Antarctic ice sheet<sup>4</sup> has been under investigation by Hughes, Denton and others as part of the CLIMAP experiments<sup>5</sup>. Results of their analysis were presented during the Symposium on the Dynamics of Large Ice Masses, held in August 1978 at Ottawa; where a review of our work was also presented.

We wish to acknowledge the work of Denton, Hughes and their colleagues concerning the Pine Island and Thwaites glaciers, and we do not claim priority in recognizing the existence of these glaciers, their importance as calving bays, and the possibility that they may currently be "surging".

**ROBERT H. THOMAS** 

148 Main Street. Orono. Maine 04473

TIMOTHY J. O. SANDERSON

Geophysics Department. Imperial College. London SW7 2BP, UK

KEITH E. ROSE

5 Upper Gwydir Street, Cambridge CB1 2LR, UK

- 1. Thomas, R. H., Sanderson, T. J. O. & Rose, K. E. Nature 277. 355-358 (1979).
- 2. Hughes, T. Rev. Geophys. Space Phys. 13, 502-526 (1975).
- Hughes, T. Rev. Geophys. Space Phys. 15, 1-46 (1977).
  Mercer, J. H. Int. Ass. Sci. Hydrol. Publ. No. 79, 217-225 (1968).
- 5. Denton, G. H. & Hughes, T. (eds) The Last Great Ice Sheets (Wiley-Interscience, New York, in the press)

## **Terminal Cretaceous** catastrophe

THE statements of Smit and Hertogen<sup>1</sup> that the terminal Cretaceous extinctions "extremely abrupt"  $(\sim 200 \text{ yr}),$ were lacked "warning signal," and that "gradual extinction lose their credibility on more detailed inspection," require substantiation. Simultaneous geologicalrange terminations of numerous taxa in a stratigraphic section usually indicate a hiatus (missing strata). A terminal Cretaceous marine shallow-water CaCO<sub>3</sub> dissolution event is noted at most marine localities. Was it not operative at Caravaca? Such an event would have caused a terminal Cretaceous hiatus that, in itself, could have accounted for the simultaneous termination of ranges in the Caravaca section, creating the illusion of catastrophic extinctions. Certainly, the termination of ranges via a hiatus would lack a 'warning signal'. Until it is proved that a terminal Cretaceous hiatus does not exist in the Caravaca section, claims of a terminal Cretaceous 'catastrophe' cannot be accepted.

An instantaneous catastrophe phenomenon such as a meteorite impact would have caused synchronous land and marine extinctions. Smit and Hertogen's claims of "near synchronous extinction" conflicts with Butler et al.<sup>2</sup> and Lindsay et al.<sup>3</sup> who cast serious doubt on any simultaneity. The statement "the independence of the event from the known normal environmental processes going on in the latest Cretaceous", is unfounded; Smit and Hertogen fail to consider the global warming of deep and shallow marine waters across the Cretaceous-Tertiary boundary noted by Boersma et al.4 and Margolis et al.<sup>5</sup> They also fail to explain why only planktonic CaCO<sub>3</sub>-producing organisms marine were affected significantly by the extinctions. Our Cretaceous-Tertiary dinoflagellate studies along the eastern US-even across a terminal Cretaceous hiatus-record no notable terminal Cretaceous extinctions. Clearly, oceanic pH changes seem to have been a factor in the marine extinctions.

Smit and Hertogen have failed to integrate significant aspects of the geobiological record into their extraterrestrial extinction model. As such, their use of the "holocaust" for the terminal term Cretaceous extinctions is inappropriate.

**DEWEY M. MCLEAN** 

Department of Geological Sciences, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, Virginia 24061

- 1. Smit, J. & Hertogen, J. Nature 285, 198-200 (1980). 2. Butler, R. F., Lindsay, E. H., Jacobs, W. L. & Johnson, N.
- M. Nature 267, 318-323 (1977). 3. Lindsay, E. H., Butler, R. F., Johnson, N. M. & Jacobs, L.
- L. Geology 7, 66-71 (1979). 4. Boersma, A., Shackleton, N., Hall, M. & Given, O. Init.
- Boersma, A., Snackleton, N., Hall, M. & Given, Q. Int. Rep. DSDP 43, 695-718 (1979).
  Margolis, S. V., Kroopnick, P. M. & Goodney, D. E. Mar. Geol. 25, 131-147 (1977).

