc* localization. (a) Wtb1 cells were incubated for 14 h in the absence of doxycyclin (induction of Bax-c-*myc*) and spheroplasts were obtained by zymolyase treatment. Cells were homogenized and centrifuged for 20 min at $2.500 \times g$ to eliminate unbroken cell and cell debris. The supernatant was layered on the top of a 10 ml 25–65% continuous sucrose gradient in 10 mM potassium phosphate (pH 7.2) and centrifuged for 90 min at 105 000 $\times g$. Fractions (0.5 ml) were collected and proteins were recovered by TCA precipitation, separated on 12.5% SDS-PAGE and blotted with anti-cox2 (cytochrome *c* oxidase subunit 2; mitochondrial) anti-PGK (cytosolic) and anti-DPMS (reticulum) as well as anti-Bax and anti-c-*myc*-tag antibodies. The anti-tag antibody allows one to vizualize the N-terminally truncated forms at 18 and 15 kDa, which are spontaneously generated as the same time as membrane insertion, as already reported.¹⁰ Left to right is top to bottom. (b) Wtb1 (-) or Wtb1/tcBid (+) cells were fractionated and Bax-c-*myc* was revealed with anti-BaxN20 antibody

to induce massive cytochrome *c* release.²² As expected from specific effects related to proapoptotic activity of Bax, coexpression of antiapoptotic Bcl-x_L fully prevented all Bax-induced hallmarks.^{8,10,22,23}

The absence of amino acids 173–192, containing the helix α 9 of Bax,⁷ did not change the ability of the c-*myc*-tagged protein to kill yeast.^{9,10} Furthermore, C-terminal-truncated Bax Δ C-c-*myc* did insert in mitochondrial membrane and was able to release cytochrome *c* with the same efficiency as fullength Bax-c-*myc*.¹⁰ Interestingly, the protective effect of Bcl-x_L co-expression was modified: Bcl-x_L only partially protected yeast against the killing effect of Bax Δ C-c-*myc*⁹ and, accordingly, did not fully prevent cytochrome *c* release induced by Bax Δ C-c-*myc*.¹⁰ A truncated form of Bcl-x_L, lacking amino acids 204–233, was less efficient than full-length Bcl-x_L in protecting yeast against the effects of Bax-c-*myc*,^{9,10} in accordance with previous reports showing an absolute requirement of the C-terminal α -helix of Bcl-x_L for its

insertion into mitochondrial membrane.⁸ The effects of the C-terminally truncated Bax Δ C-c-*myc* variant were not prevented by Bcl-x_L nor, obviously, by Bcl-x_L Δ C.

Since the C-terminal α -helix of antiapoptotic proteins such as Bcl-2 or Bcl-x_L was shown to be responsible for their targeting to mitochondria, the same function was attributed to the C-terminal end of proapoptotic Bax. Data reported in this paper go against this hypothesis since modification or even suppression of Bax α 9-helix has a positive effect on the interaction of the protein with mitochondria. On the contrary, these data support the hypothesis that, in its native conformation, the α 9 helix prevents Bax/mitochondria interaction.

On the one hand, and as expected, the replacement of Bax α 9-helix by the homologous sequence of Bcl-x_L, which was actually shown to be a membrane anchor, allows the docking of the chimeric protein BaxXL. This confirms previous data obtained with this variant in mammalian mitochondria.²⁴

1070

However, the hybrid protein does not acquire proapoptotic activity in mammalian cells and, as expected, does not induce a massive release of cytochrome *c*, nor any killing effect in yeast. This clearly shows that anchoring of Bax in mitochondria is not enough to activate the protein.

