L10-209			
Oncogenes	Foci per plate (experiments 1, 2)	Growth in soft agar	Tumours in rats
Ej-ras +MLV-v-myc	52, 62	+	3/3
Ej- <i>ras</i> + MLV-E1a289	74, 78	+	3/3
Ej-ras + MLV-EMCC	38, 70	+	3/3
EJ-ras +MLV-ME289	12, 29	· +	3/3
EJ-ras +MLV-E1a243	165	+	3/3
EJ-ras +MLV-ME243	0,0-1*	_	NT
EJ-ras alone	0,0-1*	-	NT

TABLE 2 The v-myc N-terminal domain can complement the C-terminal domain of E1 2 200

Transformation assays were done as described in Table 1. NT, Not tested.

\* Foci did not give rise to established cells.

absence of ras (data not shown). Expression of the chimaeric EMCC and ME-289 proteins in transformed cells was demonstrated using antibodies raised against defined regions of E1a and v-myc (Fig. 2). The ability of N-terminal or C-terminal transforming domains of v-myc and Ad5 E1a-289 to complement each other in cis suggests that these oncoproteins may interact with common substrates. The molecular basis for common interactions is obscure, however, because mapping studies<sup>4,8</sup> have not supported a relationship between structurally similar regions $^{9-11}$  and transforming activity.

The N-terminal domain of the c-myc protein interacts with Rb the retinoblastoma gene product and HPV-16 E7 can compete with c-myc for Rb binding<sup>12</sup>. The requirement of the HPV-16 E7 homology region of CR1 for transformation by E1a/myc chimaeras complements these observations and lends support to the notion that Rb binding is involved in transformation by myc oncogenes. But clear biochemical<sup>3,13</sup> and biological<sup>14</sup> differences between myc and E1a are apparent from several studies, and even in the case of Rb, myc seems to bind with reduced efficiency relative to E1a (ref. 12). These differences may be reflected in the greater transformation activity of E1a/mvc chimaeras compared with mvc/E1a chimaeras.

Functional similarities between myc and E1a also may not be apparent under all conditions<sup>15,16</sup>. For example, c-myc function in normal cells (transcriptional control?) may be regulated by Rb, as suggested for E2F (refs 17, 18); overexpression of c-myc protein in cancer cells may allow myc to titrate Rb, thus providing a novel E1a-like function. Despite these caveats, the apparent interchangeability of transforming domains of E1a and myc suggests that there may be common targets in addition to Rb (ref. 19). The E1a/mvc chimaeras described here may pro-vide useful reagents for their identification.

Received 8 July; accepted 30 August 1991.

