in Congress who understood what the Administration was doing. Towards the end of his reign—Mr Daddario is almost certain to be elected governor of Connecticut in November—he has been influential enough to develop the legislation within which the NSF now operates. In the past few months, Mr Daddario has also lent his enthusiasm to doubtful projects such as that for setting up in Congress what he calls an Office for Technology Assessment.

Representative Davies is known to share Mr Daddario's enthusiasm for a stronger National Science Foundation. He is an amateur astronomer and holds a pilot's licence. His succession will not finally be assured until after the election in November, although there seems no doubt that he will be returned by his district in Georgia.

**CBW** 

## **Geneva Protocol at Last**

WITHIN hours of the scuttling of the old Liberty ship LeBaron Russell Briggs with more than 10,000 disused nerve gas rockets on board, President Nixon last Thursday sent to Congress his proposal that the Geneva Protocol on the use of chemical and biological weapons should be ratified. This step has been on the cards for the best part of nine months, ever since the Administration conceded that the case for including these materials in the arsenals of the twentieth century had become irrelevant. By all accounts, the delay has been brought about partly by the need to work out a policy on the use of tear gases and defoliants, materials used not merely as agents for the restoration of civil order but also as military weapons of a kind, as in Vietnam. The compromise which seems now to have been arrived at is that the United States Government has accompanied support for the Geneva Protocol with a declaration that tear gases (especially CS gas) and defoliants will be excluded from the provisions of the protocol, but that these materials will not be used without express permission from the White House after the ending of the Vietnam War. It remains to be seen whether those in Congress who are asking for a prohibition of the use of military appropriations for chemical defoliants will be able to dislodge this carefully calculated position.

The Geneva Protocol, which prohibits the "use in war" of chemical and bacteriological weapons, was first negotiated within the League of Nations in 1925 and has been ratified by 85 nations. The unwillingness of the United States to ratify the declaration has always been regarded as one of its more obvious defects. The President's message to Congress last week reserves for the United States the right to use chemical weapons if these should be used in war by either an ally or an adversary. Similar reservations have been made by many of the other nations which have ratified the protocol, the Soviet Union, the United Kingdom and France included. On the other hand, the recommendation by the Administration to Congress goes further than the position of many of the other countries which have ratified the protocol in suggesting that bacteriological weapons will never be used, whatever other countries may do. In an accompanying document, Secretary of State Rogers also makes it clear that the

United States Government considers that toxins. however manufactured, will be considered as biological weapons and not chemical weapons. In this and other ways, the position of the United States on chemical and biological weapons has now been transformed within the short space of a year. Controversy remains about the use of chemical agents in Victnam, but the fact that the new position seems to have been reached after a hard-headed appraisal of the military inutility of these materials as weapons is not considered a disgrace.

SUPERSONIC TRANSPORT

## **Senator Proxmire Takes Off**

THE Subcommittee on Economy in Government, in the person of Senator William Proxmire, last week launched an attack on supersonic aircraft, Anglo-French and American alike, so carefully contrived to prevent them reaching commercial service that it may have the effect of rallying support in their favour. One of the weaknesses of Senator Proxmire's position is that his subcommittee's report on the supersonic aircraft project has already been repudiated by two of the nine members-Senators John Sparkman and Stuart Symington. Another is that the witnesses who gave evidence at the hearings on which the report was based were notable for the absence of staunch defenders of (Officials of the Boeing Company, now building the supersonic prototypes, declined to appear.) In a dissenting statement accompanying the Proxmire report, one member of the subcommittee, Representative Clarence J. Brown, says that "if the joint Economic Committee had been advising Queen Isabella, we would still be in Barcelona waiting to prove the world round before daring the Atlantic".

The effectiveness of Senator Proxmire's attack on the SST project should be known in the next few weeks, when the Senate is due finally to vote on the proposal to include \$290 million for the development of prototypes in the budget appropriation for the Department of Transportation. There seems very little doubt that the vote this year will be closer than in the past. In the past few weeks, there have also been signs that the Administration is more ambivalent than ever about the virtues of the SST, chiefly because of the difficulty of estimating the effects of supersonic aircraft on the environment. In circumstances like these, external developments could have an important influence on the United States programme—if the performance of the Concorde in its impending series of trials should turn out to be far below specification, for example, Congress would probably decide to save \$290 million.

The subcommittee's case against the SST is that the social costs outweigh the potential benefits of supersonic civil aircraft, that the project is neither sufficiently radical to carry the technology of flight further forward nor so prestigious as to add to the international reputation of the United States, and that the Anglo-French Concorde project is not a serious commercial threat to the United States aircraft industry.

There has been a steady stream of argument in the past few years about the effect of the SST project on the United States economy, internally and externally. The Proxmire subcommittee now comes out firmly with the view that the effect of the project on the level of