www.nature.com/leu

EDITORIAL

Workshop on Minimal Residual Disease

9th Expert Meeting for Pediatric Oncology and Hematology, funded by the Kind-Philipp Foundation, Germany

Reisensburg/Ulm, 24-26 October 1999

In October 1998, the Kind-Philipp Foundation asked Martin Schrappe (Hannover) and Claus R Bartram (Heidelberg) to set up an organizing committee to invite a faculty of experts for a special workshop on minimal residual disease (MRD) in malignant disease. The intention of this workshop was not only to bring scientists together from different backgrounds, but to have them teach a small group of young researchers and to foster scientific discussion and exchange. The organizing committee (M Schrappe, CR Bartram, JJM van Dongen, M Kneba, G Henze) assembled an international faculty of 30 basic scientists and clinical researchers from 10 different countries and similarly sized group of young fellows, mainly from Germany, Austria and Italy.

Initially, the workshop covered the issues of leukemia etiology (fetal origin, deletion of parental genes as potential risk), the detection of leukemia at birth (Guthrie cards), and the impact of gene rearrangements of 11q23 in childhood ALL. Subsequent speakers coming from research in solid tumors (neuroblastoma, breast cancer) focused on new technologies of detecting minimal amounts of disseminated tumors cells or tumor cell DNA, thus raising important questions about how to define clinical stages of malignant disease at diagnosis as much as during follow-up. The second day was devoted to new technological aspects for the detection of minimal residual disease in leukemia and disseminated cancer. Most important were the issues of quantification, in particular due to the introduction of new more automated technology (LightCycler, Taqman). This discussion raised the question of whether results generated by different techniques are comparable. In particular for the leukemias, the comparison of RT-PCR for fusion genes, clonospecific PCR for immunoglobulin and T cell receptor (TCR) genes, and immunophenotyping for leukemia-specific antigen detection were debated.

The third major part of the workshop illustrated the important results of the clinical application of MRD detection

in various clinical settings and in different biological subtypes. New data were presented with regard to the prognostic impact of MRD in adult and pediatric leukemia protocols (including stem cell transplantation), as well as in the treatment of non-Hodgkin's lymphoma and Ewing's sarcoma. Some of the new data indicate that not only the level of MRD at a certain point in treatment, but also the type and intensity of treatment before material for MRD detection is obtained, is important for its interpretation. This implies that (semiguantitative) MRD results have not only to be taken with caution with regard to the detection technique, but also with respect to treatment applied. The final session focused on some fundamental issues arising from the arrival of the new detection techniques in the clinical setting. First of all, the prognostic impact of MRD needs to be evaluated in prospective clinical trials whenever such technology is being introduced into the treatment of a new disease entity, and with predefined conditions for guality control of the method. The high sensitivity available today requires new definitions for 'response', 'remission', and 'relapse' which up to this point are based on morphology in cytology and histopathology, or on imaging techniques in the management of solid tumors and their metastases. Most participants agreed that an uncontrolled introduction of new definitions for remission and relapse would be detrimental for clinical trials. Also, the introduction of this kind of detection technology would not necessarily help the patient, as the clinical behavior of minimal disease is not known for various tumor entities. With regard to cost/benefit aspects it is mandatory to prove first in well-designed clinical trials that any new stratification of treatment according to new MRD detection technique will benefit the patients.

This issue of *Leukemia* comprises several contributions of this workshop published as Synopses and chosen by the organizing committee. The coordinator wishes to thank the Kind-Philipp Foundation, Essen, Germany, for its generous support, the members of the organizing committee for their input, and the participants for their contributions.

Correspondence: M Schrappe, Medicinische Hochschule, Ped Hematology/Oncology, Carl Neuberg Str 1, D-30625, Hannover, Germany; Fax 49 511 532 9029 Received 15 September 2000; accepted 27 September 2000