SMIT AND HERTOGEN REPLY-The hiatus assumed by McLean is the least likely explanation for extinctions at the Cretaceous-Tertiary boundary. McLean is confusing the sequence of the thermal events at the boundary and fails to consider the iridium peak, important evidence which we used to postulate a catastrophic (meteoritic) event.

McLean's postulated hiatus would have to be quite large to make it worldwide, and to let it wipe out any geochemical or other 'warning signals'. If such a large hiatus were operative, other taxa like benthic foraminifera and dinoflagellates should reflect a similar "illusion of catastrophic extinction", which is not the case. Further, the expected residual clay deposit from a dissolution event is not present either; a dissolution of the topmost 100 m of the Cretaceous at Caravaca would leave a 20-m thick clay deposit, but only one species would be added to the total of 55 planktonic species that became extinct. We have argued previously<sup>1,2</sup> that all stratigraphic subdivisions known to be connected with the boundary are present at Caravaca, including an additional interval, without signs of a hardground or dissolution. McLean needs to prove that a hiatus is present at Caravaca.

While synchronism of extinction is difficult to confirm, the results of Butler et  $al.^3$  and Lindsay et al.<sup>4</sup> have been seriously questioned<sup>5-7</sup>. McLean also does not mention a similar magnetostratigraphic investigation by Lerbeckmo et al.<sup>8,9</sup>, who favour synchronism. The problem has not been satisfactorily resolved and a claim of diachronism cannot vet be accepted.

The independence of the event from the normal environmental processes going on in the latest Cretaceous is inherent in the model and it certainly deserves further testing. McLean, however, is confusing cause and effect of the Cretaceous-Tertiary boundary event, when he brings a 'global warming' into the discussion as a normal terminal Cretaceous process. Data of Boersma et al.<sup>10</sup> and Margolis et al.<sup>11</sup> which indicate a warming, are exclusively Palaeocene and postdate the event. Rather a slight cooling is observed from the Cretaceous data only. The 'global warming' of Margolis *et al.*<sup>11</sup> indicates that Cretaceous-Tertiary boundary the occurred within a period of high global temperatures, lasting ~40 Myr from mid-Cretaceous to Lower Eocene, but as such there is no reason to relate these to the Cretaceous-Tertiary boundary event.

Lowermost Palaeocene warming<sup>12</sup> may well be a consequence of a large impact; either by direct heat generation or by a sort of 'greenhouse effect' (following oceanic impact) much in the same way as in the model of Alvarez et al.<sup>13</sup>. Dinoflagellates may escape the supposed suppression of sunlight by their cystforming abilities, as well as the dinoflagellate-like, CaCO<sub>3</sub> producing nannofossils Braarudosphaera and Thoracosphaera. However, we agree that the biological consequences of a very large impact are largely speculative at the moment.

JAN SMIT

Geological Institute, Nieuwe Prinsengracht 130, Amsterdam, Netherlands

- 1. Smit, J. & Hertogen, J. Nature 285, 198-200 (1980).
- 2. Smit, J. Cretaceous-Tertiary Boundary Event Symp. II, 156-163 (1979).
- 3. Butler, R. F., Lindsay, E. H., Jacobs, N. M. & Johnson, N. M. Nature 267, 318-323 (1977). 4. Lindsay, E. H., Butler, R. F., Johnson, N. M. & Jacobs, L.
- L. Geology 7, 66-71 (1979). 5. Alvarez, W. & Vann, D. W. Geology 7, 66-67 (1979). 6. Fassett, J. E. Geology 7, 69-70 (1979).

- Lucas, S. G. & Rigby, J. K. Geology 7, 323-326 (1979).
  Lerbeckmo, J. F., Evans, M. E. & Baadsgaard, H. Nature 279, 26-30 (1979).
- 9. Lerbeckmo, J. F., Evans, M. E. & Baadsgaard, H. Nature 284, 376 (1980).
- Boersma, A., Shackleton, N., Hall, M. & Given, Q. Init. Rep. DSDP 43, 695-718 (1979).
- Margolis, S. V., Kroopnick, P. M. & Goodney, D. E. Mar. Geol. 25, 131-147 (1977). 12. Thierstein, H. R. & Berger, W. H. Nature 276, 461-466
- (1978). 13. Alvarez, L. W., Alvarez, W., Asaro, F. & Michel, H. W. Science 208, 4448, 1095-1108 (1980)
- 14. Emiliani, C. EOS 61, 26, 505-506 (1980).