

the order of its own size, fixed by the diameter d of the jet: $\tau \sim d/(gd)^{1/2} = (d/g)^{1/2}$, independent of u_0 .

When only one retarded time is involved and when the amplitude $A(t)$ and the delayed feedback $|A(t-\tau)|^2$ are sufficiently out of phase (that is, when $r\tau > \pi/4$), the solutions for $A(t)$ are periodic, nonlinear oscillations (Fig. 2b), whose period⁷ is an increasing function of τ . The possible variation of $\tau(t)$ at each instant of time around a mean delay τ may reflect the variability of the size of the packets and of their interaction time in the actual situation. In that case, neither the oscillatory behaviour nor the period is appreciably altered⁷.

Emmanuel Villermaux

*Institut de Mécanique
de Grenoble/LEGI-CNRS,
BP 53X, 38041 Grenoble Cedex,
France*

1. Di Giorgio Martini, F. *Trattati di Architettura Ingegneria e Arte Militare* (Italy, about 1490).
2. Pedretti, C. *Léonard de Vinci Architec* (Electa Moniteur, Milan-Paris, 1978).
3. Nollet, A. J. A. *Leçons de Physique Expérimentale III* (Paris, 1743).
4. Casperson, L. W. J. *appl. Phys.* **74**, 4894–4898 (1993).
5. Bouasse, H. *Jets, Tubes et Canaux* (Delagrave, Paris, 1923).
6. Villermaux, E. & Hopfinger, E. J. *J. Fluid Mech.* **263**, 63–92 (1994).
7. Villermaux, E. & Hopfinger, E. J. *Physica D* **72**, 230–243 (1994).

Climate and food supply

SIR — Rosenzweig and Parry^{1,2} have performed a commendable pioneer study of the security of the global food supply in a changing climate. But it is important to note the assumptions and caveats in this study, and the possible effects which it did not consider. These limitations mean that we cannot share the optimistic interpretations put on the findings by Reilly in *News and Views*³. Climate change cannot yet be dismissed as having only minor effects on future global food security.

(1) Rosenzweig and Parry's data suggest that climate change will significantly increase the present unequal distribution of food supplies, thereby exacerbating the problem.

(2) As Rosenzweig and Parry point out, the relatively benign overall result "depends strongly on the full realization in the field of beneficial direct physiological CO₂ effects on crop growth and water use as currently measured in experimental settings". Without these assumed beneficial effects, they find that world cereal production for an equivalent doubling of CO₂ is reduced by 11–20%. The assumed direct effects of CO₂ increase on global wheat yield is about 20 per cent in all three climate change scenarios (Table 9 of ref. 2). Recent studies^{4,5}, including our own⁶, suggest that competitive effects in plant

canopies, as well as other factors, may well result in realized beneficial effects on yield considerably less than in the laboratory.

(3) The ability to make large beneficial adaptations, which Reilly claims could be even greater than assumed by Rosenzweig and Parry, may in fact be exaggerated, and very costly. Rosenzweig and Parry take no account of water-supply limitations for irrigation. Reilly points to the 'green revolution' and the high yields achieved by US agriculture, but these have both relied largely on massive inputs of energy through irrigation, artificial fertilizers and pesticides. One of Rosenzweig and Parry's most striking findings is that even massive adaptations make relatively little difference to the global picture (much smaller than the assumed benefits of increased CO₂).

(4) The climate change factors considered by Rosenzweig and Parry necessarily exclude many potentially important, perhaps even dominating, effects. Many phenomena are not yet reliably represented in global climate models, for example the behaviour of the El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO), or changes to tropical cyclone intensity, frequency or location⁷; widespread increases in rainfall intensity, with the corresponding reduction in the average interval between flood events⁸; changes in climatic variability⁹, together with changes in the frequency of occurrence and distribution of pests and diseases; as well as other considerations.

(5) As demonstrated in Fig. 4 of ref. 2, global crop yields are a non linear function of increasing temperature, with substantial gains from a 2°C warming turning to a loss for a 4°C warming. Without substantial greenhouse-gas emission reductions, warming will continue long after the year 2060 (ref. 10), so that a critical threshold (when production will decline in temperate as well as in tropical countries) may well be reached at a later date.

