

Dr. Leo Marion, editor-in-chief of the *Canadian Research Journals*, and Dr. Pauline Snuro, manager of the editorial office.

At the business meeting it was decided that next year's annual meeting will be held in early June at the University of New Brunswick, Fredericton, New Brunswick. Dr. G. Setterfield (Carleton University, Ottawa) was elected president of the Society, Dr. A. R. A. Taylor (University of

New Brunswick) was elected vice-president, and Dr. R. G. S. Bidwell (University of Toronto) was re-elected secretary-treasurer. Other officers of the Society are Dr. M. Shaw (University of Saskatchewan) (past president), Dr. M. Cailloux (Université de Montréal) (eastern director) and Dr. Mary Spencer (University of Alberta) (western director).

R. G. S. BIDWELL

UNIVERSITY LIBRARY SERVICES

A MEMORANDUM outlining the responsibilities and functions of university libraries has been submitted by the librarians of Britain's new universities to the Committee on Libraries of the University Grants Committee. It sets forth, first, the function of the university library; next, the level and scope of acquisitions; the need for undergraduate provision; and then discusses problems of building and accommodation and of library co-operation.

The memorandum begins by insisting that the first function of a university library is to support the teaching and research of the institution it serves, but that it also has a duty to the community of learning at large and access to its resources should be available to all serious students who need to consult them. As research grows and a larger proportion of the population receives a higher education, such extra-mural activities are likely to grow in importance. The memorandum insists that librarians must play a much more positive part in exploiting the books in their libraries, both by information work and by giving instruction and guidance to students and research workers. Dealing with the level and scope of acquisitions, it indicates the magnitude and urgency of the situation confronting the new university librarians, and the acquisition of books and periodicals is seen as still the most important task which the university library must perform.

The library provision varies widely among the existing universities in Britain, and the inequality has increased rather than diminished since 1945. Only Cambridge, Oxford and London provide really comprehensive collections for research in Britain, although in the United States several university libraries have doubled or more than doubled their size since 1945. The rise in income of British university libraries during the past 15 years has been almost totally absorbed by the increase in publishing and the steady rise in prices. The memorandum suggests that the new universities should aim at collecting 250,000 volumes apiece during their first ten years, excluding multiple copies of undergraduate texts. Substantial capital grants will be required. The minimum cost of provision of periodicals in mathematical, physical, chemical and biological sciences may approach some £10,000 a year, although two-thirds of Britain's present universities spend less than this figure on periodicals and one-third spend less than £5,000.

The need for the student to have the use of a comprehensive library is emphasized by the memorandum, and it is pointed out that multiple provision of text-books makes possible a better lending service while provision of a reference copy ensures that both students and other users of the library can usually obtain access to certain books. The setting-up of separate undergraduate libraries in new universities is not favoured, but it is suggested that duplication of minimum book needs for each undergraduate course should be on a scale depending on the number of students involved. Funds available to university libraries, at least outside Oxford and Cambridge, are quite inadequate to provide multiple copies on the scale necessary, and students in some subjects cannot reasonably be expected to provide themselves with more than a small number of the many books

they will need to consult in the course of three years. Grants are therefore needed for multiple book provision.

On buildings and accommodation, the memorandum points out that the new universities are in a position to take immediate advantage of the developments in university planning, particularly in the United States, since the Second World War and of recent developments in fast building techniques. University teaching methods will influence planning and the typical new library is likely to be designed on a flexible plan, with large open floors in which readers are placed close to blocks of bookshelves grouped according to subject. More generous space allowances are required and variety of provision for readers is essential. Some experiments in extended hours of opening are already being made. On co-operative storage and technical developments, the memorandum affirms the intention of the new universities to build up their libraries on the principle that the university library must possess within its own walls copies of almost everything required by its members for the promotion of their studies and the advancement of learning. However, it is believed that a proper policy for the withdrawal of obsolescent material should also be admitted. A national co-operative scheme now ensures that a copy of every recent worth-while British book is available for loan somewhere, but the universities still need to buy their own copies to cover their own requirements, and the possible advantages of a co-operative approach are regarded as worthy of investigation. Some advantage might accrue in the acquisition of periodicals from the co-operative coverage of all new titles of academic interest. The reductions available when a number of libraries purchase specially reproduced copies of out-of-print books are large enough to justify an attempt to set up a co-operative non-profit-making organization scheme. Any scheme for co-operative storage must provide for bibliographical care and maintenance so that material is available quickly when needed. The most immediate help in co-operative work that could be given is the provision of more funds for the important comprehensive catalogues, in particular, the national catalogues in the National Central Library. It is submitted in the memorandum that there is a strong case for amending the copyright regulations in the interests of efficiency and economy in learned institutions so as to facilitate the reproduction of copies from telefacsimiles and the request of photocopies by 'Telex'. There are difficulties in acquisition and in the use of microforms which militate against any substantial savings for libraries. However, the amount of bulky and little-used material kept in microform is likely to increase and to offer some economy in storage costs. More information is needed on many aspects of library organization, and none of the existing organizations is capable of undertaking this work. The memorandum suggests that there is scope for a small library development agency, the staff of which might both collect information and assist the co-operation of academic libraries.

Copies of the memorandum can be obtained from Mr. P. E. Tucker, librarian, the University of Warwick, Coventry, Warwicks.