infected tissues and cause the clinical symptoms of spongiform encephalopathies. Bruno Oesch, from Prionics, claims its test is "99.9 per cent specific", and says that the company has reduced the time from processing samples to obtaining results to six hours.

But several scientists are sceptical of the performance of all the tests being developed, arguing that there remains uncertainty about their specificity and sensitivity.

Diagnosing spongiform encephalopathies is intrinsically difficult, compared with an AIDS test for example, where the presence of antibodies to HIV clearly indicates the presence of the virus. Abnormal prions may only be present in animals at a relatively late stage, so detecting their absence may not guarantee that the animal is not sick, argue several scientists.

They claim that, whereas tests may be able to detect BSE in sick animals, so far no published data provide convincing proof that tests could reliably detect preclinical cases. The commission's ambition of testing millions of cattle is "very premature", says one.

Despite these limitations, the potential of a test as a tool for managing BSE is "so great that the commission has put a very high priority on it," according to one official from the commission's consumer directorate.

To put pressure on BSE-free countries to accept stricter precautions, the commission has set up an expert panel to prepare a "geographical risk assessment" of BSE in Europe. This will construct mathematical models of risk based, for example, on feed use, imports and farming practices. Using such a model, Germany, currently listed as BSE-free, would probably be reclassified as 'at risk' from BSE, says one commission official. **Declan Butler**

Fischler: weighing BSE risk in sheep.

Cuts 'could leave energy department brain-dead'

[WASHINGTON] The US Department of Energy (DOE) is facing a crisis in overseeing its research programmes as its most experienced technical staff leave and others await retirement, according to senior officials.

Almost half of the DOE's 500 technical managers — who oversee billions of dollars worth of research programmes from its Washington headquarters — will be eligible for retirement in the next five years, according to a report presented last week to the department's Laboratory Operations Board.

"The technical managers are overwhelmed and discouraged about the future," says David Crandall, manager of the inertial confinement fusion programme and co-chair of the panel that produced the report.

"Due to continued downsizing, without concomitant reduction in programmes, those who remain are overwhelmed with work and often exhausted," the report says. It also warns that the "pipeline" of younger people to become research managers at the department "is virtually empty".

The energy department has been under heavy pressure to reduce its staffing levels since 1995, when the then newly elected Republican Congress threatened to abolish it outright. Hazel O'Leary, then energy secretary, responded to this threat by proposing a Strategic Alignment Initiative (SAI), which committed all sections of the department to meet fixed targets for staff reductions.

As a result, the department is unable to replace people who leave, including the technical managers who are supposed to supervise its physics, nuclear weapons and other energy-related research programmes.

"The department has a potential crisis within five years, in which time it will go

brain-dead," asserts Richard Hopf, a deputy assistant secretary for procurement.

At the meeting of the Laboratory Operations Board in Washington on 9 September, other senior officials said they were already aware of the problems raised by the report.

Victor Reis, for example, assistant secretary for defence programmes, said the nuclear weapons stewardship programme has a dozen technical management positions that have gone unfilled for a year because of the SAI targets. "Everybody just took a proportional cut" in staff numbers, Reis said. "It wasn't related to the job that had to be done."

John McTague, co-chairman of the Laboratory Operations Board, who is a vice-president of Ford and once served as science adviser to George Bush when he was US president, pointed out that the issue of attracting good people to work for the federal government was a perennial one.

But Martha Krebs, assistant secretary for energy research, echoed Reis's frustration with the current logjam.

According to Crandall's report, the problem of retiring staff is most acute in Krebs's office, which oversees \$2 billion worth of research in physics and basic science. Of 102 technical managers at the office in 1995, 14 have already left and another 33 will be eligible to retire by the end of this year. Krebs says the root of the problem lies with Congress, where appropriations committees have demanded staff cuts and tried to block efforts to second technical staff from the laboratories to the department's headquarters.

But staff at the committees claim that although the department was invited to propose a plan that would enable it to fill key positions, it has failed to do so. **Colin Macilwain**

Biology teaching wins \$90m boost from Howard Hughes institute

[WASHINGTON] The Howard Hughes Medical Institute (HHMI) has awarded \$90 million in grants to support the development of undergraduate education in biology at 58 US universities.

The money — the largest-ever tranche of education funding from the institute — is intended to help the universities to introduce undergraduates to research, to update undergraduate science courses, and to build outreach programmes with local schools and community colleges.

Joseph Perpitch, HHMI's vice-president for grants, says the money will encourage improvements to undergraduate education at a time when major research universities have been criticized for neglecting it.

A commission established by Ernest Boyer, the late president of the Carnegie Foundation, reported earlier this year that many leading research universities were failing to teach undergraduates what research was, or to let them do any of it (see *Nature* 392, 746; 1998).

Perpitch says that the 58 universities selected by HHMI for support from 191 applicants "have a strong commitment to undergraduate science education". He adds that the Boyer report "didn't reflect what's happening at these institutions".

At Cornell University in New York State, for example, a \$2.2 million grant will support undergraduates doing laboratory research, and help to continue an outreach

programme to high school biology teachers.

Peter Bruns, director of the Cornell programme, says undergraduates will be exposed to laboratory practice on several levels as a result of the grant. All 1,000 students on an introductory course in biology will spend a short time in a research lab. Three hundred of the students will also have the chance to conduct independent research, and 70 will receive a stipend to spend a summer with a mentor in the lab.

But Bruns says the overall picture for undergraduate education remains "mixed" at Cornell and other research universities. He thinks many institutions "have not come to grips with the issue" of undergraduate science education. **C.M.**