It should be noted that, once inserted in the membrane, alterations of the C-terminal end of Bax have various effects on the function of the protein. The least perturbing modification is the addition of a c-myc tag: Bax-induced mitochondrial apoptosis-induced channel (MAC) is likely responsible for cytochrome c release in yeast, and its electrophysiological properties are indistinguishable, whether formed by native Bax in mammalian cells or Bax-c-myc in yeast cells.²⁸ Suppression of the α 9 helix does not have any direct consequences on Bax functions per se, but seems to alter further interaction with antiapoptotic Bcl-x_L, at least in yeast.910 Quite surprisingly, the most perturbating modification is the replacement of Bax α 9 helix by that of Bcl-x_L, which confers only marginal activity in yeast (this paper) and fully impairs proapoptotic function in mammalian cells.²⁴ This indicates that unregulated addressing provided by the Bcl-xL anchoring sequence does not allow Bax to acquire a fully active conformation.

Which intrinsic properties could be responsible for the conformational change of the C-terminal α 9 helix? The comparison of inactive (Bax and BaxXL) and active (Bax-c-*myc*, BaxAC, Bax Δ C, Bax Δ C-c-*myc*) variants suggests that the hydrophobic character, and also possibly the global charge, of the C-terminal end may play a role: a high hydrophobia as well as a positive charge are associated with an absence of activity. This is a functional confirmation of data gained from Bax structure determined by NMR,⁷ showing that the C-terminal end of inactive Bax (i.e. cytosolic conformation) lies in a hydrophobic pocket. Destabilization of the interaction between C-terminus and the hydrophobic zone is probably a prerequisite for the interaction of Bax with mitochondria.⁷ However, from data reported in the present paper, it would not be itself the membrane-interacting zone.

It has been demonstrated that deletion of the N-terminal end domain of Bax improved its addressing into membranes, and it was proposed that the C-terminal end, considered as the membrane anchor, was protected from membrane interaction by the N-terminus.¹⁶ Data reported herein show that the C-terminal end of Bax is not a membrane anchor, even in the absence of the N-terminus, since the doubletruncated variant still exhibits strong activity. Recent data suggest that, once the N-terminal domain is removed, the mitochondria addressing sequence might lie at the level of the $\alpha 1$ helix.²⁹ In this hypothesis, the C-terminal end of Bax would prevent the interaction of $\alpha 1$ with membranes, by interacting with the N-terminal end.

This raises the question of what is physiologically responsible for the conformational change of the C-terminal end? Bid, and more precisely its caspase-8-generated activated form tc-Bid, has been proposed to be critical for Bax activation, from experiments conducted on isolated mitochondria.²⁰ Yeast provides a neutral cellular model to assay a possible effect of (tc-)Bid on Bax/mitochondria interaction. Clearly, Bid and tc-Bid did not allow the activation of native Bax in yeast, demonstrating that (tc-)Bid/Bax interaction is not

enough to activate Bax. On the other hand, tc-Bid (but not fulllength Bid) increased the effect of an already activated variant of Bax. This effect was not related to any stimulation of localization and insertion of Bax-c-mvc in mitochondrial membranes. This suggests that the conformational change of the C-terminal end of Bax and further addressing/insertion in the mitochondrial outer membrane occurs (and is a prerequisite) before tc-Bid plays any part; the role of tc-Bid thus seems to be restricted to later steps of Bax-activation, namely the oligomerization process.^{21,30} Actually, it has been demonstrated that Bid was able to induce the formation of a cvtochrome *c*-permeable channel from Bax.³⁰ It should be noted that all the experiments in yeast demonstrate that tc-Bid is not mandatory for this process, since Bax variants are active in the absence of tc-Bid: tc-Bid may thus only stimulate an otherwise slow process.

