dramatically when the temperature fell below the λ point at 2.19 K.

A series of well planned experiments showed clearly that the effect was due to the presence of a liquid helium film on the inside of the pumping tube leading to the vessel, a result confirmed by the linear dependence of the evaporation rate on the tube diameter. Combined with an unexplained phenomenon observed in 1922 by Kamerlingh Onnes, when the levels of helium in two concentric dewars were seen to equalize, this led Rollin and Simon to suggest a thin mobile film transporting liquid from the cold lower end in the liquid to the warm upper end where it evaporated. It was in recognition of Rollin's contribution that Keesom in his classic monograph *Helium* coined the name "Rollin film". In 1936, Rollin, with Kurti, Lainé and Simon, carried out experiments at the Laboratoire du Grand Electro-Aimant in Bellevue (Paris) which led to the first liquefaction of helium in France, and the discovery of magnetic ordering in dilute paramagnetic salts at very low temperatures obtained by adiabatic demagnetization.

After two years (1937-39) at the University of California, Berkeley, as a Commonwealth Fund (now Harkness) Fellow, Rollin returned to the Clarendon Laboratory to join an Admiralty team working on receiving tubes and other techniques for centimetre waves. He suggested immediately the use of a secondary emission target to convert velocity modulation into amplitude modulation in a reflex klystron; though this gave a low starting current for oscillation, and tubes at both 10 cm and 3 cm wavelength were already in use in 1940, it proved less stable, because of electrode contamination, than the system adopted later with a reflector at a negative voltage. In the later years of the war, Rollin carried out important development work on magnetrons for wavelengths in the 1 cm wavelength region.

In 1945 he started to extend the then novel technique of nuclear magnetic resonance to solids at low temperatures, using a simplified electronic system which became known as the "Rollin" circuit. In collaboration with J. Hatton, resonance was observed in liquid hydrogen, and in solid ortho-hydrogen the absorption line was found to split into a triplet. Nuclear magnetic resonances due to beryllium-9 and silicon-29 were detected at helium temperatures, the latter in part of a brown beer bottle in which spin-lattice relaxation was speeded by the presence of electronic paramagnetic impurities, the importance of which had become apparent during this work. After a lengthy effort to concentrate helium-3 from its natural abundance (10^{-7}) to 10^{-3} by means of thermal diffusion, the nuclear resonance of helium-3 was sought but no signal could be detected. Instead, the small quantity of enriched helium was used to study the reaction $^3\text{He} + d \rightarrow ^4\text{He} + p$, with the object of looking for excited states of the α particle.

Rollin then returned to an interest stimulated much earlier by Dr (now Professor) R. V. Jones, the development of a sensitive detector of infrared radiation, and devoted himself to the study of semi-conductors. Measurements of very low frequency "flicker" noise were made at low temperatures in germanium, but for the far infrared interest shifted to indium antimonide at liquid helium temperatures. Rollin proposed the use of the phenomenon of "hot electrons" generated in the semi-conductor by the absorption of radiation: the resultant change in their mobility was shown to provide a fast and sensitive detector of radiation at millimetre and sub-millimetre wavelengths.

Rollin was born on June 20, 1911, and went from Watford Grammar School to Wadham College, Oxford, as a scholar in 1930. He obtained first-class honours in physics in 1933, and took his D.Phil. in 1936. He became a university lecturer in Oxford in 1945, and a Fellow of Wolfson College in 1967. In 1964 he married Angela Margaret Moylan, who survives him.

Correspondence

The EMBO Question Debated

SIR,—Your account (*Nature*, 224, 406; 1969) of the recent meeting to discuss British participation in a European molecular biology laboratory did not consider all the factors affecting the desirability of setting up international laboratories. You point out that none of the equipment used in molecular biology is so expensive as to be beyond the reach of a laboratory financed by a university or research council. This distinguishes the EMBO proposal from, for example, CERN. A factor that was not mentioned is the location of the laboratory.

The argument that there is no case for a European molecular biology laboratory is valid. But there could be good reasons for other international laboratories that would use only ordinary equipment. For example, a large laboratory may be needed, for climatic or other reasons, in a region that is not industrialized. An institute in Antarctica is a case in point. More immediately useful would be an institute of food technology situated in the wet tropics and concerned exclusively with producing food (especially protein rich food) from local sources for local consumption. The work of such an institute would complement rather than overlap the work that is being done in industrialized countries; it would call on an abundant supply of scientific goodwill; and, if it is not financed and staffed internationally, it is difficult to see how it could be established because those who are now in most need of food have very little money.

Yours faithfully,

N. W. PIRIE

Department of Biochemistry,
Rothamsted Experimental Station,
Harpenden,
Hertfordshire.

Private Army of Contributors

SIR,—May I, in the interests of accuracy, make two comments on Dr Macleod's interesting article, "Private Army of Contributors", in the centenary issue of *Nature* (224, 445; 1969)?

(1) The statement that I "was writing a biography of Lockyer in 1927-28" may strengthen a false impression that there exists such a biography, not elsewhere referred to in the supplement, bearing my name. The facts are these: there is only one published biography of Lockyer, variously referred to in the supplement, with the precise title "Life and Work of Sir Norman Lockyer" by T. Mary Lockyer and Winifred L. Lockyer with the assistance of Professor H. Dingle and contributions by Dr Charles E. St John; Professor Megh Nad Saha, FRS; Sir Napier Shaw, FRS; Professor H. N. Russell; the Rev J. Griffith; Sir Richard Gregory and Professor A. Fowler, FRS." Except for the "contributions" referred to, it was written by me, at the suggestion of Sir Richard Gregory, during the years 1922-28, from material selected by Lockyer's widow and daughter, and published by Macmillan in 1928.

(2) I have unearthed the notes I made at the time, and can now give an authentic version of Tait's characteristic verse which, as Dr Macleod says, he recorded as I (imperfectly) spoke it from memory. It is as follows:

Your printers have made but one curious blunder,
Correct it instant, and then for the thunder!

We'll see in a jiffy if this Mr. S—

Has the ghost of a claim to be thought a good fencer.

To my vision his merits have still seemed to dwindle,
Since I found him allied with the great Dr. T—

While I have, for my part, grown cockier and cockier,
Since I found an ally in yourself, Mr. L—