LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

ASTROPHYSICS

Interplanetary Magnetic Fields as a Cause of **Comet Tails**

It has been generally recognized recently that the historic explanation of comet tails in terms of solar radiation pressure by either light or particles is impossible. Solar light pressure has been found to be insufficient by several orders of magnitude. Coulomb collisions or acceleration coupled with charge transfer by protons in the solar wind have also been shown by Biermann and Treffitz¹, in particular, to be grossly insufficient processes to account for comet tails streaming within a cylinder of small diameter and great length away from the Sun. It is the purpose of this communication to point out that the gases in cometary comas will be efficiently ionized by the solar wind of ionized hydrogen embedded in a magnetic field²⁻⁴ and that an interplanetary magnetic field will couple the cometary gas to the solar wind.

The neutral gases evaporated from comet nuclei will be ionized both by thermal electrons⁴ in the solar wind which have energies of several tens of electron volts and, as Heubner⁵ has emphasized, by Alfvén's⁶ process of ionization of a neutral gas by a fast moving plasma embedded in a magnetic field.

A comet head may be regarded as a densely ionized plasma essentially stationary in a high-pressure solar wind containing a low-pressure magnetic field moving with the wind. Recent satellite measurements⁴ have established that the solar wind consists of plasma the protons of which have an isotropic thermal energy of a few electron volts and a kinetic energy due to their stream velocity of a kilovolt or so. The interplanetary magnetic field observed to be embedded in the plasma is about 5γ (1γ is 10^{-5} gauss). Thus the magnetic pressure, $H^2/8\pi$, is about equal to the thermal (isotropic) pressure of the wind in the moving co-ordinate frame of the wind.

The stream pressure of the solar wind, however, is the overwhelmingly dominant pressure in space, being two orders of magnitude larger than the free space magnetic pressure. Hence, the interplanetary magnetic field is compressed against any obstacle such as the stationary plasma provided by the comet coma or the stationary magnetic field provided by the geomagnetic dipole. Just as in the case of the example furnished by the geomagnetic dipole⁷, the interplanetary field is compressed against the obstacle offered by the comet coma so that the magnetic pressure increases to approximate equality with the stream pressure. If the interplanetary field is parallel to the solar wind velocity, the compressed field tails off parallel to the solar wind in a cylindrical shape for a large distance downstream from the comet coma confining the comet plasma within this volume. If the interplanetary field is perpendicular to the wind velocity, the compressed field lines on the solar side of the comet slip around the edge of the comet and then continue moving with the solar wind⁷. The comet plasma will become embedded in the moving field lines and will be carried radially away from the Sun, acquiring the velocity of the magnetic field as Heubner⁵ first proposed.

Quite simply the magnetic field may be regarded as the mechanism by which the solar wind is efficiently coupled to the comet plasma which Coulomb collisions are not able to provide. Fluctuations in solar wind pressure, or the magnetohydrodynamic waves the fluctuations generate, will also create the accelerations in comet tails which first led Biermann² to deduce the presence of the solar wind.

DAVID B. BEARD* M. PAUL NAKADA

Goddard Space Flight Center,

National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Greenbelt, Maryland.

* National Academy of Sciences-National Research Council Research Associate with N.A.S.A. Permanent address: Physics Department, Univer-sity of California, Davis.

- ¹ Biermann, L., and Treffitz, E., Z. Astrophys., 49, 111 (1960). ² Biermann, L., Mém. Soc. Roy. Sci. Liège, Ser. 4, 13, 291 (1953).
- ⁵ Biermann, L., Nuovo Cimento, Supp. 13, 189 (1959).
 ⁴ Neugebauer, M., and Snyder, C., Science, 138, 1095 (1962). Coleman, jun., P. J., Davis, jun., L., Smith, E. J., and Sonnett, C. P., Science, 138, 1099 (1962).

⁶ Heubner, W. F., Revs. Mod. Phys., 33, 498 (1961).
 ⁶ Alfvén, H., Rev. Mod. Phys., 32, 710 (1960).
 ⁷ Beard, D. B., J. Geophys. Res. (to be published).

Model Atmospheres for Central Stars of **Planetary Nebulæ**

THE central stars of planetary nebulæ have effective temperatures¹⁻³, T_s , ranging from 3×10^4 ° K to about 2.5 $\times 10^5$ ° K. Such stars may therefore be much hotter than the hottest main sequence stars which have temperatures of about 4×10^4 ° K. To obtain a better understanding of the nature of very hot stars, a number of non-grey model atmospheres have been computed.

The three models of Table 1 have been calculated assuming a grey temperature distribution and a grey radiation pressure gradient and using a Rosseland mean absorption coefficient, $x + \sigma$. Model I was calculated for comparison with earlier work^{4,5}, and good agreement was obtained. It is believed that models II and III are the first to be calculated for stars of effective temperature much greater than 4×10^4 ° K: in these models, the surface gravity, g, has been taken to be only slightly greater than the minimum value required for mechanically stable atmospheres. All three models have the same chemical composition, hydrogen : helium = 85 : 15 by numbers of atoms.

	Table 1	
	T_{s} (10 ⁵ °K)	$\log g$
Model I	0.417	4.2
Model II	1.000	4.8
Model III	2.000	6.0

An important feature of these models is the large contribution of electron scattering, σ , to the opacity of the atmosphere, the ratio, $\sigma/(x+\sigma)$, being about 0.95 for model II and about 0.99 for model III. The remaining contribution comes from continuous absorption by neutral hydrogen and singly ionized helium, the relative abundances of the ions being of the order $N_{\rm H}^{0}/N_{\rm H} \sim 10^{-8}$, $N_{\rm He}^{0}/N_{\rm He} \sim 10^{-15}$, and $N_{\rm He}^{+}/N_{\rm He} \sim 10^{-8}$.

The monochromatic source function for an atmosphere in which there is both continuous absorption and continuous scattering is given by the integral equation:

$$S_{\nu}(\tau_{\nu}) = \frac{\varkappa_{\nu}}{\varkappa_{\nu} + \sigma} B_{\nu}(T) + \frac{\sigma}{\varkappa_{\nu} + \sigma} \quad J_{\nu}(\tau_{\nu})$$
(1)

where:

$$J_{\nu}(\tau_{\nu}) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} E_{1}(|\tau_{\nu} - t_{\nu}|) S_{\nu}(t_{\nu}) \mathrm{d}t_{\nu}$$
(2)

is the mean intensity. The condition of flux conservation may be written as :