A working model for Bax translocation to mitochondria can be drawn from these results (Figure 8). Cytosolic Bax would support a conformational change that is mimicked by alterations of the α 9 helix or the N-terminus. Activated Bax can then be inserted into the mitochondrial outer membrane. A second membrane step is the oligomerization of Bax, which would depend on tc-Bid, as well as on another still-unidentified mitochondrial protein,²¹ leading to the formation of a large channel (MAC) able to translocate cytochrome from intermembrane space to the cytosol.²⁸ It should be noted that recent data obtained on heart mitochondria support the idea that both full-length Bax and C-terminally truncated Bax, are able to permeabilize the outer mitochondrial membrane to cytochrome, without any effect on the permeability of the inner mitochondrial membrane.³¹

How would tc-Bid act? The fact that Bax-c-*myc* stimulates the membrane insertion of tc-Bid (Figure 6) suggests a direct interaction between both proteins, such as the formation of a heterodimer. But, since tc-Bid does not stimulate the membrane insertion of Bax-c-*myc*, it is likely that the





Table 1 Strains used in this study

Strain	Genotype	Phenotype
W303-1A	Mat a, ade1, his3, leu2, trp1, ura3	Wild-type
W303/Bid	Mat a, ade1, his3, leu2, trp1, ura3	
	pYES3/Bid (<i>TRP1</i>)	Expression of Bid (GAL1 promoter)
W303/tcBid	Mat a, ade1, his3, leu2, trp1, ura3	
	pYES3/tcBid (<i>TRP1</i>)	Expression of Bid (GAL1 promoter)
Wtb1	Mat a, ade1, his3, leu2, trp1, ura3	
	pCM189/Bax- <i>c-myc</i> (<i>URA3</i>)	Expression of Bax-c-myc (tet-off promoter)
Wtb1/Bid	Mat a, ade1, his3, leu2, trp1, ura3	
	pCM189/Bax-c <i>-myc (URA3)</i>	Expression of Bax-c-myc (tet-off promoter)
	pYES3/Bid (TRPI)	Expression of Bid (GAL1 promoter)
Wtb1/tc-Bid	Mat a, ade1, his3, leu2, trp1, ura3	
	pCM189/Bax-c <i>-myc (URA3)</i>	Expression of Bax- <i>c-myc</i> (<i>tet</i> -off promoter)
	pYES3/tc-Bid (<i>TRP1</i>)	Expression of tc-Bid (GAL1 promoter)
W303/Bax	Mat a, ade1, his3, leu2, trp1, ura3	
	pYES2/Bax (<i>URA3</i>)	Expression of Bax (GAL1 promoter)
W303/Bax/Bid	Mat a, ade1, his3, leu2, trp1, ura3	
	pYES2/Bax (<i>URA3</i>)	Expression of Bax (GAL1 promoter)
	pYES3/Bid (<i>TRP1</i>)	Expression of Bid (GAL1 promoter)
W303/Bax/tcBid	Mat a, ade1, his3, leu2, trp1, ura3	
	pYES2/Bax (<i>URA3</i>)	Expression of Bax (GAL1 promoter)
	pYES3/tcBid (TRP1)	Expression of tcBid (GAL1 promoter)
W303/Bax∆C	Mat a, ade1, his3, leu2, trp1, ura3	
	pYES2/Bax∆C (<i>URA3</i>)	Expression of Bax ΔC (GAL1 promoter)
W303/BaxXL	Mat a, ade1, his3, leu2, trp1, ura3	
	pYES2/BaxXL (<i>URA3</i>)	Expression of BaxXL (GAL1 promoter)
W303/BaxRC	Mat a, ade1, his3, leu2, trp1, ura3	
	pYES2/BaxRC (URA3)	Expression of BaxRC (GAL1 promoter)
W303/Bax∆N	Mat a, ade1, his3, leu2, trp1, ura3	
	pYES2/Bax∆N (<i>URA3</i>)	Expression of Bax ΔN (GAL1 promoter)
W303/Bax∆N∆C	Mat a, ade1, his3, leu2, trp1, ura3	· · · · · /
	pYES2/Bax∆N∆C (<i>URA3</i>)	Expression of Bax $\Delta N\Delta C$ (GAL1 promoter)

interaction occurs after Bax-c-*myc* is inserted. Also, the acquisition of an active form by Bax is not a spontaneous consequence of insertion, since membrane insertion is not always accompanied by full activation, as shown with the BaxXL variant. Recent data reported by Roucou *et al.*²¹ show the requirement of at least a third partner. Obviously, this partner would be present in yeast, since Bax-c-*myc* (as well as BaxRC, which is able to translocate cytochrome *c*) can be activated to a channel, even in the absence of tc-Bid. Thus, the use of yeast as a neutral cellular model for the expression of Bax variants (as well as other Bcl-2 family members) together with the use of yeast mutants altered in candidate mitochondrial proteins still represents a powerful tool to identify the molecular steps underlying the whole process.

