

12. Kim HS, Woo DK, Bae SI, Kim YI, Kim WH. Microsatellite instability in the adenoma-carcinoma sequence of the stomach. *Lab Invest* 2000;80:57–64.
13. Hoang J, Cottu PH, Thuille B, Salmon RJ, Thomas G, Hamelin R. BAT-26, an indicator of the replication error phenotype in colorectal cancers and cell lines. *Cancer Res* 1997;57:300–3.
14. Zhou XP, Hoang JM, Li YJ, Seruca R, Carneiro F, Sobrinho-Simoes M, *et al.* Determination of the replication error phenotype in human tumors without the requirement for matching normal DNA by analysis of mononucleotide repeat microsatellites. *Genes Chromosom Cancer* 1998;21:101–7.
15. Brown SR, Finan PJ, Hall NR, Bishop DT. Incidence of DNA replication errors in patients with multiple primary cancers. *Dis Colon Rectum* 1998;41:765–9.
16. Horii A, Han HJ, Shimada M, Yanagisawa A, Kato Y, Ohta H, *et al.* Frequent replication errors at microsatellite loci in tumors of patients with multiple primary cancers. *Cancer Res* 1994;54:3373–5.
17. Enker WE, Dragacevic S. Multiple carcinomas of the large bowel: a natural experiment in etiology and pathogenesis. *Ann Surg* 1978;187:8–11.
18. Rennert G, Robinson E, Rennert HS, Neugut AI. Clinical characteristics of metachronous colorectal tumors. *Int J Cancer* 1995;60:743–7.
19. Fante R, Roncucci L, Di Gregorio C, Tamassia MG, Losi L, Benatti P, *et al.* Frequency and clinical features of multiple tumors of the large bowel in the general population and in patients with hereditary colorectal carcinoma. *Cancer* 1996;77:2013–21.
20. Lynch HT, Watson P, Krieglger M, Lynch JF, Lanspa SJ, Marcus J, *et al.* Differential diagnosis of hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer (Lynch syndrome I and Lynch syndrome II). *Dis Colon Rectum* 1988;31:372–7.
21. Akiyama Y, Iwanaga R, Ishikawa T, Sakamoto K, Nishi N, Nihei Z, *et al.* Mutations of the transforming growth factor-beta type II receptor gene are strongly related to sporadic proximal colon carcinomas with microsatellite instability. *Cancer* 1996;78:2478–84.
22. Lu SL, Zhang WC, Akiyama Y, Nomizu T, Yuasa Y. Genomic structure of the transforming growth factor beta type II receptor gene and its mutations in hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancers. *Cancer Res* 1996;56:4595–8.
23. Rodriguez-Bigas MA, Boland CR, Hamilton SR, Henson DE, Jass JR, Khan PM, *et al.* A National Cancer Institute Workshop on Hereditary Nonpolyposis Colorectal Cancer Syndrome: meeting highlights and Bethesda guidelines. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1997;89:1758–62.

## Book Review

**Henson DE, Albores-Saavedra J: Pathology of Incipient Neoplasia, Third Edition, 856 pp, New York, Oxford University Press, 2001 (\$165.00).**

In the “era” of early cancer detection, a book to guide pathologists in the recognition of lesions that have been associated with early neoplastic development is welcome. Because the fundamental basis of knowledge in cancer pathology was obtained from invasive forms of cancer, the histologic interpretation of incipient neoplastic or preneoplastic lesions still remains controversial in some fields. This book covers in several chapters divided by different organs/systems, like a traditional book of tumor pathology, the histological criteria for diagnosis of several preneoplastic or early neoplastic lesions.

The chapters are written in a comprehensive way by several experts in the different fields of pathology. Most of them incorporate to the morphological descriptions the more relevant molecular alterations that can be recognized in the incipient neoplasias. This is an important approach because in past years pathologists have used information such as oncogene amplification, mutations in tumor suppressor genes, aneuploidy, among others, more frequently in cancer pathology for the diagnosis and definition of

outcome. It could be debatable if topics covered in some chapters represent true “incipient” neoplasias and not advanced ones, but it reflects the natural difficulty in the present status of knowledge to define precisely how incipient or advanced a lesion is in some organs. There are black and white and color pictures, most of them illustrative and of good quality. However, some (rare, fortunately!) figures are out of focus and have green filters that compromise their quality. In future editions these figures should be changed. The references provide extensive coverage of all conditions related but could be more updated, specially in areas of fast-growing of knowledge. The index is complete and user-friendly.

In summary, this text is a forward step in the future of tumor pathology, when we are requested not to recognize advanced cancers but to diagnose and predict outcomes in early lesions based on a blending of the information derived from morphology and molecular biology.

**Fernando Schmitt**

*University of Porto School of Medicine  
Porto, Portugal*