545 nm bands, seems to be the sulphur vacancy. The other, which causes the 355 nm absorption, was not identified. The presence of halogen or aluminium impurities also affected the spectra observed.

It therefore seems that illuminationdrifted filters might be restored to their original condition by suitable illumination and/or heat treatment. Furthermore, it may be possible, by controlling the impurity content of the zinc sulphide, to make interference filters whose passband does not drift under illumination.

## Crack theory developed

## from Peter J. Smith

THE dilatancy model for earthquake precursors is one which in general terms attracts wide support. But as O'Connell and Budiansky (J. Geophys. Res., 79, 5412; 1974) point out, there are disagreements over detail which reveal that understanding is far from complete. For example, Nur (Bull. seismol. Soc. Am., 62, 1217; 1972) proposed that the precursory decrease in P wave-S wave velocity ratio  $(V_P/V_S)$  is due to the opening of new dry cracks in the focal zone of an earthquake, whereas Whitcomb et al. (Science, 180, 632; 1973) noted that vaporising the fluid in saturated cracks would have the same effect. Perhaps it would be wrong to describe this as a disagreement, since it is doubtful whether either party would man the barricades to support their respective viewpoints; suffice it to say that here we have two possible mechanisms for the same phenomenon and that it is necessary to determine which, if either (and if not both), actually obtains.

What does seem to be agreed by most people is that the elastic responses of rocks in an earthquake zone are critically dependent upon the presence of internal cracks and pores and whether they are wet or dry. Yet even here the dilatancy models currently available may be incorrect in detail. As O'Connell and Budiansky again point out, current theoretical analyses of the effect of cracks on the elastic properties of solids apply only to systems in which the cracks are assumed to be so far apart that the effect of each crack on the properties of the uncracked material may be determined independently. This was the basis upon which Walsh (J. geophys. Res., 74, 4333; 1969), for example, assessed the influence of both dry and saturated cracks; and his analysis was derived, in turn, from the earlier work of Wu (Int. J. Solids Struct., 2, 1; 1966) and Eshelby (Proc. R. Soc., A241, 376; 1957). Actual crack densities in rocks

have seldom been measured or estimated. So are dilatancy models involving low crack densities really valid?

To find out, O'Connell and Budiansky have carried out a new theoretical analysis which puts no initial restriction on the crack density and thus takes into account interactions between cracks. This freedom turns out to be critical. The model itself consists of a solid containing very thin randomly oriented ellipsoidal cracks which may be wholly dry, wholly saturated or a mixture of both. When the elastic properties predicted from the model are compared with the experimental data obtained by Nur and Simmons (Earth planet. Sci. Lett., 7, 183; 1969), who measured wave velocities in both dry and saturated rock samples at various pressures up to 3 kbar, it becomes quite clear that consistency can only be achieved by relatively high crack densities. For example, at zero pressure dry Westerly granite has a crack density of 0.25, which corresponds to one crack of diameter 1.2 units per unit volume (for example, one crack with a diameter of 1.2 mm mm<sup>-3</sup>). The corresponding figures for other dry rocks measured by Nur and Simmons range from 0.15 to 0.6, while in its wet state the Casco granite has a crack density as high as 0.7 (dry 0.4). Thus, all the rocks are extensively cracked and hence not strictly amenable to any theoretical treatment which presumes dilute crack concentrations.

A similar comparison is possible between the characteristics of the O'Connell-Budiansky model and the premonitory behaviour of the rocks in the region of the San Fernando earthquake of 1971—with equally interesting results. As Whitcomb *et al.* have reported, the seismic velocity ratio  $V_P/V_s$  decreased from its normal value

some 3-4 years before the San Fernando event and then gradually increased to its initial value just before the shock occurred. The original discovery of this effect was based on small earthquakes which preceded the main San Fernando shock in what was later seen to be the aftershock area and which were recorded at two stations on the same side of the aftershock zone. Subsequent work has shown that a similar result is obtained for small earthquakes occurring well outside the limits of the aftershock area but measured at two stations which have the aftershock zone between them. In other words, precursory changes in  $V_{\rm P}/V_{\rm S}$  are observed irrespective of whether the waves used to measure  $V_P$  and  $V_S$  pass through the main shock's epicentral zone or not.

In terms of the O'Connell-Budiansky model, however, the gross similarity in  $V_{\rm P}/V_{\rm S}$  behaviour does not entirely extend to the physical mechanism behind the variations. Outside the San Fernando epicentral region (original data recorded at stations on the same side of the region), the crack density before the  $V_P/V_S$  decrease lay in the range 0.2-0.3 and the cracks were predominantly saturated. Then during the  $V_{\rm P}/V_{\rm S}$  decrease in 1967 the crack density decreased marginally and the fluid in the cracks vaporised, which is consistent with the interpretation made originally by Whitcomb et al. But during the subsequent increase in  $V_{\rm P}/V_{\rm S}$  the crack density decreased much more noticeably to 0.15 and the cracks resaturated. This reduction in crack density in inconsistent with increased dilatancy upon resaturation, and implies instead a relaxation of strain which allows some cracks to close completely and the rest to relax sufficiently to eliminate the vapour

## Getting closer to prediction

from Peter J. Smith

THE United States Geological Survey claims that "significant progress" was made towards earthquake prediction when scientists at the National Center for Earthquake Research in California managed to anticipate a moderate shock which took place on November 28 last year. This event, which had a magnitude of 5.2, occurred between the San Andreas and Calaveras faults about 16 km north of Hollister, California.

Prediction was based largely on precursory deformation of the Earth's crust and changes in the magnetic field. Crustal tilting was first observed about 4 weeks before the earthquake at two locations near what was to be the epicentre. But a "dramatic anomaly" in the geomagnetic field in the epicentral region was spotted about 6 weeks ahead. Later analysis of recorded seismic data showed that premonitory variations in seismic wave velocity had also occurred.

According to the survey's director, Dr V. E. McKelvey, this is the first time that such a variety of precursory phenomena has been observed for a single earthquake in the United States. He warned, however, that this success should not be taken to imply that routine prediction for public safety planning is now possible. Significantly, he also pointed out that much still needs to be learned about how successful prediction could be used most effectively in reducing hazards.