CORRESPONDENCE Evidence of non-reproducibility

SIR-The paper by Benveniste and colleagues' suggesting that the presence of a molecule in aqueous solution can transfer its properties to the solution in the absence of the molecule itself has, as the authors surely anticipated, caused considerable scepticism in our laboratory and others. Most new and revolutionary ideas are initially met with scepticism. But the essence of science is the universality of the principles, and if the ideas presented in this paper are true, they should extend to similar systems.

We have therefore attempted to reproduce the results in a system that models the allergic response. Cells of the cultured rat mast cell tumour line RBL-2H3 were primed with IgE and loaded with 5-hydroxytryptamine (serotonin), and assaved as previously described2, with the modifications described in the figure legend. The figure (representative of three similar experiments) shows that above a dilution of 1×10^4 of the antibody, there was no secretion above the spontaneous release (cells incubated in Hanks' buffer).

We cannot explain the discrepancy between these results and the results presented by the Benveniste group. The RBL-2H3 system we describe is a more



RBL-2H3 cells were primed with 1 µg ml⁻¹ monoclonal anti-dinitrophenol mouse IgE and loaded with [³H]serotonin (3 μ Ci ml⁻¹) for 3 h. The cells were washed and resuspended in modified Hanks' buffer (124.5 mM NaCl, 4.94 mM KCl, 0.4 mM Kh₂PO₄, 0.31 mM Na₂HPO₄, 15.2 mM NaHCO₃, 10 mM HEPES, 1.7 mM CaCl₂, 0.73 mM MgSO₄, 5.45 mM glucose, and 0.5 μ g ml⁻¹ bovine serum albumin, pH 7.4) at 5×10^{6} cells per ml. Tenfold dilutions of an affinity purified polyclonal anti-mouse IgE from rabbit serum were prepared in the same buffer, with vortexing for 20 seconds at each dilution as described in ref. 1. One hundred microlitres of the diluted antibody and one hundred microlitres of the cell suspension were combined in an Eppendorf microfuge tube, and incubated for 20 min at 37°C. The secretion was stopped with 0.5 ml of ice-cold phosphatebuffered saline and the cells were pelleted by centrifugation for 2 min in an Eppendorf microfuge. The serotonin in 0.5 ml of the supernatant was determined by scintillation counting. Assays were performed in triplicate. Error bars are shown where the error exceeded the size of the symbol. The dotted lines indicate the range of spontaneous release (buffer alone), measured at several times during the assay.

clearly defined, and probably more sensitive system, in that it uses a pure population of cells in large number (500,000 cells per assay as compared with the 60-120 cells counted per assay in the basophil system), a more quantitative assay method (release of labelled serotonin rather than loss of toluidine blue staining cells), a clearly defined IgE, and a purified antibody preparation instead of whole antiserum as reported by Benveniste and colleagues (although the claim is made that similar results were obtained with monoclonal anti-IgE, antigen, phospholipase A2, and Na⁺ and Ca²⁺ ionophores). One other, presumably trivial, difference between our study and the previous one are our use of Hanks' buffer instead of Tyrode's. We therefore conclude that, despite the elaborate controls of the previous study, the results do not describe a new scientific principle, but instead must represent, at best, some peculiarity of the assay, and at worst, an intriguing artefact.

JEANCLARE SEAGRAVE

Cell Pathology Laboratory, Surge Building, University of New Mexico, School of Medicine, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87131, USA

Davenas, E. el al. Nature 333, 816-818 (1988).

Pfeiffer, J.R., Seagrave, J.C., Davis, B.H., Deanin, G. G. & Oliver, J. M. J. Cell Biol. 101, 2145–2155 (1988).

SIR-We have repeated the experiment reported by Davenas et al.1, except that we used histamine release from human basophils rather than basophil degranulation to evaluate the biological effect of a highly diluted anti-IgE, because in several laboratories histamine release is the method of choice. (Benveniste and coworkers themselves, in describing their test, state that basophil degranulation gives results that correlate well with those of histamine release³.)

Circulating basophils from a good histamine releaser were separated on a dextran gradient and incubated with increasing dilutions (from 10⁻¹ to 10⁻⁴⁵) of a goat antihuman IgE (anti-Fc, Sigma Chemical, St Louis). Experimental conditions were as described by Davenas et al., including their protocals for shaking the tubes and changing pipette tips. Histamine released in the supernatants was assayed by the automated fluorimetric method3, and expressed as per cent of histamine release of the same aliquots of basophils treated with 0.4 M perchloric acid. As expected, a good histamine release was observed between 10⁻¹ and 10⁻⁴ dilutions of anti-IgE, with a dose-response curve ranging from 53 per cent to 6 per cent of histamine release.

However, no histamine release was observed for any further dilution. Repeat-

ing the experiment gave highly reproducible results.

We therefore conclude that the findings of Davenas et al. cannot be extended to another technique. Should we speculate why water can remember something on some occasions and forget it on others? As your editorial states: "It will be time for celebrations of that kind only when a lot more water has run underneath this bridge". . . and on laboratory desks.

SERGIO BONINI **EMILIO ADRIANI** FRANCESCO BALSANO

Andrea Cesalpino Foundation and Institute of Clinica Medica 1st, University of Rome "La Sapienza", 00161 Rome, Italy

1. Davenas E. et al. Nature 333, 816 (1988).

Benveniste, J. Ouest Med. 30, 467 (1977). Siraganian, R.P. Analyt. Biochem. 57, 383 (1974). 4. Nature 333, 787 (1988).

SIR-So now at last confirmation of what I have always suspected. Papers for publication in Nature are refereed by the Editor, a magician and his rabbit.

KEITH SNELL

Department of Biochemistry, University of Surrey, Guildford, Surrey GU2 5XH, UK

Regulating IVF

SIR-In the report "International outlook for embryo research" (Nature 333, 791; 1988) there were a number of misrepresentations regarding the complex regulatory climate in Australia.

There is no in vitro fertilization (IVF) legislation as such in Australia, since medical issues are decided state-by-state, and not on a Commonwealth basis. Only two states have passed laws regarding IVF, namely Victoria and South Australia. In the other states, IVF is governed by selfregulation under the auspices of the National Health and Medical Research Council. The only stipulations are that embryos should not be kept in culture beyond 14 days, and that local institutional bioethics committees should authorize the work.

The Victorian IVF law had been passed by the parliament in that state in 1984, but the vital section of the law dealing with embryo research was not proclaimed until 1 July 1988. According to the now valid law, research can only be performed with spare embryos left over in IVF treatments of infertile patients. Moreover, such research can only be conducted if prior approval had been obtained from a review committee set up by the Health Minister of Victoria.

Between its formulation in 1984 and proclamation in 1988, the original law was amended in an important way. As the law now stands, up to the stage of syngamy