ELLIOTT MILLS THEODORE A. SLOTKIN

Department of Physiology and Pharmacology, Duke University Medical School, Durham, North Carolina 27710

SANFORD R. SAMPSON

Cardiovascular Research Institute, University of California, San Francisco.

San Francisco, California 94143

¹Osborne, M. P., and Butier, P. J., Nature, 254, 701 (1975).

(1975).
² Sampson, S. R., Nicolaysen, G., and Jaffe, R., Brain Res., 85, 437 (1975).
³ Mills, E., and Slotkin, T., Life Sci., 16, 1555 (1975).
⁴ Carlsson, A., and Lindquist, M., J. Neur. Transmission, 35, 181 (1974).

OSBORNE AND BUTLER REPLY-OUT conclusion' that a catecholamine (probably dopamine) is continuously released from the glomus cells during normoxia, and that the rate of release decreases during hypoxia, was based on the following: (1) glomus cells store large amounts of catecholamines, in particular, dopamine²; (2) catecholamines, especially dopamine, suppress or block the chemoreceptor discharge^{3,4}; and (3) α -adrenoreceptor blocking agents greatly increase receptor firing rate (up to tenfold)^{1,4} during normoxia and the effectiveness of the these blocking agents decreases during hypoxia3.

It has since been indicated that there is a high turnover rate of catecholamines in the glomus cells, and that it seems likely that these cells release dopamine at a high rate³. This catecholamine activity by the glomus cells is envisaged to occur in normoxic conditions. Indeed, the metabolism of catecholamines requires the presence of molecular oxygen6. These observations suggest that as the oxygen availability decreases, the metabolism of catecholamines declines.

In the above article7, the phrase "the increase in fluorescence in untreated carotid bodies after hypoxia" is puzzling in view of the facts that Mills and Slotkin⁸ describe a decrease in catecholamine content of the carotid body during hypoxia, and Sampson et al.9 did not in fact make the straightforward comparison between the amounts of catecholamines present in the glomus cells of untreated normoxic and hypoxic carotid bodies. We do not therefore accept that these two papers^{8,9} contain unequivocal evidence regarding the effect of hypoxia on the release of catecholamines from the glomus cells of the carotid body.

Furthermore, Mills and Slotkin^s state that the decrease in catecholamine content of the carotid body during hypoxia is largely mediated by way of the sinus nerve, whereas Sampson et al.9 report that the catecholamine

content of the glomus cells of the carotid body was higher in those organs with an intact sinus nerve. In an attempt to reconcile these apparent differences, Mills et al.7 state more precisely that Mills and Slotkin⁸ were referring to noradrenaline and adrenaline only, whereas Sampson et al.9 measured total catecholamines. They now conclude that dopamine levels are increased within the glomus cells, whereas noradrenaline and adrenaline are released in response to efferent nerve activity. Whether this effect is mediated through a direct supply to the glomus cells or via the vasculature is not discussed by these authors, but it does raise the important issue regarding which catecholamine(s) is the major agent of chemoreceptive inhibition.

As has been shown^{5,10,11}, and as pointed out here, dopamine seems to be the favoured candidate.

University of Birmingham, Birmingham B15 2TT, UK

- ¹ Osorne, M. T., and Ballet, T. J., Huller, 23, 101 (1975).
 ² Dearnaley, D. P., Fillenz, M., and Woods, R. I., *Proc. R. Soc.*, B170, 195-203 (1968).
 ³ Sampson, S. R., Brain Res., 45, 266-270 (1972).
 ⁴ Mitchell, R. A., and McDonald, D. M., in *The Peripheral Arterial Chemoreceptors* (edit. by Purves, M. J.), 269-292 (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1975).
 ⁵ Fillenz, M., *ibid.*, 133-142.
 ⁶ Mills, E., Slotkin, T. A., and Sampson, S. R., *Nature*, 258, 268-269 (1975).
 ⁸ Mills, E., and Slotkin, T., *Life Sci.*, 16, 1555-1562 (1975).
 ⁹ Sampson, S. R., Nicolavsen, G., and Jaffe, R.,

(1975).
9 Sampson, S. R., Nicolaysen, G., and Jaffe, R., Brain Res., 85, 437-446 (1975).
10 Sampson, S. R., in *The Peripheral Arterial Chemore-*ceptors (edit. by Purves, M. J.), 207-220 (Cam-bridge University Press, Cambridge, 1975).
11 McDonald, D. M., and Mitchel, R. A., *ibid.*, 101-132.

