devised this as lightly as possible. We are trying to build a simple structure from the foundations and to make the roof no heavier than is necessary to protect those inside from the weather.

Starting from the top there is ICSU which has set up the Special Committee for the International Biological Programme (SCIBP), comparable with its scientific committees for Oceanic Research (SCOR) and Antarctic Research (SCAR). SCIBP is a democratic organization which holds a general assembly about every two years. It has a Swiss president, Prof. Jean Baer, vice-presidents from Italy, Poland, the United States and Great Britain, and a number of other members, some representing the main international unions in the biological sciences and some drawn from the different bio-geographical regions of the world. It includes also the seven international conveners of the sections of IBP. Unesco, FAO, WHO, SCOR, and SCAR have representatives on it. Each of the conveners has also his sectional committee of specialist members drawn from all over the world.

The central office for IBP is at 7 Marylebone Road, Regent's Park, London, in accommodation generously provided and furnished by the Government and the Royal Society. Each of the seven international conveners has his own office, although only four of them as yet have whole-time staff.

Publications of IBP are so far very few: a journal called *IBP News* was started in October 1964, and so far three numbers have been published. We are also starting a series of handbooks, and the first of these, being a general guide to the activities of HA section, has just gone to press.

The central funds, for running the offices and holding meetings and for publications, come from national dues paid in by each participating country. These are on a rather modest scale and in fact are at present insufficient. I am glad to say they are augmented by grants and loans from ICSU and Unesco, and a particularly generous grant recently made by the U.S. National Academy of Sciences. It is hoped that other countries will follow this excellent example.

The finance I have spoken of is concerned only with the organizational framework and, by international standards, is quite small. The cost of the actual research undertaken under the auspices of IBP in all parts of the world will naturally be quite large if the programme is to be at all worth while. As yet it is impossible to say how much it may cost, because very few national programmes have yet been prepared in detail although a number are in active preparation. If we take the British programme as a model, and if some twenty major nations have comparable programmes and twenty or thirty others prepare smaller programmes, the total is bound to run to several million pounds. The finance for national programmes will of course be provided nationally: in this first year of design and feasibility studies, the British Treasury has provided some £80,000 specially for IBP; added to the normal votes for the Royal Society and the Nature Conservancy. This will enable some appointments to be made and some research of a trial nature to be started. A number of other

countries have acted somewhat similarly. Czechoslovakia, for example, in addition to financing its own planning phase, has provided from its own funds the office and staff for one of the international conveners, Prof. Malek, who is based in Prague.

In order to plan and carry out national programmes, many countries are setting up their own national committees, often with sub-committees equivalent to the international sectional committees of SCIBP. Thus the United Kingdom, through its committee structure which operates under the patronage of the Royal Society, brings about 150 British scientists into the active planning stage. The United States now has a national committee under Dr. Roger Revelle, Poland one under Prof. Petrusiewicz; Japan not only has a national committee but has already submitted a programme. I believe that before long a major problem of SCIBP and of its Central Office will be, not so much to get IBP in motion which has been a pre-occupation up until now, but to prevent it running away from itself by becoming too large and unmanageable.

Conclusion

The gestation period of the Programme has been long, but recent progress is at a much greater rate than we had dared to hope a couple of years ago, for the world needs an IBP. What, then, is the philosophy behind it? What is the driving force that causes many busy people about the world to devote their time and energy to helping it on? I suggest it is a spirit of service to our fellow men and to all the other animals and plants that make up the very varied living communities of the Earth. Even in these days of rather selfish approach to living, apparent in the political, commercial and even scientific spheres, I suppose there is some feeling of service in all of us, even if it is only an instinct towards conserving the race, after conserving the individual. Here in IBP is the opportunity for very many biologists, whose efforts may have been not perhaps of very high value to others, to bring their researches together, to focus them and to make them more useful. Here in IBP is the opportunity of showing the world the value of field biology as well as laboratory biology. New resources will undoubtedly be discovered, and so also will be the aesthetic as well as the scientific and economic value of plants and animals in their natural and also in their man-made environments.

