

Optical thickness of galaxies

SIR — Burstein, Haynes and Faber¹ claim to have demonstrated, for the first time, that spiral galaxies are optically thick at the faint isophote of 25th blue magnitude arcsec⁻² ($25 B_{\mu}$). Apart from the astrophysical difficulties of such a dramatic hypothesis, which Burstein *et al.* do not address, the claim itself is spurious because they neglect a well-known selection effect which can naturally explain all the trends in their 16 separate diagrams, even if all their galaxies are entirely transparent.

The test for opacity they are using is a minor variant of Holmberg's longstanding surface-brightness/inclination test², to which the addition of distance information is largely irrelevant as both surface brightness and inclination are distant-independent quantities. What Burstein *et al.* have discovered in their data, as Valentijn³ did earlier, is that the measured surface brightnesses $\Sigma_m \equiv 1_{ap}/\pi ab$ of their galaxies are remarkably constant, that is, within $\pm 1.5 B_{\mu}$, a result made explicit in their Fig 6.

There are two different interpretations of that result. Either the sample galaxies have been unconsciously selected to have a constant surface brightness, independent of inclination, in which case nothing whatsoever can be deduced about their opacity from the Holmberg test. Or the data are unaffected by such a selection effect, in which case their galaxies are indeed opaque at $25 B_{\mu}$ where the diameters were measured.

But it is well known³⁻⁵ that a sample of galaxies chosen for their apparent size, such as the UGC sample used by Burstein *et al.*, is subject to very strong surface-brightness selection effects so that most objects in it, irrespective of their optical depths, will have measured Σ_m s clustered within ± 1 mag of a certain optimal value. This is because lower surface brightness galaxies hide so much of their light below the sky that they look small at a given isophote, whereas higher surface-brightness galaxies are, at a given luminosity, intrinsically and isophotally smaller. This striking effect, which acts independently of inclination⁶, renders Holmberg-type tests useless for determining optical depth.

It is up to Burstein *et al.* to retest their data and demonstrate that they are not explicable in this straightforward way. Readers of scientific papers can usually

make such internal consistency checks for themselves. Unfortunately, although it purports to be a review, Burstein *et al.*'s paper is almost wholly based on what the authors themselves describe as a 'private database'. A paper based on 'hidden' data places itself above the normal processes of scrutiny that distinguish science from polemics.

Some results in science are harder to believe than they are to prove and the surprising effects of surface-brightness selection on galaxies may fall into this category. It is difficult, particularly for seasoned observers, to believe that they dominate almost all the discovered correlations between the global properties of galaxies, but they do.

MICHAEL DISNEY

*Department of Physics and Astronomy,
University of Wales,
PO Box 913, Cardiff CF1 3TH, UK*

BURSTEIN *ET AL.* REPLY — It is not sufficient to note, as Disney does, that two parameters (surface brightness and inclination) are distance-independent. We showed¹ that the major factor in determining the results of various workers is sample selection, and sample selection has traditionally depended on the distant-dependent parameters of apparent magnitude and apparent diameter. Choloniewski has separately demonstrated this fact⁷. Our method is fundamentally different from Holmberg's² because we use the distance itself, in the form of the redshift, to break the former degeneracy between apparent and intrinsic quantities for galaxies. Indeed, our method cannot use surface brightness as part of the test.

If very low surface-brightness (VLSB) galaxies are excluded completely from these catalogues, our results naturally apply only to the higher surface-brightness galaxies that are included. In this case, our result applies only to the given sample, which is all we have claimed. If, as Disney hypothesizes, VLSB galaxies are preferentially present as small-appearing galaxies in the ESO and UGC catalogues, they would affect our sample only if they had different inclination-dependent properties than those of higher surface-brightness galaxies. We agree that such an effect would preferentially affect the smaller appearing galaxies. That is one reason why we divide our sample into different ranges of apparent diameter. The fact that the result of inclination dependence of absolute diameter does not change with the apparent diameters of the galaxies would imply that significant contamination by VLSB galaxies has not affected our results for these higher surface-brightness galaxies.

Redshifts for most of the UGC galaxies used in our sample come primarily from published papers and catalogues (although our compilation of these data is private). ESO-LV data were used for our Fig. 6 (surface brightness versus inclination), as the edge-on galaxies in the UGC generally have unreliable magnitudes.

D. BURSTEIN
M. P. HAYNES
S. M. FABER

*Department of Physics and Astronomy,
Arizona State University,
Tempe, Arizona 85287-1504, USA*

No diabetes link to hsp65?

SIR — Jones *et al.*¹ suggest that the diabetes-susceptibility gene *IDD5* may encode the mouse heat-shock protein 65 (hsp65), citing their previous report² indicating that hsp65 is a β -cell antigen in insulin-dependent diabetes (IDD) in man.

Although such a link between hsp65 and IDD is attractive, the role of hsp65 as an autoantigen in IDD is by no means as generally accepted. Following the original report of Jones *et al.*², two further reports^{3,4} indicated that the autoantigen in IDD was distinct from hsp65 on the basis of size, antibody reactivity and tissue distribution. Jones *et al.* subsequently indicated⁵ that the critical result in their original report may have been due to the presence in the IDD sera of antimycobacterial antibodies. Moreover, a well-documented paper⁶ identified the autoantigen in IDD as the M_r 64,000 protein glutamic acid decarboxylase rather than hsp65.

Any assessment of the significance for diabetes of the similar chromosomal localization of *IDD5* and an hsp65-homologous gene must await good evidence that hsp65 is an autoantigen in human IDD. It may be of significance, however, that the *Lsh/Ity/Bcg* gene, which determines susceptibility to various infectious bacteria, also maps to this region of chromosome 1 (and is possibly identical to *IDD5*, ref. 7) as the main human immune response to these infectious agents is directed against their homologues of human hsp65 (ref. 8).

DAVID LATCHMAN

*Department of Biochemistry,
University College and Middlesex
School of Medicine,
Cleveland St, London W1P 6DB, UK*

- Burstein, D., Haynes, M. & Faber, S. *Nature* **353**, 515–521 (1991).
- Holmberg, E. *Medd. Lund. Ser II No 136* (1958).
- Valentijn, E. *Nature* **346**, 153–155 (1990).
- Disney, M. *Nature* **263**, 573–575 (1976).
- Disney, H. & Phillips, S. *Mon. Not. R. astr. Soc.* **205**, 1253–1265 (1983).
- Davies, J. I. *Mon. Not. R. astr. Soc.* **244**, 8–24 (1990).
- Choloniewski, J. *Mon. Not. R. astr. Soc.* **250**, 486–504 (1991).

- Jones, D.B. *et al.* *Nature* **355**, 119–120 (1992).
- Jones, D.B. *et al.* *Nature* **336**, 583–585 (1990).
- Kampe, O. *et al.* *Lancet* **336**, 1250 (1990).
- Atkinson, M.A. *et al.* *Lancet* **336**, 1250–1251 (1990).
- Jones, D.B. & Duff, G. *Lancet* **337**, 115 (1990).
- Buckleskov, S. *et al.* *Nature* **347**, 151–156 (1990).
- Cornall, R. J. *et al.* *Nature* **353**, 262–265 (1991).
- Kaufmann, S.H.E. *Immun. Today* **11**, 129–136 (1990).