Experimental procedures

Strains used in this study are listed in Table 1. The sequences of the different variants of Bax are listed in Figure 1. The construction of strains expressing Bax-c-*myc* and Bax Δ C-c-*myc* has been described previously.^{8,26} Untagged Bax, BaxXL and BaxRC variants were amplified by PCR from plasmid pRcCMV/bax¹³ and Bax Δ N, Bax Δ C and Bax Δ N Δ C

were amplified from plasmid pCM189/Bax-c*-myc*.²⁶ All fragments were cloned between *Eco*R1 and *Pme*1 sites of pYES2 plasmid (Invitrogen). Bid and tc-Bid were amplified by PCR from plasmid pet23D/His-Bid²⁰ and cloned between EcoR1 and Pme1 sites of pYES3/CT plasmid.

For the expression of Bax variants under the control of *tet*-off promoter, cells were grown aerobically in YNB medium (0.17% yeast nitrogen base, 0.5% ammonium sulphate, 0.1% potassium phosphate, 0.2% Drop-Mix, 2% lactate or 2% galactose as a carbon source, pH 5.5) in the presence of 10 μ g/ml doxycyclin. Induction was achieved by washing the cells three times and resuspending in the same medium without doxycyclin.

For the expression of Bax variants under the control of GAL1/10 promoter, cells were grown aerobically in YNB medium supplemented with lactate. Induction was achieved by adding 0.8% galactose. For the coexpression of Bid (or tc-Bid) with Bax-c-*myc*, cells were grown in YNB medium supplemented with 2% galactose (allowing bid or tc-bid expression) in the presence of doxycyclin (preventing the expression of Bax-c-*myc*), and then washed and resuspended in the absence of doxycyclin (allowing the expression of Bax-c-*myc*).

Cell death was measured by spreading 200 cells on complete glucose medium (YPD: yeast extract 1%, Bacto-Peptone 1%, glucose 2%, pH 5.5, added with doxycyclin 10 μ g/ml if required) to repress the expression of Bax variants. Cells able to form colonies were counted after a 3-day incubation at 28°C.

Cell fractionation was carried out on zymolyase-digested cells.²² After homogenization, a series of low-speed/high-speed centrifugations allowed to separate a mitochondriaenriched fraction, a microsomal fraction (pellet $105\,000 \times g$) and a post-microsomal fraction (supernatant $105\,000 \times g$).¹⁰ Separation of subcellular fractions on 25-65% continuous sucrose gradient was also performed (see the legend to Figure 7). Characterization of the fractions was carried out with antibodies directed against cytochrome oxidase subunit 2, dolichol-phosphate-mannose synthase (DPMS) and phosphoglycerate kinase (PGK), respectively (monoclonal mouse antibodies, Molecular Probes).

Assessment of the interaction of Bax variants with mitochondria was performed by treating mitochondria with $0.1 \text{ M} \text{ Na}_2\text{CO}_3$, or 0.1% or 1% Triton X-100 for 10 min on ice before sodium dodecyl sulphate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) separation and Western-blot analysis.

Western blots were done on protein separated on SDS-PAGE and transferred onto polyvinyl difluoride PVDF membrane (Problott, Perkin-Elmer). Polyclonal anti-human Bax antibody (N20, Santa Cruz), polyclonal anti-murine Bax antibody (Δ C21, Santa Cruz), monoclonal anti-c*-myc* tag (Santa Cruz) and polyclonal anti-human Bid antibody (directed against the purified recombinant protein¹⁹) were further revealed with secondary anti-rabbit IgG antibody-peroxidase (Jackson Laboratories) and chemiluminescence (ECL+, Amersham).