Spectacle haloes

THE nuclear geophysical enigma of the ²¹⁰Po haloes¹ is quite fascinating, but the explanation put forward on the basis of a novel form of 206Pb is not easy either to understand or to believe.

If no primordial lead is present, all of the 206Pb, 207Pb and 208Pb found in ordinary U-Th haloes will be derived from polonium α decay. To explain all of the polonium haloes observed by Gentry¹⁻³ without apparent α -emitting precursors it is not useful to assume new types of stable lead daughter products but rather some unknown, long lived isomers either of ²¹⁸Po and ²¹⁰Po or of β-emitting precursors of these. The haloes may be explicable without such an assumption. What is needed is that in a usual halo the polonium isotopes must decay while still in the uranium inclusion from which they are derived, whereas in the enigmatic halo they must together have migrated by at least hundreds of micrometres from their ancestral uranium.

Since the enigmatic halo has an intensity comparable with that of an ordinary halo, it must be attributable to the decay of quantities of polonium corresponding

to the total output of a normal, haloproducing, uranium inclusion for at least tens of millions of years. That is a very long period compared with the half lives of isotopes of any elements other than uranium and thorium themselves. Conditions must, therefore, have been such as to allow migration from the original parent sources for very long periods.

The most likely migrant would seem to be lead, since emanation or polonium would give larger haloes than are seen. In that case there would be ²¹⁰Pb with a half life of 22 yr in one series and ²¹¹Pb with a half life of 38 min in the other. Further, the 232Th series, which eventually produces the observed 208Pb, has 212Pb with a half life of 10.6 h as a carrier, though it has no polonium with a half life of longer than 0.16s. I do not know the temperature required for that migration of lead at a suitable rate, but it is, presumably, fairly high. Geological evidence would be interesting: Gentry himself has described² short fission tracks which suggest that some mica matrices may have been at a high temperature for a long time.

I do not claim that this explanation is highly probable-the events to be explained are rare-but Gentry's explanation would seem to require a higher order of improbability. Both ²¹⁸Po and ²¹⁰Po would need to have unknown precursors with half lives of a few hundred million years; lead or bismuth precursors would presumably have crystallised out with observable quantities of stable isotopes of the same elements. Finally, Gentry has also found^{2,3} a variety of dwarf or other haloes for which he assumes different, otherwise unknown, a-emitters. All of those a-emitters apparently have mutually similar half lives or they would either have decayed too soon or would still be around in observable amounts. One such unknown isomer would be unlikely enough; so many would seem very close to impossible.

J. H. FREMLIN

Department of Physics, University of Birmingham, Birmingham B15 2TT, UK

Gentry, R. V. et al., Nature, 252, 564 (1974).
 Gentry, R. V., Science, 173, 727 (1971).
 Gentry, R. V., A. Rev. nucl. Sci., 23, 347 (1973).

GENTRY REPLIES-The crucial question is whether the polonium atoms which formed the polonium haloes were secondarily derived from the decay of uranium and thorium (see, for example, ref. 1) or from unknown isomers1, or whether they were primordial.

The isomer hypothesis1 is one way that polonium haloes could have been explained. My present view is that experimental results have ruled out the isomer hypothesis.

As for the postulate that uranium daughter activity provides a source of polonium atoms, I agree that in special

¹ Osborne, M. P., and Butler, P. J., Nature, 254, 701 (1975).