Anticoccidial Activity of Nicotinamide Antagonists

THE life-cycle of poultry coccidia can be inhibited by certain p-aminobenzoic acid1-3, folic acid3-6 and thiamine7 antagonists. This knowledge led to our testing three known antagonists of another vitamin, nicotinamide, for anticoccidial activity. These substances were 3-acetylpyridine⁸, pyridine-3-sulphonamide⁹ and 6-aminonicotinamide10.

To evaluate the activity of the compounds, they were mixed in chick starter mash of known composition and fed to one-week-old cockerels, starting one day before they were inoculated orally with approximately 200,000 sporulated oocysts of Eimeria tenella or E. necatrix, or 50,000 sporulated oocysts of E. acervulina. In each test one infected and one uninfected control group of birds were fed unmedicated ration. The criteria to assess activity were a comparison of mortality and oocyst production¹¹. The toxicity of the compounds was assessed in both infected and uninfected groups by a comparison of death rates and weight gains of the birds individually weighed at intervals.

3-Acetylpyridine at 0.1 per cent w/w in the food was inactive against both E. tenella and E. acervulina.

Pyridine-3-sulphonamide at 0.025 per cent w/w was inactive against *E. tenella* and *E. necatrix*, and showed no signs of toxicity when fed for eight days. It was active against E. acervulina, but less effective than sulphaquinoxaline, the reference compound (Table 1). The results of exp. 3 indicate the possibility of different strain responses. The activity was neutralized by simul-taneous feeding of an equal concentration of nicotinamide (exps. 4 and 5, Table $\hat{1}$). In another single experiment the activity of pyridine-3-sulphonamide was not antagonized by equal concentrations of p-, o- or m-aminobenzoic acid.

6-Aminonicotinamide showed a different spectrum of activity to pyridine-3-sulphonamide. It was active against E. tenella at low concentrations comparable with those of the control drug amprolium (1-(4-amino-2-n-

 Table 1. ACTIVITY OF PYRIDINE-3-SULPHONAMIDE AGAINST E. acervulina

 AND THE EFFECT OF ADDING NICOTINAMIDE

Exp. No.	Per cent drug in food P3S NC SQ			Strain of $E.$ acervulina	Millions of oocysts passed per chick *	
1				Ongar †	222.65	
	0.0125			Oligon	0.15	
	0.006				10.47	
			0.0125		0	
2				Ongar †	88-26	
-	0.0125			Oregut	0	
	0.006				1.18	
	0 000		0.006		0	
3			0 000	Ongar †	113.02	
0	0.0125			Onger	2.14	
			0.006		0	
				Houghtont	189-18	
	0.0125			Troabutont	101.66	
	0.006				210.75	
			0.006		0.02	
				Andover§	111.26	
	0.025			11111001013	0.02	
	0.0125		1. 1. 1. 1.		37-60	
	0.006				79.60	
	0.003	and being and			85.79	
	0 000		0.025		0.72	
			0.0125		116-18	
4				Ongar †	261.49	
			0.006	o ngun (0	
	0.0125				0.76	
	0.006				14.30	
	0.003				78-90	
		0.0125			179.68	
	0.0125	0.0125			113.55	
5				Ongar †	32.23	
	0.05				0	
	0.025				1.15	
		0.02			25.03	
	0.02	0.05			14.07	
	0.025	0.025	-		22.28	

P3S, pyridine-3-sulphonamide; NC, nicotinamide; SQ, sulphaguinoxaline, From fourth to thirteenth days post-infection.

Isolated 1958. Isolated 1956.

§ Isolated 1960

 Table 2. 6-AMINONICOTINAMIDE ACTIVITY AGAINST E. tenella AND TOXICITY

 IN CHICKS

		Drug Per cent Acute in food coccidiosis Toxicity				
Exp. No.	Drug		day after i Acute	infection		
1	Nil (control)	_	13/15			
	6-aminonicotinamide	0.001	0/15	13/15		
		0.0005	0/15	0/15		
		0.00025	11/15	0/15		
	Amprolium	0.002	0/15	0/15		
		0.001	3/15	0/15		
		0.0002	7/15	0/15		
2	Nil (control)		8/10			
	6-aminonicotinamide	0.0008	0/10	0/10		
		0.0004	3/10	0/10		
		0.0002	5/10	0/10		

* Numerator, No. of chicks dead. Denominator, No. of chicks per group.

