▶ in both genetics and mathematics means that it is well placed to play a leading role in linking the two. "The information is all there," he says. "There is no reason why we cannot put it all together."

At the same time, Royal Society officials say that they are well aware of the contentious nature of many of the issues raised by proposals to integrate genetic information into the provision of health and life insurance. They are therefore keen that discussions of the implications of genetic discoveries for the actuarial profession are balanced by consideration of the way in which the use of such information by insurance companies is regulated.

Roy Anderson, Linacre professor of zoology at the University of Oxford, and one of the organizers of the planned meeting, says that its aim will be to explain to the actuarial profession the scientific issues raised by modern genetics, and to provide precise information about "what is and what is not possible".

Anderson says the meeting is likely to address both the practical and ethical issues raised for actuaries, pointing out that the impact of genetics on the insurance industry are likely to be limited on the short-term, but over a longer time scale will become significant. "The Royal Society does not get involved in too many issues at the interface between science and society, but I am convinced that this is one area in which it should be active," he says.

In his speech last week, Jenkins said that the overall purpose of projects such as the genetic screening meeting was "to promote and present scientists and investors as natural partners". **David Dickson** 

## Space station gets pledge of 5-year backing in Congress

**IMAGE** 

**UNAVAILABLE** 

FOR COPYRIGHT

**REASONS** 

Washington. In a bid to reassure the United States' international partners of its commitment to the space station, the House of Representatives has passed a bill that would authorize \$13 billion of funding for the project through to its completion in 2002. The multi-year authorization bill, which was

sponsored by a bipartisan group led by Bob Walker (Republican, Pennsylvania), the chairman of the House science committee, passed the House on a voice vote last Thursday, with no dissent.

"Our partners overseas keep getting mixed messages," says Dan Goldin, the administrator of the National Aeronautical and Space Administration (NASA). "This will reassure them that America is serious

about the space station programme". According to Walker, the House "has taken a giant leap forward in securing the trust of those nations that have entered into cooperative agreements with us."

The passage of the bill indicates the extent to which support for the space station has firmed up in the House of Representatives. In 1993, the project only survived there

by a 216-215 margin; but this July, an amendment to kill it fell overwhelmingly, by 287 votes to 132. Last week, opponents didn't even bother to call for a vote.

But the bill itself is primarily of symbolic importance. To become law, it needs to pass in the Senate. Even if it does, authorization

bills merely set funding ceilings; actual budgets are determined by annual appropriations bills.

Walker says that one key Senator — Conrad Burns (Republican, Montana), chairman of the science, technology and space subcommittee — will "aggressively pursue" a similar bill. But Senate staff say the upper house will be reluctant to change its normal practice of passing an annual authoriza-

Up and away? Promise 'will reassure' NASA's partners in the space station.

tion bill for the whole of NASA.

Supporters believe, however, the bill's passage into law would place a moral obligation on Congress to complete the programme. It has been argued that the Superconducting Super Collider, cancelled by Congress in 1993, would have been more secure from attack if funding had been authorized for completion. **Colin Macilwain** 

## **Europe edges towards agreement over space funding**

Paris. With two weeks to go before the space ministers of member states of the European Space Agency (ESA) have to take some crucial decisions at a meeting in Toulouse, France, negotiations remain deadlocked about both Europe's contribution to the planned international space station and future funding levels of European space science missions.

But although large obstacles to agreement remain, European space officials say that considerable progress has been made over the past few weeks. In particular, Germany and France seem closer to an agreement about their participation in ESA's planned contribution to the space station.

France has already withdrawn its proposal to substitute a French-built crew rescue vehicle (CRV) for the planned space laboratory, which would be built by Germany and Italy (see *Nature* 377, 191; 1995). Furthermore, during recent interministerial meetings, France has said it would agree to raise its funding for the station over the period 1996–2000 to around ECU400 million (US\$488 million).

In return, Germany, the ESA member state with the greatest interest in the space station, is said to be ready to invest in the French-led programme to upgrade the Ariane V launcher, and to agree that ESA's contribution to the station should include money for further technical studies of France's CRV project. Germany has already agreed to pay ECU600 milllion towards the station programme.

The joker in the pack remains Italy. Earlier this month, the Italian Space Agency (ASI) informally told ESA that it would be unable to pay its expected cash contribution of ECU300 million to the station. Instead, it offered around ECU100 million, with the rest being paid in kind.

This proposition might have ended ESA's hopes of being able to pay for its planned ECU1.4-billion contribution to the station. But the emerging consensus between France and Germany is putting pressure on Italy not to break ranks, and the Italian government is now said to be considering raising ASI's budget in order to allow it to increase its participation.

Meanwhile, at a meeting last week of the ESA council, made up of representatives from each of the agency's member states, Britain refused to budge on its demand that ESA reduce its budget for space science by 25 per cent over five years. But Ian Corbett, the director of science at the UK Particle Physics and Astronomy Council, and the UK's representative on the ESA council, says that "we and ESA recognize that a solution must be found; we live in a real world".

Indeed, in a partial concession to the British demands for reduced funding of the space science programme, ESA has offered to freeze 2.5, 5, and 7.5 per cent of the programme's budget in 1996, 1997 and 1998 respectively. The sum frozen would then be released only after a unanimous vote of the ESA council.

While the United Kingdom is holding out for a better offer, Germany is said to be considering whether to accept this proposal. Both have opposed a proposed increase in the agency's science budget in line with inflation, Germany suggesting it should be kept constant in cash terms. **Declan Butler**