Tubulin site interpretation

SIR—Paschal *et al.*¹ conclude that an amino-acid sequence at the carboxy terminus of α - and β -tubulin is a common

influence both tubulin assembly and the binding of MAP2 and dynein, raising the possibility that some MAPs interact

SEQUENCES AND OCCURRENCE OF β -TUBULIN.

Class	Sequence from residue 422	Brain	Red blood cells
I	yqqyqdataEEEEED-FGEERAKKEA	3	10
II	yqqyqdataDEQGE-FEEEGEEDEA	58	0
III	yqqyqdataEEEGEMYEDDEESEQGAK	25	0
IV	yqqyqdataEEEGE-FEEERAEAE	13	10
V	yqqyqEataNDGEEAFEDDEEINE	0	0
VI	yqqyqdataDVEE--YEEAEASPEKET	0	80

Isotype classes are shown to the left of the amino acid sequences. The last two columns show the percentages of total brain and erythrocyte tubulin, represented by β , of the classes shown (our unpublished observations).

site of interaction for the microtubule-associated proteins (MAPs) cytoplasmic dynein and MAP2. The identity of the site was inferred from the failure of tubulin subunits, cleaved with proteases near their carboxy termini at sites mapped by specific tubulin antibodies, to interact productively with dynein or MAP2. The conclusion is appealing because it suggests a common, perhaps universal, MAP-binding motif E(G/A)EE.

But we believe this interpretation requires fuller consideration. Although the authors note that the same binding domain is found on known α - and β -tubulins from various species, a comparison of the subunits that would compete for MAP binding within a single organism, or even within the same cell, is also relevant. The table shows the carboxy-terminal sequences of all the β -tubulins in the chicken². Remarkably, the supposed MAP-binding domain does not lie in a domain conserved among all β -isotypes, but in the hypervariable region that distinguishes the isotype classes from each other³. Only three of the six subunits carry the supposed binding sequence which, indeed, is missing in Class III β -tubulin expressed, like MAP2, exclusively in neurons.

It is also relevant that there are several high-affinity binding sites ($K_d = 5 \mu\text{M}$) on MAP2 (and also on tau) for a peptide containing residues 422–434, (ref. 4) which is almost invariant among known β -tubulin.

Finally, one of us (D.B.M.) has shown that MAP2 binds nearly equivalently (and with high affinity, $K_d = 0.1 \mu\text{M}$) to microtubules composed either of brain or erythrocyte tubulin, even though the class VI β -tubulin mostly involved does not contain the Paschal sequence and is very different from the other isotypes.

Thus the primary site of MAP interaction probably lies not where Paschal *et al.*¹ suggest, but in the neighbouring conserved region of β -tubulin molecules. Yet the acidic variable carboxy termini clearly

account for continuing dynein-based motility even in neurons in which MAP2 is abundant.

DON W. CLEVELAND

HARISH C. JOSHI

Department of Biological Chemistry,

DOUGLAS B. MURPHY

Department of Cell Biology,

School of Medicine,

Johns Hopkins University,

Baltimore, Maryland 21205,

USA

PASCHAL *ET AL.* REPLY — Murphy *et al.*, in discussing the importance of the tubulin sequence identified in our study, fail to address the role of α -tubulin in the interaction with dynein and MAP2, and ignore evidence contradicting a role for the more highly conserved β -tubulin region.

Our results¹ addressed α -tubulin most directly. In chicken blood-forming tissue and circulating erythrocytes the predominant α -tubulin isoform is α -1, which, in fact, contains the sequence EGEE^{5,6}. About 20 per cent of chicken erythrocyte β -tubulin also contains the related sequence EAEE (see table). In view of the well-known substoichiometric binding of MAPs to microtubules at saturating MAP concentrations, there is a substantial level of α - and β -tubulin isoforms in the erythrocyte containing our proposed binding sequence. We also note that the chief β -tubulin in the erythrocyte contains the sequence EEAEA, which could be functionally related to our proposed EEAE.

With respect to earlier evidence on the MAP2–tubulin interaction, Maccioni

preferentially with specific tubulin isotypes. Selective binding to β tubulin isotypes specific to the brain could be significant in relation to the observation of Paschal *et al.* that MAP2 blocks the interaction of dynein with tubulin in the brain: preferential MAP binding to particular isotypes could

*et al.*⁴ reported on the affinity of the β -tubulin peptide 422–434 but made no direct test of the extreme carboxy-terminal region. Littauer *et al.*⁷ found MAP2 binding to peptides from several regions in α - and β -tubulin but, significantly, no binding to the highly conserved β -tubulin sequence consisting of amino acids 416–431.

BRYCE M. PASCHAL

ROBERT A. OBAR

RICHARD B. VALLEE

Cell Biology Group,

Worcester Foundation for Experimental

Biology,

Shrewsbury,

Massachusetts 01545,

USA

Sex, growth and chance

SIR—In his News and Views article¹, Paul Burgoyne suggested that the *ZFY* and *ZFX* zinc-finger genes on the human sex chromosomes may speed up the development of somatic cells as a prerequisite for the differentiation of the testis. Lack of *ZFY* could therefore lead to failure of masculinization in XX patients presumed to carry the testis-determining *TDY* gene. Phenotypically, such patients can be male, female or hermaphrodite, but neither of the explanations discussed by Burgoyne to account for this variability — X inactivation and functional interchangeability of *ZFY* and *ZFX* gene products with quantitatively different effects — is supported by evidence from human cytogenetics.

When trying to explain the genetics of sex reversal, we need to abandon the search for models which have been successful in unravelling the basis of enzyme defects and confront the problem of cells growing at different rates, where chance may have the last word². When I first suggested that sex differentiation is initiated by differential growth³, I also suggested that threshold dichotomy⁴, or quasicontinuous variation⁵ provided the underlying mechanism. Here, a genetic system resulting in an apparently qualitative difference is caused by quantitative variation with a threshold effect, giving rise to two (almost) distinct classes. The concept was originally invoked to explain the basis of characters like extra toes in guinea pigs⁴ and missing teeth in mice⁵, which have an obvious genetic basis but are not transmitted according to mendelian rules. Neural-tube defects in mice can be caused by incompatible growth rates between different interacting tissues⁶, providing further support for the idea that the genetic basis of sex reversal is similar to that of spina bifida, which also has a genetic basis without a clear-cut pattern of inheritance.

1. Paschal, B. M. *et al.* *Nature* **342**, 569–572 (1989).

2. Monteiro, M. J. & Cleveland, D. W. *J. molec. biol.* **199**, 439–446 (1988).

3. Sullivan, K. F. & Cleveland, D. W. *Proc. natn. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **83**, 4327–4331 (1986).

4. Maccioni, R. *et al.* *EMBO J.* **7**, 1957–1963 (1988).

5. Pratt, L. F. & Cleveland, D. W. *EMBO J.* **7**, 931–940 (1988).

6. Murphy, D. B. & Wallis, K. T. *J. biol. Chem.* **258**, 7870–7875 (1983).

7. Littauer, U. Z. *et al.* *Proc. natn. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **83**, 7162–7166 (1986).