





**Expansion team**  
Europe strengthens  
research links with  
Arab nations  
p906



**Sour times**  
Milk project may shut  
down firm that gave  
birth to Dolly  
p907



**Digging deep**  
India plans  
underground lab  
to study neutrinos  
p909



**Ray of hope**  
Sun observation back  
on track with fixed  
antenna  
p910

# WHO issues rallying cry to keep fight against SARS on track

**David Cyranoski, Kuala Lumpur**

The immediate crisis surrounding severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) may be subsiding, but most of the key scientific questions about the disease remain open.

These must not be forgotten, participants were told at the first global conference on SARS, held on 17–18 June in Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. Health officials at the meeting, organized by the World Health Organization (WHO), expressed fears that as memories of the SARS outbreak fade, so too will the determination to answer outstanding questions.

Speakers at the meeting pledged to maintain the research momentum. “We are looking for a treatment; we are looking for a vaccine,” said the WHO’s director general, Gro Harlem Brundtland. “We are looking for some understanding that will keep this from happening again.”

Brundtland called on governments to do more to prepare for a recurrence of the disease. “Whether it is SARS or something else, there will be new threats,” she said. Researchers at the meeting echoed her warnings against complacency, noting that previous pandemics, such as the influenza outbreak in 1918, began with a relatively light first appearance before returning later in full force.

David Heymann, the WHO’s executive director for communicable diseases, called for



Action stations: Gro Harlem Brundtland warns against complacency over infectious diseases.

an international research agenda on diagnostic testing, antiviral drugs and vaccines for SARS. “This is a pivot point from a short-term strategy, to a long-term strategy,” he said. But the WHO, unsure of what support it will get from member countries, has been unable to

set concrete deadlines for such a strategy.

Health officials hope that the unprecedented international effort to contain and investigate SARS will act as a useful model for handling future outbreaks of other diseases. The WHO wants to build on the effort by

## Biologists disappointed as NIH budget falls flat

**Erika Check, Washington**

The recent, rapid expansion of the US National Institutes of Health (NIH) seems to be over — at least for now.

On 19 June, the House of Representatives subcommittee that sets spending for the biomedical research agency recommended a budget increase of just over 2% for next year. That would take the NIH budget to \$27.7 billion — similar to the level proposed by President Bush back in February, but not enough for research advocates.

“This is really bad news,” says Steven Teitelbaum, a cell biologist at the

Washington University at St Louis, Missouri, and president of the Federation of American Societies for Experimental Biology (FASEB). “I have lost a lot of sleep over this — there’s no doubt this is a crash landing for the NIH.”

Congress has traditionally supported strong spending on biomedical research — it was its appropriations subcommittees that implemented a doubling of the NIH’s budget from \$13.6 billion in 1998 to \$27.3 billion this year.

Lobby groups had appealed to Congress to provide more money to maintain the momentum from the doubling. According to

FASEB, the proposed numbers will allow the NIH to fund just 344 new grants next year, of which only 21 will support research unconnected to bioterrorism. That is because almost all of the proposed budget will be eaten up by multi-year grants that were awarded while the NIH was growing.

A Senate subcommittee will deliver its NIH budget this week, and the two versions will then be reconciled to give a final figure. But research lobbyists don’t expect the Senate to offer much more cash than the House — and they fear that the NIH could now face several years of essentially flat budgets. ■

agreeing international standards for important aspects of SARS research, such as treatments and diagnostic tests. The latter will be especially important for ruling out SARS when other respiratory diseases occur. "It will be quite a challenge," says Klaus Stöhr, project leader for the WHO's influenza programme.

There are already dozens of tests that can identify the virus that causes SARS, but they are not yet sensitive enough to confirm infection during the early stage when patients may be passing the virus on to others. Some researchers have claimed accuracies as high as 95% for tests that can be done earlier, but so far "there have been no standards for evaluating the tests," says Christian Drosten, a virologist at the Bernhard Nocht Institute for Tropical Medicine in Hamburg, Germany. And there is surprisingly little agreement on what works and what doesn't. "The diagnostic tests are a real mess right now," says one disgruntled researcher, who didn't want to be identified.