An important part of the service IBP hopes to render will be to ensure that at least samples of these wonderful creations of evolution, so different and yet so similar in the several bio-geographical zones, will continue and flourish for subsequent generations of humans to appreciate and use. Nor should we forget the many and diverse races of man himself, with their separate and particular attributes. Unless we understand these matters and use our understanding to plan the future, they will go down before the axe and fire, the bulldozer or the hypodermic needle. This marvellous biological differentiation on the Earth would then tend towards dull uniformity and even obliteration.

OBITUARIES

Dr. L. H. Gray, F.R.S.

DR. LOUIS HAROLD GRAY, first director of the British Empire Cancer Campaign Research Unit in Radiobiology, died suddenly on July 9, 1965. He was fifty-nine.

Hal Gray, as he was known to his friends the world over, enjoyed a unique position in his chosen subject. Radiobiology calls for a wide-ranging mind, able to grasp the fundamental concepts of physics, chemistry, biology and medicine. To the end of his life, Gray retained an

intellectual vigour which enabled him to seize the essentials of a new scientific discipline, and relate them to the existing body of knowledge.

Educated at Christ's Hospital, Horsham, to which he won a scholarship, he soon displayed an outstanding aptitude for science and mathematics. At school he was much influenced by "Chas." Browne, then head of science, who educated his pupils on the principle that it is better to do an experiment than to look up the answer in a

book. This 'do it yourself' approach was to remain a characteristic feature of Gray's work throughout his life.

From school, he won an Exhibition at Trinity College, Cambridge, and two years later took Part I of the Tripos in physics, chemistry, mathematics and mineralogy, and was awarded first-class honours. Proceeding to Part II in physics, he again achieved a first and was able to commence research at the Cavendish Laboratory during the exciting period of Rutherford's professorship. In 1930 he took his Ph.D. and received a Fellowship at Trinity.

His work at this period was concerned with the scattering and absorption of γ -rays, and in his first publication (1929) he enunciated the cavity ionization principle, which had previously been described by Bragg in 1912, although Bragg's work was unknown to Gray at the time. This theory, which is fundamental to γ -ray dosimetry, became known as the Bragg-Gray principle.

Despite his success in pure physics, he began to find the subject not completely satisfying, and he felt the need to do something of more obvious value for his fellow men. An offer to come to Mount Vernon Hospital as physicist appealed very strongly to him and he took up the position in 1933. Although his work at Mount Vernon was primarily concerned with the accurate measurement of ionizing radiation, he began to take an interest in biological problems under the guidance of that remarkable man, Dr. J. C. Mottram. Before leaving Cambridge, he had begun a fruitful collaboration with F. G. Spear, of the Strangeways Laboratory, studying the effect of neutrons on hen eggs. The neutron source available to them proving to be too weak, Gray, with the collaboration of John Read, built a highly effective neutron generator for an outlay of some £600, with which the first biological results were obtained in 1938. At this time, Read and Gray suggested a unit, the Energy Unit, which was applicable to all forms of ionizing radiation and was almost identical with the rad unit adopted by the International Commission on Radiological Units and Measurements some fifteen years later. During the Second World War, when he was working alone, he began to consider the dynamics of cellular population changes underlying the gross changes in behaviour of a bean root following irradiation, thus anticipating one of the focal points of interest in modern radiobiology.

In 1946 Gray went to the Medical Research Council Radiotherapeutic Research Unit, as senior physicist, and in 1950 was promoted to deputy director. Here, he had overall responsibility for building up several teams to carry out ambitious projects in radiation research, the construction of a cyclotron, the development of radioisotopes in research, and general radiobiology. This heavy administrative load left little time for his personal researches, but his wise choice of staff is reflected in the advances in radiobiology made by his group. The classic investigations of Howard and Pelc on the relation of DNA synthesis to the mitotic cycle, and of Miss Alper on bacteriophage, date from this period.

