covery, ways of tackling the great malaria problem remain unresolved.

At the Second Global Meeting of Parasitology held in Hyderabad, India, in August to commemorate the centenary of Ross's discovery, the hope, the frustration and the determination to control malaria were as much in evidence as they had been in the early twentieth century. If one lesson has to be learnt from this history, it is the difficulties of moving forward from the results of scientific discovery.

Ross would have been delighted by the attention he has received in this centenary year. Not only have we welcomed this biography, we have also witnessed the renaming of a road and a hospital in his honour, the renovation of his laboratory in Secunderabad, the unveiling of Ross busts, and his picture projected on postage stamps and T-shirts. But Ross would not have been happy to learn that 300–500 million people are still suffering from malaria 100 years after 'Mosquito Day' 1897.

I hope that this biography will be read both for its own merits and as a way of stimulating further interest in Ross's views and vision for the prevention of malaria. □

Mary J. Dobson is at the Wellcome Unit for the History of Medicine, 45–47 Banbury Road, Oxford OX2 6PE, UK.

ADVERTISEMENT

"How wonderful that Jacques Roger's masterful study of Buffon and his work is now available in an admirable translation. . . . The perfect example of what a fine biography should be. [Roger] not only presents Buffon as a person, he also gives a most illuminating critical analysis of Buffon's thoughts, beliefs, theories and controversies."

—ERNST MAYR,
Cornell University Press
Book Review

JACQUES ROGER

Buffon

A Life in Natural History Translated by Sarah Lucille Bonnefoi

16 illus. \$49.95
At bookstores, or (800) 666-2211

CORNELL UNIVERSITY PRESS

READER ENQUIRY NO. 578

Heavyweight history

Science in the Twentieth Century

edited by John Krige and Dominique Pestre
Harwood Academic: 1997. Pp. 941. £80, \$120

Graham Farmelo

One of the saddest sights in science is the chasm between its practitioners and its historians. Although scientists are curious about the history of their subject and most historians of science have an interest in contemporary science, the professions have yet to establish an effective dialogue.

This cultural division was exemplified two years ago when the British and American Institutes of Physics published a three-volume history of twentieth-century physics that featured contributions from 31 distinguished scientists, not one of them a trained historian. The result was an impressive but introspective account that would have been a good deal richer if the contributors had brought to their essays a wider historical awareness.

Science in the Twentieth Century goes to the other extreme: its contributors are 50 leading historians, none of whom is a practising scientist. At first sight, its 941-page bulk and the austerity of its design make it one of those books that cry out to be weighed rather than read.

But a cursory look through its pages reveals it to be something rather special. For these are authoritative essays intended for scientists and lay people with an informed interest in modern science. Almost all the contributions are devoid of historiographical jargon and have relatively few references, so the authors are not writing solely for their peers. The book, or "project" as its editors tellingly describe it, is an ambitious and laudable attempt to make the best thinking about the history of modern science accessible to scientists.

There is no denying the sheer range of the material covered. Between them, the authors review the nature of science, its place in the social fabric, how the most productive research has been carried out and what has been achieved. Although the content of the essays reflects the pre-eminence of the scientific contributions from the United States and Europe, it is good to see thorough reviews of the work done in other parts of the world, notably Russia, India, Latin America and Japan.

Similarly, the branches of science considered go way beyond the historians' favourite areas of medicine, astronomy and fundamental physics; between these covers are first-rate histories of disciplines as diverse as geology, mathematics and polymer chemistry. To read this book is to begin to appreciate how much our understanding of science (not to mention technology and industry) has been enriched by the comparatively small community of historians of science since their subject became

established as an academic field, only about 50 years ago.

Invidious though it is to focus on a few of the 46 essays in this tome, three will serve to give an idea of the breadth of coverage. In one of the three introductory pieces, Simon Schaffer of the University of Cambridge considers how science has been perceived by the public in different countries during the past century. In a characteristically lucid piece, he examines a wide variety of media and comes to the surprising conclusion that the most important way in which the public has derived its image of science is through exhibitions. (It would be fascinating to use survey data to check whether this hypothesis holds in the future.)

In this most violent of times in human history, every branch of culture has been influenced by the military, and science is no exception. In a masterly review, Everett Mendelsohn of Harvard University looks at the impact on Western science of the armed forces, notably in the world wars. He points out that after the First World War none of the major powers developed a clear policy for science in the military, with the result that on the eve of the Second World War most of the agencies linking science and industry had to be reinvented. Now, in the aftermath of the Cold War, Mendelsohn suggests that scientists have the "illusion of autonomy", a notion with which he abruptly and tantalizingly ends his piece.

One branch of science served particularly well in the book is immunology. Anne Marie Moulin, of L'Hôpital des Enfants Malades in Paris, reminds us that this subject is not much older than the century itself. She gives a fascinating account of its history, which she believes is best characterized as a series of controversies rather than of Kuhnian revolutions. How much has been achieved in these disputes will be tested, Moulin asserts, in the "clear-cut assignment" of tackling the AIDS epidemic.

This book would be an asset to any science library. For scientists who have the time to read it and the stamina to carry it back to their offices, there is much to be learned and enjoyed. They may be disappointed that the index does not do justice to the richness of the text and that the editors do not provide a coherent summary in their otherwise admirable introduction. Also, many will regret that there are no contributions from historically aware scientists, who could have given a more vivid sense of what actually happens at the coal-face of research.

There is, however, no doubt that the editors deserve our gratitude for all they have done, as we approach the end of science's most productive century, to bring us this monumental collection. It is of much more than historical interest. □

Graham Farmelo is at the Science Museum, Exhibition Road, London SW7 2DD, UK.