One obstacle is the lack of standardized SARS samples. But the WHO has now persuaded Hong Kong to make samples, including blood and sputum, available at shipping cost to both private and public researchers. Stöhr adds that the WHO is starting to distribute "gold standard" viral samples against which the WHO's collaborating centres can assess the performance of their tests.

But Brundtland and others voiced concern that the WHO's efforts alone cannot keep research momentum going as the number of SARS patients drops off. "The WHO isn't made of money," she says. "Scientists and politicians in individual countries have to continue their efforts." ■

## Visa rules leave US colleges facing semester of discontent

**Geoff Brumfiel, Washington**

Immigration rules will wreak havoc at US universities when the academic year begins this autumn, administrators are predicting.

The leaders of four groups representing most US university and college administrators — including the Association of American Universities (AAU), which speaks for 50 top research schools — outlined their concerns in a letter to US secretary of state Colin Powell on 17 June.

They warned that visa delays could keep many foreign students and academic staff out of the country, causing "classes to be cancelled, and educational and research opportunities to be lost".

The administrators are particularly worried about an instruction issued by the state department on 21 May, which they fear will dramatically increase the number of visa applicants who must undergo a face-to-face interview with consular officials.

At present, about 40% of all visa applicants are called in for interview, according to Barry Toiv, a spokesman for the AAU. But under the new instruction, embassies and consulates must interview virtually every applicant aged between 16 and 60 — without any increase in resources. "It will slow down a process that is already very cumbersome," Toiv says.

The instruction will pile more pressure on a system already under great strain, university officials say. Many students and researchers have had their visits to the United States delayed by weeks or months by an inter-agency review process set up to screen for



terrorists (see *Nature* 422, 457–458; 2003).

In addition, a computer database at the Department of Homeland Security, which will track foreign students and visiting scholars, is expected to start working in time for the new academic year, creating more headaches for the universities.

Debra Stewart, president of the Council of Graduate Schools and one of the letter's signatories, predicts that some students and staff will head for other countries such as Britain, France and Australia, in the face of lengthening delays.

State department spokeswoman Brooke Summers says that the agency is working to alleviate the delays. "We're increasing our staff and doing all that we can," she says, adding that the current environment requires extra security in the visa-screening process. ■

## European deal aims to attract Arab scientists

**Nicola Nosengo, Munich**

The European Union (EU) is taking steps to attract more scientists from Arab countries into its Framework programme on research.

Later this week, European research commissioner Philippe Busquin will sign fresh agreements on science and technology cooperation with Morocco and Tunisia. A further agreement with Egypt is likely to be reached during the summer, officials at the European Commission say.

The officials hope that the move will boost Arab science, nurture political dialogue in the Middle East, and fend off criticism that the EU discriminates in favour of Israel.

In theory, scientists anywhere in the world can participate in Framework projects that are led by researchers from nations that are full members of the programme. But

scientists in Arab countries are mostly unaware of the opportunity, research administrators say, or may be deterred by the complexity of the application process.

Under the new agreement, researchers in Morocco and Tunisia will be given up-to-date contact information for all of the groups taking part in Framework projects, and will get assistance in making applications to join in.

"A strong connection to European research is essential for science in north Africa," says Youssef Alouane, president of the University of Tunis-El Manar, one of Tunisia's leading universities. "We are very pleased with these agreements."

The agreements will be signed just two weeks after Israel's full participation in the Sixth Framework Programme was

confirmed. Under an agreement in place since 1998, Israel pays its share of Framework's overall cost and participates fully in the programme.

Maria Kayamanidou, an official at the European Commission, hopes that the new agreements will also boost cooperation between Arab and Israeli researchers. "Israel and the surrounding countries have a strategic interest in cooperating for research," she says. "We try to provide them with a framework to do that."

But some continue to view the agreement with Israel — which includes the Palestinian territories — as discriminatory. "The EU scientific cooperation policy has no significant impact on our region," says Imad Khatib, the Secretary General of the Palestine Academy for Science and Technology. ■