Cytochrome *c* content was measured on isolated mitochondria. Mitochondrial suspensions (5 mg/ml) were dispatched in the two cuvettes of a double-beam spectrophotometer (Aminco DW2000). The reference cuvette was oxidized with potassium ferricyanide and the sample cuvette was reduced with sodium dithionite. Difference spectra were acquired between 500 and 650 nm to obtain complete spectra of all the cytochromes, thus allowing comparison with unreleased cytochrome *b* and $a+a_3$. Cytochrome *c* amount was calculated by measuring the absorbance difference between 550 and 540 nm ($\Delta\epsilon$ =18.000 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by grants from the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, the Association pour la Recherche contre le Cancer, the Conseil Régional d'Aquitaine and the Université de Bordeaux 2. MP was the recipient of a fellowship from the Ministère de la Recherche et de la Technologie.

References

- 1. Gross A, McDonnell JM and Korsmeyer SJ (1999) BCL-2 family members and the mitochondria in apoptosis. Genes Dev. 13: 1899–1911
- 2. Martinou JC and Green DR (2001) Breaking the mitochondrial barrier. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell. Biol. 2: 63–67
- Antonsson B and Martinou JC (2000) The Bcl-2 protein family. Exp. Cell Res. 256: 50–57

- Wolter KG, Hsu YT, Smith CL, Nechushtan A, Xi XG and Youle RJ (1997) Movement of Bax from the cytosol to mitochondria during apoptosis. J. Cell Biol. 139: 1281–1292
- Desagher S and Martinou JC (2001) Mitochondria as the central control point of apoptosis. Trends Cell. Biol. 10: 369–377
- Roucou X and Martinou JC (2001) Conformational change of Bax: a question of life or death. Cell Death Diff. 8: 875–877
- Suzuki M, Youle RJ and Tjandra N (2000) Structure of Bax: coregulation of dimer formation and intracellular localization. Cell 103: 645–654
- Greenhalf W, Stephan C and Chaudhuri B (1996) Role of mitochondria and Cterminal membrane anchor of Bcl-2 in Bax induced growth arrest and mortality in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. FEBS Lett. 380: 169–175
- Clow A, Greenhalf W and Chaudhuri B (1998) Under respiratory growth conditions, Bcl-x(L) and Bcl-2 are unable to overcome yeast cell death triggered by a mutant Bax protein lacking the membrane anchor. Eur. J. Biochem. 258: 19–28
- Priault M, Camougrand N, Chaudhuri B and Manon S (1999) Role of the C-terminal domain of Bax and Bcl-XL in their localization and function in yeast cells. FEBS Lett. 443: 225–228
- Nguyen M, Millar DG, Yong VW, Korsmeyer SJ and Shore GC (1993) Targeting of Bcl-2 to the mitochondrial outer membrane by a COOH-terminal signal anchor sequence. J. Biol. Chem. 268: 25265–25268
- 12. Janiak F, Leber B and Andrews DW (1994) Assembly of Bcl-2 into microsomal and outer mitochondrial membranes. J. Biol. Chem. 269: 9842–9849
- Tremblais K, Oliver L, Juin P, Le Cabellec MT, Meflah K and Vallette FM (1999) The C-terminus of bax is not a membrane addressing/anchoring signal. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 260: 582–591
- Nechushtan A, Smith CL, Hsu YT and Youle RJ (1999) Conformation of the Bax C-terminus regulates subcellular location and cell death. EMBO J. 18: 2330–2341
- Martinez-Senac M, Corbalan-Garcia S and Gomez-Fernandez JC (2001) Conformation of the C-terminal domain of the pro-apoptotic protein Bax and mutants and its interaction with membranes. Biochemistry 40: 9983–9992
