IS THE EARLY EVOLUTION OF LIFE RELATED TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE EARTH'S CORE?

By PROF. CARL SAGAN

Harvard University and Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory, Cambridge, Mass.

TFFEN¹ has suggested that the surface magnetic field strength was vanishingly small some 3×10^9 years ago, as a consequence of the hypothesized slow growth of the fluid metallic core of the Earth; and that incident charged particles from the Sun were not then deflected by the geomagnetic field. He proposes that a consequent intense flux of charged particles at the surface of the Earth made the origin and evolution of life prior to 2.5×10^{9} years ago impossible. He also suggests that, even in later epochs, the evolution of life was rendered difficult by the massive dumping of trapped particles from the Earth's Van Allen radiation belt, as the number of stable convective cells in the Earth's fluid core increased with time. It is the purpose of this communication to show that even if the Earth's magnetic field was inconsequontial in Archaean times, the effect on the development of life on the planet Earth would not have been deleterious; and indeed, may have been salutary.

Biogenic organic matter and fossils of living organisms have been found in sediments dated as old as $2 \cdot 0 - 2 \cdot 8 \times 10^{-2}$ 10⁹ years²⁻⁵. The existence of such relatively advanced organisms as colonial algae in this epoch points strongly to the likelihood that the origin of life occurred considerably earlier than 3×10^9 years ago, perhaps only a few times 10⁸ years after the formation of the Earth 4.5×10^9 years ago.

In the absence of the geomagnetic field, the surface of the Earth will not be bathed in the solar proton flux, because of absorption in the Earth's atmosphere. The solar proton flux and energy spectrum in interplanetary space near the Earth have been measured by the Mariner 2 space-vehicle⁶. The proton energy, calculated on the assumption that all measured particles were protons, is characteristically between 0.5 and 5 keV. A typical value of the proton flux is 2×10^8 cm⁻² sec⁻¹. The proton flux in the energy-range above 10 keV is expected to be relatively very small. The penetration depths of solar protons can be computed for any desired model atmosphere. The ranges of protons in air, in nitrogen, and in oxygen have been measured by Cook, Jones and Jorgensen⁷. For the purposes of the present discussion, there is no difference in the range in nitrogen and the range in oxygen.

The terrestrial atmosphere at the time of the origin of life was very likely reducing, and probably arose from the outgassing of the early mantle (see, for example, ref. 8). The exact proportions of molecular constituents in such an atmosphere are at present unknown, but substantial quantities of water, methane, nitrogen and ammonia are expected⁹. The proton range in such an atmosphere should be of the same order of magnitude as in air. In the present atmosphere of the Earth it is a simple matter to compute the altitude reached by protons of given energies, incident vertically at the top of the atmosphere and travelling in an unbroken linear path. For 1-keV protons, this altitude is about 125 km; for 5-keV protons, about 30 km. Because of the assumption of vertical incidence and rectilinear path, these will be maximum penetrations. Thus, were the present geomagnetic field turned off, the solar proton wind would in general not ponotrate into the troposphere. So long as the surface atmospheric pressure on the primitive Earth was as much as 10-2 atm., a solar proton wind of the contemporary energy distribution would be absorbed in the atmosphere, and would not pose a hazard for the origin and evolution of life.

While there is some suspicion that the Earth's primitive atmosphere was entirely lost to space^{10,11}, this is not the only possible interpretation of the data in question¹²; and, in any event, the airless epoch could only have occurred during—or possible even before—the formation of the Earth⁸. The question of the atmospheric pressure of the primitive Earth depends on the unknown rate of outgassing in early times. But, for the large scale prebiological synthesis of organic matter in the primitive Earth, significant atmospheric pressures are required. Holland¹³ has suggested on geochemical grounds that the atmospheric pressure was greater than 10-2 atm. throughout the interval between 4.5×10^9 years ago and the present. It therefore seems likely that had the solar proton flux approximately its present energy spectrum throughout geological time, atmospheric attenuation would prevent any significant damage to pre-biological or biological processes on the primitive Earth, even in the absence of the geomagnetic field.

Similar conclusions apply to dumped charged particles from the Van Allen belts of much later epochs. Were the surface atmospheric pressure low in primitive times, some penetration of MeV particles to the surface can be expected. But, in any event, organisms residing below the surface of the early waters would have been unaffected.

The penetration of the solar proton wind to the tropopause in primitive times does raise an interesting possibility concerning the origin of life. Since the initial experiments of Miller¹⁴, it has been clear that the bombardment of simulated primitive reducing atmospheres by charged particles is an efficient mechanism for the production of organic molecules of contemporary biological significance (see, for example, ref. 15). A particle flux of 2×18^8 protons cm⁻² sec⁻¹, each with energy in the keV range, corresponds to an energy flux approaching 1 erg cm⁻² sec⁻¹. This is a source of energy far inferior to ultra-violet light in primitive times¹⁶; but it is comparable with the estimated[®] energy inputs to the primitive atmosphere from lightning, from corona discharges from pointed objects, and from radioactivity. Organic molecules produced by solar protons just below the tropopause would be convectively transported to the surface of the Earth. For this reason, experiments on pre-biological organic syntheses using keV protons as a source of energy may have some relevance to the origin of life.

- ¹ Uffen, R. J., Nature, 198, 143 (1963).

- Uffen, R. J., Nature, 198, 143 (1963).
 ² Barghoorn, E. S., and Tyler, S. A., Science, 147, 563 (1965).
 ³ MacGregor, A. M., Trans. Geol. Soc. S. Africa, 43, 9 (1940).
 ⁴ Holmes, A., Nature, 173, 612 (1954).
 ⁵ Marshall, C. G. A., May, J. W., and Perret, C. J., Science, 144, 200 (1964).
 ⁶ Neugebauer, M., and Snyder, C. W., Jet Propulsion Lab. Tech. Mem. No. 33-111 (1962).
 ⁷ Cook, C. J., Jones, E., and Jorgensen, T., Phys. Rev., 91, 1417 (1953).
 ⁸ Sagan, C., in International Dictionary of Geophysics, edit. by Runcorn, S. K., Section I (Pergamon Press, in the press).
 ⁸ Miller, S. L., and Urey, H. C., Science, 130, 245 (1959).
 ⁹ Brown H. in Atmospheres of the Earth and Planets edit by Kuiner G. P.
- - ⁹ Miller, S. L., and Urey, H. C., Science, 130, 245 (1959).
 ¹⁰ Brown, H., in Atmospheres of the Earth and Planets, edit. by Kuiper, G. P., revised ed. (Univ. Chicago Press, 1952).
 ¹¹ Suess, H. E., J. Geol., 51, 600 (1949).
 ¹² Jokipii, J. R., Icarus, 3, 248 (1964).
 ¹³ Holland, H. D., in The Origin and Evolution of Atmospheres and Oceans, edit. by Brancazio, P. J., and Cameron, A. G. W. (John Wiley, 1964).
 ¹⁴ Miller, S. L., J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 77, 2351 (1955).
 ¹⁵ Fox, S. W., ed., The Origins of Prebiological Systems (Academic Press, 1965).
 ¹⁶ Sogan C. in The Origins of Prebiological Systems edit. by Wox S. W. 238

 - ¹⁶ Sagan, C., in *The Origins of Prebiological Systems*, edit. by Fox, S. W., 238 (Academic Press, 1965).