

When climate and life finally devolve

It is traditional, as the year draws to a close, to try to predict what the future holds in store. It is less common to ask, as Caldeira and Kasting do in this issue, "how much future?"

NEW calculations by Caldeira and Kasting on page 721 of this issue¹ are about the destiny and ultimate demise of the biosphere — which is both dependent on and vulnerable to the evolution of our main-sequence star, the Sun. Their calculations provide the best estimate to date as to when the Earth's staple of abiotic and biotic processes that draw down atmospheric CO₂ will be unable to offset a rising solar flux.

Predictions of the heat death of the biosphere have traditionally come from astronomers. But ten years ago, Earth scientists Lovelock and Whitfield showed that the biota would face a crisis² long before our planet is scorched as the Sun expands into a red-giant phase, in about 5 billion years. The crisis will be a scarcity of atmospheric CO₂.

The trend over geological time has been for atmospheric levels of CO₂ to decrease. (Current rising levels of CO₂ from industrial and agricultural sources are, by comparison, just a blip on the geological landscape.) Driving this long-term steady decrease has been a combination of factors³: the growth of continents, a declining geothermal heat flux, a sequence of evolutionary developments that increase the weatherability of the terrestrial surface, and an increasingly radiant Sun, all of which alter the dynamics of the geochemical system that balances the CO₂ supplied by volcanism with its removal by global weathering. The ultimate consequences of this downward trend were spelled out by Lovelock and Whitfield: in just 100 million years, CO₂ will drop below the minimum level necessary to support photosynthesis.

Caldeira and Kasting have recalculated the life span of the biosphere, using a more refined set of biological and physical inputs. These include a precise greenhouse function, increased photosynthetic efficiencies from C4 plants at reduced CO₂ and allowance for a functional dependence of silicate weathering upon respiration from roots and soil organisms. With these modifications, Caldeira and Kasting have extended the tenure of the biosphere to ten times that predicted by Lovelock and Whitfield: we can breathe easy for about a billion more years. But finally, temperatures will soar to the upper limits tolerable to the most primitive microbes, and in another billion years again the Earth will undergo a final sterilization with the

loss of the hydrogen from photolysed water to space.

Is an event so distant in time any cause for concern today? We certainly have more immediate problems during the coming century in trying to prevent our own selves from ravaging the biosphere. Nevertheless, Caldeira and Kasting's work raises issues that are hauntingly familiar: the role of CO₂ as a greenhouse gas, a possible need for planetary engineering in maintaining the Earth's habitability (or rather its comfort for humans), and fundamental questions about the ultimate persistence of life and the pace of evolution.

None of the models, of course, allows for possible evolutionary innovations that might buy time for life as we know it (or do not know it). The current plenitude of life's biochemical cycling mechanisms — within cells, organisms and ecosystems — is impressive, and has probably evolved in response to times of scarcity⁴. For example, C4 plants (such as maize) concentrate CO₂ in special bundle sheath cells, away from the sites of wasteful photorespiration; this innovation has evolved in the past 100 million years⁵, probably in response to falling levels of atmospheric CO₂.

Future responses to scarcity could be technologically driven. In experiments for advanced life support, such as NASA's programme in closed ecological life-support systems, Biosphere 2 in Arizona, and the Russian Bios 3, carbon is assiduously cycled and CO₂ is managed at levels well above that of the Earth. Such research is intended to give us the rudiments of understanding that could someday lead to the propagation of mini-biospheres across the Galaxy. The future ancestral Earth — dry, burnt, and dead — would then be fondly recalled in Heinleinian space songs as that mythic place of "cool green hills".

Our descendants or descendent species would not have to run from the devolution, however — they could fight. Shades in space or mirrors on the Earth that keep out a small fraction of the elevated future solar flux would be an option. Carbonates could be heated to release CO₂, a technique proposed⁶ for the industrial stewardship of the carbon cycle on a 'terraformed' Mars⁷ to make up for the lack of the CO₂-generating plate tectonics that we have on Earth.

More importantly, long before the

solar-induced devolution of the biosphere comes to pass, the ability of *Homo sapiens* to persist will be tested not only by our own earthly transgressions, but by impacts from asteroids and comets. An impact that could destroy civilization and wipe out a quarter of the world's population is estimated to occur about every 500,000 years⁸.

Calculations of the life span of the biosphere bring to focus one additional matter of great philosophical import. If devolution-computing life forms evolved with just a billion years to spare, following a 3.5 billion year genealogy, we are presented with an intriguingly close temporal match between two essentially decoupled, developing systems. The time it takes for life and then technological intelligence to evolve is nearly identical, in the only case we know, to the window of opportunity afforded by an atmospheric resource, which is yoked, in part, to the luminosity history of a main-sequence star. That this window was open just long enough for our arrival will add impetus to the arguments used by proponents of the anthropic cosmological principle⁹, who find the Universe uncannily fine-tuned.

Overall, Caldeira and Kasting's study adds to our understanding of what Lovelock calls geophysiology and NASA calls Earth-system science: the Earth as an interconnected system of life, atmosphere, hydrosphere and lithosphere, a system whose organizational properties we are still discovering. While our concerns over habitability are often for the shorter term, in exploring the interplay of life and climate over geological time-scales, we forge an appreciation for where knowledge must go. **Tyler Volk**

Tyler Volk is in the Earth Systems Group, Department of Applied Science, 26 Stuyvesant Street, New York University, New York 10003, USA.

1. Caldeira, K. & Kasting, J. F. *Nature* **360**, 721–723 (1992).
2. Lovelock, J. E. & Whitfield, M. *Nature* **296**, 561–563 (1982).
3. Schwartzman, D. W. & Volk, T. *Palaeogeog. Palaeoclim. Palaeoecol.* **90**, 357–371 (1991).
4. Barlow, C. & Volk, T. *BioSystems* **23**, 371–384 (1990).
5. Ehleringer, J. R. *et al.* *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **6**, 95–99 (1991).
6. Fogg, M. J. *Br. Interplanet. Soc.* **45**, 315–329 (1992).
7. McKay, C. P. *et al.* *Nature* **352**, 489–496 (1992).
8. Morrison, D. *The Spaceguard Survey: Report of NASA International Near-Earth Object Detection Workshop* (January 25, 1992).
9. Carter, B. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc.* **A310**, 347–363 (1983).