Workshop on Minimal Residual Disease: Scientific Program

Session I

Opening remarks	P Beck, Kind-Philipp Foundation, Essen G Henze, Chairman, Society of Pediatric Hematology and Oncology (GPOH), and Member of the Board, Kind-F	Philipp Foundation
leukemia The promiscuity of 11q23/MLL Rapid isolation of chromosoma for basic and clinical research Detection of leukemia specific Distribution of malignant disease <i>Chair</i> : M Greaves, A Biondi Minimal detectable disease in Biological characteristics of die nodes	emia s as possible susceptibility factor in the etiology of infant – the story goes on al breakpoints from patients with t(4;11) ALL: implications antigen receptor gene rearrangements in Guthrie cards (2) patients with solid tumors: diagnosis and surveillance esseminated carcinoma cells in bone marrow and lymph DNA in the blood (plasma/serum) of cancer patients	M Greaves A Biondi A Borkhardt R Marschalek K Fasching P Ambros K Pantel P Anker L Foroni
Session II Detection of minimal malignant of Sensitivity/time effort/limitations <i>Chair</i> : JJM van Dongen, AA Morl Flow cytometry for immunpher Ig/TCR gene rearrangements – Chromosomal aberrations/Fusio Single cells (CGH) Strategies to overcome the limit	ey notypic detection of MRD PCR on genes	D Campana R Panzer-Grümayer J Harbott M Speicher P Ambros
leukemia Light cycler technology using I Strategies for quantification of	sensitive quantification for study of MRD in acute gH and Ig markers MRD cific immunophenotypes and prospective utilization in	AA Morley T Flohr JJM van Dongen D Campana A Hochhaus
Session III		
Experience from clinical studies <i>Chair</i> : H Riehm, K Pantel	ection (1) in leukemia and sarcoma etween T-ALL and precursor-B cell leukemia	M Willemse

MRD in Ewing sarcoma

Multiparameter flow cytometry for detection of ALL in trial ALL-BFM 95 Clinical significance of MRD monitoring in TEL/AML1-positive ALL patients Results from the NOPHO ALL trials: impact of MRD M Willemse R Panzer-Grümayer A Biondi A Zoubek MN Dworzak J Trka K Schmiegelow

Purcome prediction by MRD at end of induction depends on therapeutic regimen IRD detection in the cooperative Ewing trials ical implications of MRD detection (2) onal prospective application of MRD in clinical studies <i>ir</i> : G Henze, M Kneba linical applications of MRD in adult ALL dult NHL: significance of MRD ediatric ALL: stratification and endpoints EL/AML1 in relapsed ALL ical implications of MRD detection (3) T and prognostic impact of leukemia surveillance <i>ir</i> : A Reiter, T Klingebiel pproaches for MRD detection after allogeneic BMT esidual leukemia and outcome of BMT IRD in ALL patients prior to allogeneic SCT cial lecture: Etiology of acute leukemia ion IV imal requirements for adequate use of MRD techniques (1) <i>ir</i> : L Foroni, T Lion ppen discussion	U z Stadt KL Schäfer EA Macintyre M Kneba M Schrappe K Seeger T Lion C Steward/N Goulden P Bader/T Klingebiel M Greaves A Biondi A Morley
onal prospective application of MRD in clinical studies <i>ir</i> : G Henze, M Kneba linical applications of MRD in adult ALL dult NHL: significance of MRD ediatric ALL: stratification and endpoints EL/AML1 in relapsed ALL ical implications of MRD detection (3) T and prognostic impact of leukemia surveillance <i>ir</i> : A Reiter, T Klingebiel pproaches for MRD detection after allogeneic BMT esidual leukemia and outcome of BMT IRD in ALL patients prior to allogeneic SCT cial lecture: Etiology of acute leukemia imal requirements for adequate use of MRD techniques (1) <i>ir</i> : L Foroni, T Lion	M Kneba M Schrappe K Seeger T Lion C Steward/N Goulden P Bader/T Klingebiel M Greaves A Biondi A Morley
T and prognostic impact of leukemia surveillance <i>ir</i> : A Reiter, T Klingebiel pproaches for MRD detection after allogeneic BMT esidual leukemia and outcome of BMT IRD in ALL patients prior to allogeneic SCT cial lecture: Etiology of acute leukemia ion IV imal requirements for adequate use of MRD techniques (1) <i>ir</i> : L Foroni, T Lion	C Steward/N Goulden P Bader/T Klingebiel M Greaves A Biondi A Morley
ion IV imal requirements for adequate use of MRD techniques (1) <i>ir</i> : L Foroni, T Lion	A Biondi A Morley
imal requirements for adequate use of MRD techniques (1) <i>ir</i> : L Foroni, T Lion	A Morley
ir: L Foroni, T Lion	A Morley
	D Campana M Kneba JJM v Dongen
ire perspectives (2) <i>ir</i> : M Schrappe, C Steward ew definitions required for 'diagnosis', 'response', and 'relapse' based on new etection techniques of minimal disease? IRD monitoring: quality control ost/benefit analysis: Impact on cure? Application in countries with limited resources open discussion	CR Bartram L Foroni P Anker
	JJM van Dongen EA Macintyre J Harbott H Riehm
	<i>ir</i> : M Schrappe, C Steward ew definitions required for 'diagnosis', 'response', and 'relapse' based on new etection techniques of minimal disease? RD monitoring: quality control ost/benefit analysis: Impact on cure? Application in countries with limited resources