acquaintance links per person was 42. This perhaps casts the Meaning of Life, the Universe and Everything in a new light. Our talent for procreation, however, has subsequently increased the number to 43.

This is an uneven book — perhaps an overzealous editorial hand has been at work. You will feel at home reading it if you know the detailed topography of Harvard University, what a summer salary is and why it is important, the precise nature of a proseminar, the US system of academic appointment and tenure, and East Coast Jewish culture; otherwise you may just feel a slight sense of social exclusion. On the other hand, perhaps unintentionally, the book offers an insight into a world whose public outings are usually more carefully groomed and polished in the interests of impression management.

And of course, this being *Nature*, all of the above statements are true. Or are they?

Steve Blinkhorn is at Psychometric Research and Development, Brewmaster House, The Maltings, St Albans, Herts AL1 3HT, UK.

Studying form

Jacob's Ladder: The History of the Human Genome

by Henry Gee Fourth Estate: 2004. 272 pp. £20 To be published by Norton in the US in July

Andrew Berry

Jacob's Ladder opens with an account of human embryonic development. It's a wonderful piece of writing, its lyricism inspired perhaps by Henry Gee's choice of his own daughter as the focal fetus. And it nicely sets up the book's theme: the "question of what it is that produces form from the formless". What follows is an engaging mix of history of science, evolutionary biology and molecular genetics. Much of it is familiar: we see Mendel pottering in the monastery garden, Darwin being seasick on the Beagle, and Hox gene products binding to DNA regulatory regions. But Gee, a senior editor at Nature, has stitched the material together in interesting ways and has plenty of points of his own to make.

One of Gee's messages is that, although "the story of biology can be told in an unbroken skein", the discipline's intellectual history is wilfully ignored. Too often the publication of Darwin's *The Origin of Species* in 1859 is deemed the starting point of modern biology, to the extent that "if we hear anything at all of biology before Darwin, it is brought up only to be belittled." Gee, then, is on a mission to restore the reputations of the pre-darwinians, and the first part of the book duly pushes a 'nothing new under the sun' thesis.

Take preformationism, the doctrine that



Unravelling the spiral: after sequencing the human genome, how will we attempt to manipulate it?

gametes contain preformed miniature humans (homunculi) and that development is simply a matter of amplifying what is already there. It all seems wonderfully silly to us, especially as the logical corollary is that Eve's ovaries contained, ready formed, the germ of every human to come. But Gee believes that modern biology's proudest achievement, the Human Genome Project, owes much to preformationism. After all, under both preformationism and modern notions of development, "conception does not start life from scratch, but simply activates a program that was already in existence" — that's the genome if you're in the twenty-first century, or the preformed germ if you're in the eighteenth.

Gee is keen that we recognize our debt to our intellectual antecedents, but his purpose is not to induce a spasm of ancestor worship; rather, he sees history as rich in lessons. The genome project was peddled as latterday preformationism — know the sequence, or homunculus, and all of humanity's secrets will be revealed — but the shortcomings of such a conveniently one-dimensional perspective are today amply apparent as pages of journals become clogged with talk of transcriptomes and proteomes, and nobody, to my knowledge, has yet fingered the consciousness gene.

That humanity's secrets have proved less accessible than the hype suggested, Gee believes, could have been predicted by paying proper attention to previous scientific controversies. His respect for the past is laudable, but I need more convincing that intellectual history should be a necessary part of a scientist's education. The example given here is more a condemnation of the rhetoric associated with the genome project than of the scientific work itself. The press and science writers certainly oversold the project, and many scientists participated in the hype. But this wasn't science, it was PR. For

such an expensive and high-profile undertaking, PR, like it or not, is a necessary evil.

Jacob's Ladder does not confine itself to the past; indeed the ladder of the title, which in the original in Genesis was populated by angels en route to heaven, symbolizes for Gee a future in which we manipulate our own genome. Whether the resulting ladder will ultimately lead up or down is surely the question, but Gee does not dwell on this. His vision for the future is more short term and more securely scientific: his strongest message is his endorsement of a network approach to biology.

The key to understanding how living systems work lies in uncovering patterns of interaction among genes and their products. Gee is an enthusiastic proponent of what these days is billed as 'systems biology': a combination of high-tech data gathering, computer simulation and big-picture thinking. The ability to study many genes at once and the availability of computers that can perform once-inconceivable combinatorial feats do indeed together represent an exciting opportunity. But, to return to Gee's "unbroken skein" of the history of biology, I don't see it as a major departure from what has gone before.

Population geneticists — a species that seems to try Gee's patience — have long grappled with multilocus problems. With biochemists, they have long studied the properties of a particular kind of network, the biochemical pathway. Even the currently fashionable developmental networks were extensively treated by population geneticists such as C. H. Waddington more than 50 years ago. Gee is absolutely correct to point to network analysis as a major way forwards. But it is ironic, in view of his embrace of biology's past, that he does so with a lack of regard for what came before.

Andrew Berry is at the MCZ Labs, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138, USA.