able to devise a way for their bacteria to produce growth hormone without an extra methionine at one end of the molecule. This amino acid, say some hormone biochemists such as Dr Philip Lowry of St Bartholomew's Hospital, is a prime target for recognition by antibodies. He therefore predicts that when used in long-term therapy, bacterially-derived human growth hormone will provoke an antibody response that will preclude its clinical use.

It is too soon to know whether Dr Lowry's predictions are right. If not, Kabi Vitrum bacterial growth hormone should be available to plug the gap in Britain's traditional supplies before dosage has to be too seriously reduced. The reduction already planned is considered not to be disastrous; one expert reckons that at worst the children so treated would end up no more than three centimetres short of their maximal height.

Because there is a world shortage of the hormone there is little hope that DHSS will find alternative supplies. And even if it could, the cost would probably be prohibitive. One estimate is that 3 years ago, the cost was £1.25 per 5-unit ampoule whereas an equivalent ampoule purchased by DHSS from Kabi Vitrum now costs £15.

Peter Newmark

UK medical research

To those that hath...

British university departments asking the Medical Research Council (MRC) for research grants will now need an assurance of financial support from their universities. MRC's chief concern is that universities, in adjusting to their own reduced budgets, may starve individual departments of funds, with the result that its research grants are "rendered ineffective".

In a letter to universities this month, the council reaffirms its belief in the dual support system, under which British university departments winning grants from research councils are supposed to be maintained as "well-found laboratories" out of the general subvention from the University Grants Committee. It acknowledges, however, that there may be temporary difficulties, as when universities decide to freeze vacant posts.

The move is MRC's attempt to force the universities' hands. Like the Science and Engineering Research Council, it is concerned that the quality of university research will be irreparably damaged if universities spread their dwindling resources too thinly. Hence it will support the objective of the University Grants Committee (UGC) that universities should concentrate their own resources on good departments considered worthy of support. Applicants for new grants from institutions not favoured by UGC may be in for a raw deal.

The MRC, nevertheless, offers some help to universities. Researchers who lose

their jobs, for example, will be eligible for small personal grants, which, while not paying their salaries, will help them complete projects already started. Universities are also invited to nominate exceptionally promising researchers who may be eligible for help. MRC is willing to expand its senior fellowship scheme which provides support for up to ten years for promising young researchers unable to find tenured posts. Demand for the scheme, which has been running for four years, is already heavier this year than in the past. MRC is also willing to increase the number of awards it makes under existing schemes which free academics from teaching and administrative tasks to allow them to spend a few years on full-time research.

The release of the MRC letter to the universities last week coincided with publication of its annual report for the year ending March 1981 (HMSO £4.00). According to the report, the council has resolved its long-standing dispute over the terms of employment of researchers on short-term contracts. The council has agreed that 70-80 per cent of its posts will carry tenure, compared with 67 per cent previously. Short-term appointments will now be almost exclusively for three years and open competition will be invited for tenured positions. The new scheme will not cost the council more, according to Dr James Gowans, Secretary of MRC, chiefly because it does away with appointments of intermediate term.

During 1980–81, the council spent nearly £93 million, about £15 million of which was transferred to its budget from the Department of Health after the collapse of the Rothschild customer-contractor principle. Under the principle, first introduced in 1974, money was transferred from the council's annual budget to government departments for spending on research commissioned through the council. The new policy, however, has made little difference to the council's work, according to Dr Gowans, because the health department chose to commission long-term research which the council will continue to support. In the deal struck with the health department, the council has agreed to increase support for health service research to £2 million by 1985–86.

The year to March 1981 was not easy the council had to supplement its government grant with £500,000 of its own money and was not able to provide all the support for top quality research for which it was asked. But Dr. Gowans's chief concern is for the future. In particular, he fears that the government may renege on its earlier promise to maintain the real value of the science vote to be announced in December. The implications of a real budget cut for MRC, which in any one year has more than 90 per cent of the its budget tied up in on-going commitments, could be far-reaching, involving a reduction in the amount of research it supports in universities. Judy Redfearn

European Science Foundation

Signs of solidity

Strasbourg

The European Science Foundation (ESF) — Europe's fledgling international academy — appears to have come of age. Last week the foundation, representing 47 research councils in 18 countries, made its first direct approach to governments with a letter to research ministers requesting them to take up the idea of a "European Synchrotron Radiation Source", a £30 million third-generation source of X-rays for Europe.

The new source is considered necessary by most European X-ray users if Europe is to keep abreast of American competence in the field. But the foundation's request is significant not only for its content but as an example of the foundation's new confidence in the practical role it can play in Europe.

The foundation has previously been cautious of its role vis à vis its member research councils, but there seems to be such agreement among the various councils over the synchrotron source, such a need to cooperate financially in the present recession and such growing confidence in the foundation's offices that the members are willing to let the corporate ESF approach go ahead.

Professor Hubert Curien, the French president of the foundation, makes it clear in his letter to the ministers that ESF—as a non-governmental organization—cannot handled political questions such as the site for the source or the national contributions to its cost, but requests governments to set up a committee of representatives to do just that. The governmental committee would then work at arm's length from the foundation, "seeded", as it were, by the foundation's earlier enthusiasm, hard work and the now-detailed specification of the X-ray machine.

How governments will respond is yet to be seen, but already several countries and organizations have made unofficial offers of sites, the most detailed of which has come from Italy (for Trieste). The ESF committee has also made a careful study of the possible use of a tunnel at the European Centre for Nuclear Physics (CERN) near Geneva, which now holds the intersecting storage rings, a ten-year-old device likely to be closed within a few years to save money for the large electron-positron collider (LEP). If the new X-ray source were built at CERN it would, however, come outside the CERN budget.

According to the foundation's optimum timetable, the intergovernmental committee would meet early in 1982; governments would take a decision in principle in early 1983; and the source would be in operation by 1988-89.

The foundation also agreed last week—at its annual assembly in Strasbourg—on a serious study of what may be its next big project—a "geotraverse" of Europe. This