In 1952 Dr. Gray initiated a programme of research on the oxygen effect in radiobiology, and its relation to radiotherapy. Those who had the good fortune to work under Hal Gray at that time will always remember his boyish and generous enthusiasm, which infected all those near to him. Within six months the main outlines of the picture had been established—a picture which still inspires much of present research in radiobiology. It was characteristic of Hal's disarming modesty that his collaborators had the greatest difficulty in persuading him to be the first author of the paper which recorded this work.

In 1953 Gray resigned his position at Hammersmith, following disagreements on scientific policy. Thanks very largely to the good offices of the late Lord Horder, who placed great faith in Gray, the British Empire Cancer Campaign undertook to finance a new Unit at Mount

Vernon, where he would be director with freedom to pursue his own lines of research.

With considerable foresight, Gray planned the use of a linear accelerator for the development of pulse radiolysis methods, in collaboration with J. W. Boag, a technique which has already revolutionized radiation chemistry. At the same time, he gathered around him a small group whose basic training lay in physics, chemistry, biochemistry, biology and medicine, since he believed strongly that collaborative studies by such a group offered the best hope for advance in an inter-disciplinary field. It was also a great delight to him to return to experimental work, which he did with undiminished energy, planning experiments which made few concessions to human requirements for sleep and food.

He had no love of committees, but he bravely accepted the administrative burdens which were placed on him. His work for the International Commission on Radiological Units will be particularly remembered, and the personal effort which he put in as president of the second International Congress of Radiation Research had a lot to do with the acknowledged success of that meeting.

Gray received many honours in recognition of his work, but two he prized highly were American awards, the Judd Award in 1954 and the Bertner Foundation Award in 1964. He was elected Fellow of the Royal Society in 1961 and received an Honorary D.Sc. at Leeds in 1962.

No catalogue of publications or prizes gives a true picture of Hal Gray's contribution to radiobiology. He delighted in helping other workers, particularly the younger ones, and he never grudged the time devoted to giving help and advice. Even an anonymous referee's comment would engage the whole of his great powers of critical appraisal and constructive comment. Varied and remarkable as his contributions were, it is certain that he will be remembered by those who knew him, not only as a great scientist, but also as a great man.

O. C. A. SCOTT

Dr. O. S. Sinnatt

DR. OLIVER STURDY SINNATT, professor of aeronautical science in the Royal Air Force College, Cranwell, from 1920 until 1940, died on May 28, 1965, at the age of eighty-two. Dr. Sinnatt was born in Liverpool on September 6, 1882, and educated at the College of Technology, Manchester, and the Owens College (later University of Manchester). He graduated B.Sc. in 1904, M.Sc. in 1907, D.Sc. (London) in 1919, and was elected Associate Fellow of the Royal Aeronautical Society in October 1924. After a year as master of engineering at the Carpenters' Technical Institute, Stratford, he joined the staff of King's College, London, in 1905 as a demonstrator, becoming a senior demonstrator and then a lecturer in the Department of Mechanical Engineering.

During the early part of the First World War he held various posts with the University of London Officers' Training Corps; for a period he was in charge of the Training Camp, Perivale. He was afterwards transferred to the London Regiment and posted to France early in 1917, rising to be second in command of the 2/2 Battalion of that Regiment.

He was severely wounded at Poelcappelle in 1917 and awarded the Military Cross in 1918. He joined the Air Ministry as a technical officer (Instrument Section) in 1918. He was appointed professor of aeronautical science at the Cadet College, Cranwell, in 1920.

In 1940, Dr. Sinnatt was appointed to the School of Aeronautical Engineering, Henlow, as senior education officer, where he remained until his retirement in 1942.

In 1920 he married Marjorie Helen, the only daughter of the late W. R. Randall, by whom he had two sons, the elder of whom was killed in action in 1944.

C. E. P. SUTTLE