- Land, H., Parada, L. F. & Weinberg, R. A. Nature 304, 596-602 (1983).
- Ruley, H. E. Nature 304, 602-606 (1983). 2
- Moran, E. & Matthews, M. B. *Cell* **48**, 177–178 (1987). Stone, J. *et al. Molec. cell. Biol.* **7**, 1697–1709 (1987). 3
- Kimmelman, D., Miller, J. S., Porter, D. & Roberts, B. E. *J. Virol.* **53**, 399-409 (1985). Phelps, W. C., Yee, C. L., Munger, K. & Howley, P. M. *Cell* **53**, 539-547 (1988). 5 6.
- Moran, B. & Zerler, B. Molec. cell. Biol. 8, 1756-1764 (1988)
- Whyte, P., Ruley, H. E. & Harlow, E. J. Virol. 62, 257-265 (1988)
  Ralston, R. & Bishop, J. M. Nature 306, 803-806 (1983).
- 10. Branton, P. E., Bayley, S. T. & Graham, F. L. Biochim. biophys. Acta 780, 67-94 (1985).
- Figge, J., Webster, T., Smith, T. F. & Paucha, E. J. Virol. 62, 1814–1818 (1988).
  Rustgi, A. K., Dyson, N. & Bernards, R. Nature 352, 541–544 (1991).
- 13. Blackwood, E. M. & Eisenman, R. N. Science 251, 1211-1217 (1991)
- Vousden, K. & Jat, P. Oncogene 4,153-158 (1989).
  Kaczmarek, L. Hyland, J. K. Watt, R., Rosenberg, M. & Baserga, R. Science 228, 1313-1315 (1985).
- Stabel, S., Argos, P. & Philipson, L. EMBO J. 4, 2329-2336 (1988). 17. Chellappan, S. P., Hiebert, S., Mudryj, M., Horowitz, J. M. & Nevins, J. R. Cell 65, 1053-1061 (1991).
- 18. Wagner, S. & Green, M. R. Nature 352, 189-190 (1991).
- 19. Whyte, P., Williamson, N. M. & Harlow, E. Cell 56, 67-75 (1989).
- 20. Jones, N. C., Richter, J. D., Weeks, D. L. & Smith, L. D. Molec. cell. Biol. 3, 2131-2142 (1983).
- 21. Symonds, G. et al. Oncogene 4, 285-294 (1989).
- Ramsay, G., Evan, G. I. & Bishop, J. M. Proc. natn. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 81, 7742–7746 (1984).
  Harlow, E., Franza, B. R. Jr & Schley, C. J. Virol. 55, 533–546 (1985).
- 24. Alitalo, K. et al. Nature 306, 274-277 (1983).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS, I thank J. M. Bishop (UCSF), in whose laboratory most of this work was performed, and D. Dina (Chiron) for support and encouragement, R. Bernards for communicating results before publication, N. Jones, A. Bruskin, M. Scott and W. Lee for gifts of plasmids, G. Ramsay and E. Harlow for antibodies, and K. Thudium for technical assistance.

#### CORRECTIONS

## Plasma fireballs formed by microwave interference in air

#### Y. H. Ohtsuki & H. Ofuruton

Nature 350, 139-141 (1991).

THE fifth line in the right-hand column on page 139 states: "A standing wave should be set up in the cavity by interference between a propagating wave and a reflected wave, and in this case there should be theoretically six antinodes at which the electric field is strongest." However, because we could not identify the mode which existed in the cavity and the guide wavelength must be used in the calculation, there should be theoretically plural (at most five) antinodes in the cavity. This correction does not affect any of the conclusions in the paper.

# A protein-tyrosine phosphatase with sequence similarity to the SH2 domain of the proteintvrosine kinases

#### Shi-Hsiang Shen, Lison Bastien, Barry I. Posner & Pierre Chrétien

Nature 352, 736-739 (1991).

WE have discovered errors made in the assembly of our published cDNA sequence affecting the end of the coding region in Fig. 1 of the above paper. One -g- should be inserted between nucleotides 2,028 and 2,029. Thus, the open reading frame of the PTP1C gene terminates at nucleotide 2,046 instead of nucleotide 2,082. The corresponding amino acid sequence of the last 6 residues at the C-terminus of PTP1C from nucleotides 2,029 to 2,046 is GSLKRK. The correct sequence is available on the EMBL database under accession number X62055. These errors do not affect the conclusions of the paper in any way.

### ERRATUM

## **Preparation and structure of the** alkali-metal fulleride. A<sub>4</sub>C<sub>60</sub>

R. M. Fleming, M. J. Rosseinsky, A. P. Ramirez, D. W. Murphy, J. C. Tully, R. C. Haddon, T. Siegrist, R. Tycko, S. H. Glarum, P. Marsh, G. Dabbagh, S. M. Zahurak, A. V. Makhija & C. Hampton

Nature 352, 701-703 (1991).

THE positions of the alkali atoms, originally reported as  $(0, \frac{1}{2}, z)$ with z = 0.28, should read  $(x, \frac{1}{2}, 0)$  with x = 0.22. These are the positions used in the calculations for Table 1 and the positions illustrated in Fig. 2.