of information gathered.

At the annual meeting of the American Geophysical Union in San Francisco earlier this month, scientists who had applied more than a year ago for access to the emerging maps were forced to cancel a presentation that would have been based on information from the images.

"We had hoped that the restriction would be lifted in time for the meeting," says Peter Mougini-Mark, a volcanologist at the University of Hawaii in Honolulu who wants to use the cell maps to study the geological effects of the 1991 eruption of Mount Pinatubo.

Although most of the Earth has been mapped in detail from space, the elevation information for many areas is incomplete. Mougini-Mark and several other scientists who presented their SRTM plans at the meeting are still waiting for the non-US maps. "The whole community is very anxious to get them," says Mougini-Mark.

John LaBrecque, the NASA liaison with the Pentagon on the SRTM, says he has no idea when NIMA will release the non-US maps. "It is still under review," he says.

Even when the maps do enter the public domain, NIMA's original agreement with NASA allows the military to keep the most accurate versions for itself. For territories outside the United States, the public maps will have a resolution only one-third as precise as those held by the US military. ■

▶ www.jpl.nasa.gov/srtm

Bush turns to Silicon Valley moguls for scientific advice

Tony Reichhardt, Washington

The new President's Council of Advisors on Science and Technology (PCAST) — named by the White House last week — is dominated by computer-industry executives.

Bench scientists are thinly represented on the 24-strong panel, whose job it is to guide the White House on science and technology matters, but whose influence has varied between administrations.

Among the new PCAST members are two legendary figures from the information-technology industry: Michael Dell, chairman of Dell, and Gordon Moore, chairman emeritus of Intel. Robert Herbold, a vice-president at Microsoft, Carol Bartz, chief executive of software supplier Autodesk, and George Scalise, president of the Semiconductor Industry Association, are also on the panel.

In addition, President Bush has tapped some old hands from previous Republican administrations including Walter Massey and Bernadine Healy, who headed the National Science Foundation (NSF) and the National Institutes of Health, respectively, under his father. Another former NSF director, Erich Bloch, will be on the council, as will two holdovers from President Clinton's PCAST — Charles Vest, president of the Massachusetts

Institute of Technology, and retired aerospace executive Norman Augustine.

Newcomers on the panel include Charles Arntzen, a biotechnologist at Arizona State University, and Kathleen Behrens, a biotechnology analyst with investment-management firm Robertson Stephens. University administrators asked to join include Wayne Clough, president of the Georgia Institute of Technology, and Marye Anne Fox, chancellor of North Carolina State University.

PCAST will be co-chaired by White House science adviser John Marburger and Floyd Kvamme, a Silicon Valley venture capitalist.

Bush met with the group immediately after its first meeting on 12 December, which optimists take as an encouraging sign of his interest in the panel. White House officials say that PCAST will concentrate its early efforts in four areas: energy efficiency, information technology, counter-terrorism, and an overall assessment of federal research funding. They add that the heavy representation of information technology on the panel reflects its importance to the economy and to science.

According to a source familiar with Bush's plans for PCAST, the panel will concentrate on preparing memos to the president, rather than public reports. ■

Canadian budget cranks up investment in research

David Spurgeon, Montreal

The Canadian government has unveiled plans to spend Can\$7.4 billion (US\$4.7 billion) next year on science and technology, an increase of 8% over the previous year.

The announcement, which continues a major revival of Canadian research spending that has been under way since 1998, was made on 10 December as part of an overall budget that emphasizes large increases in military spending and counter-intelligence.

The budget includes an extra Can\$36.5 million for the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council (NSERC), which funds university research in engineering and the physical sciences, taking its budget to Can\$560 million. The National Research Council (NRC), which runs most of the federal government's research laboratories, gets a Can\$40-million increase, giving it a budget of Can\$536 million. The Canadian Institutes of Health Research will see an increase of Can\$75 million, lifting its budget to about Can\$560 million.

The NRC has been allocated Can\$110 million over three years to support recent

high-technology ventures such as a planned national institute for nanotechnology. The government will also provide a one-off payment of Can\$200 million to help universities to meet research overhead costs, and a further Can\$110 million to build a new, high-capacity broadband network to link research institutions and universities.

"Given the circumstances, I think it's quite a balanced approach," says John de la Mothe, a science-policy expert at the University of Ottawa. "Overall, it's good for the universities and very positive for the research community."

Bob Wolkow, a physicist at the NRC's Steacie Institute of Molecular Science in Ottawa, says he is "pretty pleased" with the budget. He adds that, given the government's fiscal difficulties, it is "all the more remarkable that we have got pretty substantial new funding".

But David Schindler, an ecologist at the University of Alberta who recently won the Herzberg medal for academic excellence from the NSERC, argues that the government should worry less about

Canadian finance minister Paul Martin (right) soaks up the applause for his budget speech.

international terrorism and more about pollution at home. "It just looks to me like they've got their priorities backwards," he says. Schindler adds that Canada's politicians do not seem to care about environmental damage, despite the fact that seven deaths have occurred from *Escherichia coli* contamination in Ontario in the past year. ■