A. Barrie Pittock

Peter Whetton

Yingping Wang

Climate Impact Group,

CSIRO Division of

Atmospheric Research,

Private Bag 1, Mordialloc 3195,

Australia

1. Rosenzweig, C. & Parry, M. L. *Nature* **367**, 133–138 (1994).
2. Rosenzweig, C., Parry, M. L., Fischer, G. & Froberg, K. *Climate Change and World Food Supply*, Res. Rep. No. 3. (Environmental Change Unit, Univ. Oxford, 1993).
3. Reilly, J. *Nature* **367**, 118–119 (1994).
4. Baker, J. T., Allen, L. H. J. & Boote, K. J. *Agric. For. Met.* **60**, 153–166 (1992).
5. *Austr. J. Bot.* **40**, Nos. 4 & 5 (1992).
6. Wang, Y. P., Handoko, J. R. & Rimington, G. M. *Clim. Res.* **2**, 131–149 (1992).
7. Pittock, A. B. *Weath. Clim.* **12**, 17–31 (1992).
8. Whetton, P. H., Fowler, A. M., Haylock, M. R. & Pittock, A. B. *Clim. Change* **25**, 289–317 (1993).
9. Mearns, L. O., Rosenzweig, C. & Goldberg, R. *Agric. For. Met.* **62**, 159–189 (1992).
10. Manabe, S. & Stouffer, R. J. *Nature* **364**, 215–218 (1993).

Fruitfly origins

SIR — Begun and Aquadro¹ show that four-cutter DNA polymorphism is very different between *Drosophila melanogaster* populations from Zimbabwe and North America. They compare this result with electrophoretic data by Singh *et al.*^{2,3}. Begun and Aquadro find that there is much more similarity between populations from Benin (West Africa) and North America at the electrophoretic level than there is between populations from Zimbabwe (East Africa) and North America at the DNA level. Does this result show differences between populations in Africa or between techniques? Singh and Hale⁴ suggest that the point is technical. We disagree.

Our group, together with Michael Ashburner, put forward the hypothesis of *D. melanogaster* African origin⁵. This hypothesis does not imply that all African populations were similar, and we suggested that temperate populations recently originated from West Africa. We have studied four-cutter DNA variation in Ivory Coast (West African) flies⁶, and found much less difference with North America than Begun and Aquadro did in Zimbabwe (East African) flies. We also have compared inversion polymorphism⁷ between five populations from West Africa (including Ivory Coast and Benin) and five populations from East Africa (including Zimbabwe), and found that the two groups are both each very homogeneous, and very different from each other (see Fig. 4 in ref. 7).

Thus *D. melanogaster* populations are substantially differentiated in Africa. Unfortunately, we have only a rough idea of the degree of genetic differentiation among fruitfly populations. Present estimates are obtained from genes that are subject to selective hitch-hiking, or from allozyme and mitochondrial variation.

Françoise Lemeunier

Sylvie Aulard

Laboratoire Populations,

Génétique et Evolution,

CNRS, 91198 Gif Cedex,

France

Véronique Bénassi

Michel Veuille

Institut d'Ecologie,

Université Paris VI,

7 quai St Bernard,

75005 Paris, France

1. Begun, D. J. & Aquadro, C. F. *Nature* **365**, 548–550 (1993).
2. Singh, R. S. & Rhombert, L. R. *Genetics* **117**, 255–271 (1987).
3. Singh, R. S., Hickey, D. L. & David, J. R. *Genetics* **101**, 235–256 (1982).
4. Singh, R. S. & Hale, L. R. *Nature* **369**, 450 (1994).
5. Lachaise, D. *et al.* *Evol. Biol.* **22**, 159–225 (1988).
6. Bénassi, V., Aulard, S., Mazeau, S. & Veuille, M. *Genetics* **134**, 789–799 (1993).
7. Lemeunier, F. & Aulard, S. in *Drosophila Inversion Polymorphism* (eds Krimbas C. & Powell, J. R.) 339–405 (CRC, Boca Raton, 1992).