- Goping IS, Gross A, Lavoie JN, Nguyen M, Jemmerson R, Roth K, Korsmeyer SJ and Shore GC (1998) Regulated targeting of BAX to mitochondria. J. Cell Biol. 143: 207–215
- 17. Wood DE and Newcomb EW (2000) Cleavage of Bax enhances its cell death function. Exp. Cell Res. 256: 375–382
- Cartron PF, Moreau C, Oliver L, Mayat E, Meflah K and Vallette FM (2002) Involvement of the N-terminus of Bax in its intracellular localization and function. FEBS Lett. 512: 95–100
- Desagher S, Osen-Sand A, Nichols A, Eskes R, Montessuit S, Lauper S, Maundrell K, Antonsson B and Martinou JC (1999) Bid-induced conformational change of Bax is responsible for mitochondrial cytochrome *c* release during apoptosis. J. Cell Biol. 144: 891–901
- Eskes R, Desagher S, Antonsson B and Martinou JC (2000) Bid induces the oligomerization and insertion of Bax into the outer mitochondrial membrane. Mol. Cell. Biol. 20: 929–935
- Roucou X, Montessuit S, Antonsson B and Martinou JC (2002) Bax oligomerization in mitochondrial membranes requires tBid and a mitochondrial protein. Biochem. J. 368: 915–921
- 22. Manon S, Chaudhuri B and Guérin M (1997) Release of cytochrome c and decrease of cytochrome c oxidase in Bax-expressing yeast cells, and prevention of these effects by coexpression of Bcl-xL. FEBS Lett. 415: 29–32
- Priault M, Chaudhuri B, Clow A, Camougrand N and Manon S (1999) Investigation of bax-induced release of cytochrome *c* from yeast mitochondria permeability of mitochondrial membranes, role of VDAC and ATP requirement. Eur. J. Biochem. 260: 684–691
- Oliver L, Priault M, Tremblais K, Le Cabellec MT, Meflah K, Manon S and Vallette FM (2000) The substitution of the C-terminus of bax by that of bcl-xL does not affect its subcellular localization but abrogates its pro-apoptotic properties. FEBS Lett. 487: 161–165
- Li H, Zhu H, Xu CJ and Yuan J (1998) Cleavage of BID by caspase 8 mediates the mitochondrial damage in the Fas pathway of apoptosis. Cell 94: 491–501
- Priault M, Camougrand N, Chaudhuri B, Schaeffer J and Manon S (1999) Comparison of the effects of bax-expression in yeast under fermentative and respiratory conditions: investigation of the role of adenine nucleotides carrier and cytochrome *c*. FEBS Lett. 456: 232–238

- Roucou X, Prescott M, Devenish RJ and Nagley P (2000) A cytochrome c-GFP fusion is not released from mitochondria into the cytoplasm upon expression of Bax in yeast cells. FEBS Lett. 471: 235–239
- Pavlov EV, Priault M, Pietkiewicz D, Cheng EHY, Antonsson B, Manon S, Korsmeyer SJ, Mannella CA and Kinnally KW (2001) A novel, high conductance channel of mitochondria linked to apoptosis in mammalian cells and Bax expression in yeast. J. Cell Biol. 155: 725–731
- Cartron PF, Priault M, Oliver L, Meflah K, Manon S and Vallette FM (2003) The N-terminal end of bax contains a mitochondrial-targeting signal. J. Biol. Chem. 278: 11633–11641
- Roucou X, Rostovtseva T, Montessuit S, Martinou JC and Antonsson B (2002) Bid induces cytochrome *c*-impermeable Bax channels in liposomes. Biochem. J. 363: 547–552
- Appaix F, Guerrero K, Rampal D, Izikki M, Kaambre T, Sikk P, Brdiczka D, Riva-Lavieille C, Olivares J, Longuet M, Antonsson B and Saks VA (2002) Bax and heart mitochondria: uncoupling and inhibition of respiration without permeability transition. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1556: 155–167