Table 3. ANTAGONISM OF THE ACTIVITY AGAINST *E. tenella* and the TOXICITY IN THE CHICK OF 6-AMINONICOTINAMIDE (6-ANC) BY NICOTINAMIDE (NC)

	Deaths* due to		Uninfected groups				
Per cent drug in food		acute coccidiosis in infected groups	toxici	Deaths* due to toxicity after		Av. wt. (g) gain after	
6-ANC	NC	after one week	1 week	2 weeks	1 week	2 weeks	
		15/15	0/28	0/28	39-3	103.3	
0.002		0/15	15/28	21/28	5.8	20.2	
0.001		0/10	1/20	6/20	14.0	37.1	
0.0002		3/5	0/10	0/10	31.3	86.4	
	0.004	5/5	0/10	0/10	40.8	99.8	
	0.008	5/5	0/8	0/8	46.0	99.8	
	0.02	5/5	0/10	0/10	32.0	95.4	
	0.1	3/5	0/10	0/10	35.0	102.4	
0.002	0.0005	0/5	8/10	10/10	0.6		
0.002	0.001	0/9	4/18	15/18	3.6	17.4	
0.002	0.002	0/10	7/18	13/18	14.2	46.5	
0.002	0.004	0/10	2/18	9/18	15.8	67.6	
0.002	0.008	4/5	0/8	0/8	33.3	81.6	
0.002	0.02	5/5	0/10	0/10	32.4	93.9	
0.002	0.1	5/5	0/10	0/10	32.8	92.1	

Results of three experiments added together. Groups balanced for weight at the start of each test. * As Tables 1 and 2.

propyl-5-pyrimidinylmethyl)-2-picolinium chloride hydrochloride) (Table 2), but there was little margin between the active and toxic concentrations. This nicotinamide analogue was active against E. necatrix and inactive against E. acervulina at the maximum tolerated concentration. Lower concentrations were not examined against E. necatrix.

The results in Table 3 show that one-half to twice the concentration of nicotinamide added to 6-aminonicotinamide has some effect in offsetting its toxicity as judged by the deaths and depression of gain in weight; but four times or more the amount of the vitamin was required to neutralize the anticoccidial activity and toxicity of this analogue.

These observations suggest that nicotinamide is a growth factor for E. tenella, E. acervulina and presumably for E. necatrix.

It is interesting to note that pyridine-3-sulphonamide showed activity only against E. acervulina, which is also generally more sensitive than E. tenella and E. necatrix to sulphanilamide derivatives. This may indicate that for E. acervulina transport of drugs to the site of action is enhanced by a weakly acidic group such as -SO₂NHR.

S. J. BALL

E. W. WARREN

May and Baker, Ltd., Veterinary Research Station, Ongar, Essex.

E. W. PARNELL

Research Laboratories. Dagenham, Essex.

¹ Horton-Smith, C., and Boyland, E., Brit. J. Pharmacol., 1, 139 (1946).
 ² Waletzky, E., and Hughes, C. O., Amer. J. Vet. Res., 7, 365 (1946).
 ³ Joyner, I. P., and Kendall, S. B., Brit. J. Pharmacol., 11, 454 (1956).

⁵ Joyner, L. P., and Kendall, S. B., Brit. J. Pharmacol., 11, 454 (1956).
⁶ Lux, R. E., Antibiot. Chemother., 4, 971 (1954).
⁶ Joyner, L. P., and Kendall, S. B., Vet. Rec., 68, 468 (1956).
⁶ Kendall, S. B., and Joyner, L. P., Vet. Rec., 70, 632 (1958).
⁷ Rogers, E. F., Clark, R. L., Pessolano, A. A., Becker, H. J., Leanza, W. J., Sarett, L. H., Cuckler, A. C., McManus, E., Garzillo, M., Malanga, C., Ott, W. H., Dickinson, A. M., and Van Iderstine, A., J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 82, 2974 (1960).
⁸ Woolley, D. W., J. Biol. Chem., 157, 455 (1945).
⁹ McIlwain H. Reit J. Exp. Path 21, 136 (1940).

⁹ McIlwain, H., Brit. J. Exp. Path., 21, 136 (1940).
 ¹⁰ Johnson, W. J., and McColl, J. D., Science, 122, 834 (1955).
 ¹¹ Long, P. L., and Rowell, J. G., Lab. Pract., 7, 515 (1958).