Table 1. PASSAGE OF PRESSURE WAVES AT NEW ZEALAND STATIONS, OCTOBER 30-NOVEMBER 1, 1961

TADIE 1. FASSAGE OF FRESSORE WAVES AT NEW ZEALAND STATIONS, COTOBER OF TOTAL DEL 1, 1900								
Station	Latitude	Longitude	Time First wave	of passage (G.I Second wave	M.T.) Third wave	First wave	Amplitude (m Second wave	b.) Third wave
Funafuti (Ellice Is.)	08° 31' S.	179° 12' E.	30.19.05			0.6		
Lauthala Bay (Fiji)	18° 09' S.	178° 27' E.	30.19.50			0.5		
Kaitaia	35° 04' S.	173° 17' E.	30.21.24		<del></del>	0.5		
Auckland	36° 51' S.	174° 47' E.	30.21.30	31,07.37	10.000	0.7	0.4	
Gisborne	38° 40' S.	177° 59' E.	30.21.44			0.6		
New Plymouth	39° 02' S.	174° 11' E.	30.21.44	31.07.25		0.6	0.4	
Ohakea	40° 12' S.	175° 23' E.	30.21.50	31.07.15		0.5	0.3	
Farewell Spit	40° 39' S.	173° 00' E.	30.21.48	31.07.19		0.6	0.3	
Paraparaumu	40° 54' 8.	174° 59' E.	30.21.48	31.07.24		0.6	0.3	
Nelson	41° 14' S.	173° 13' E.	30,22.02	31.07.19		0.6	0.3	
Wellington	41° 17' S.	174° 46' E.	30.21.57	31.07.17	01.09.16	0.6	0.4	0.2
Hokitika	42° 43' S.	170° 57' E.	30.22.00	31.07.31		0.6	0.3	
Christchurch	43° 29' S.	172° 32' E.	30.22.12	31.07.10		0.5	0.3	and said
Haast	43° 52' S.	169° 02' E.	30.22.06			0.7		
Chatham Id.	43° 58' S.	176° 31' W.	30.22.23			0.4		
Taieri	45° 51' S.	170° 22' E.	30.22.10	31.07.07	01.09.31	0.6	0.2	0.2
Invercargill	46° 25' S.	168° 19' E.	30.22.13	31.07.04		0.4	0.2	_

The average speed of the pressure waves calculated from the times of the first and second passages and time of the initial explosion was 306 m./sec., or 9.9 degrees of arc per hour. This is close to the average velocity of 318 m./sec. found for the Krakatoa pressure waves<sup>1</sup>, and falls in the speed-range 284-318 m./sec. of waves produced by various hydrogen bomb explosions in the Marshall Islands<sup>3</sup>.

The speed of propagation of the pressure wave will be a function of temperature and wind conditions along the path. It is hoped that the New Zealand data may be of assistance in global studies of these conditions.

E. FARKAS

New Zealand Meteorological Service, Wellington.

<sup>1</sup> Symons, G. J., The Eruption of Krakatoa and Subsequent Phenomena (Trubner and Co., London, 1888).
<sup>2</sup> Carpenter, E. W., et al., Nature, 192, 857 (1961).
<sup>3</sup> Yamamoto, R., Weather, 10, 321 (1955).

## PHYSICS

## Gravitational and Related Constants

In an earlier communication<sup>1</sup> some simple relationships between the fundamental constants e, h, c, Gand  $\alpha$  were proposed, where G is the usual gravitational constant and  $\alpha$  the ratio of proton mass to electron mass. It is now possible to simplify and extend slightly the treatment used previously and to justify to a considerable extent the results then obtained;  $\alpha$  will, however, now be taken as the ratio of the mass of the hydrogen atom to that of the electron.

First of all, it is necessary to consider one aspect of gravitational attraction. Imagine two uniform. spherical bodies A and B with centres distance rapart. Then the gravitational force of attraction is given by  $F = k/r^2$ , where k is a constant, and if the bodies are increased in size,  $n_1$  times larger for A and  $n_2$  times for B,  $F = kn_1n_2/r^2$ . This equation expresses the experimentally determined facts about gravitational force and, clearly, k has the dimensions [ $ML^{3}T^{-2}$ ], that is, identical with [charge]<sup>2</sup> (ref. 2). It is therefore possible to represent the force between masses  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  by:

$$F = Gm_1m_2/r^2 \approx G'N_1N_2e^2/r^2$$

where G' is a dimensionless constant and  $N_1e$  and  $N_2e$  are quantities of charge associated with and proportional respectively to  $m_1$  and  $m_2$ . When  $m_1 = m_2 = 1$  gm. and r = 1 cm.,  $N_1 = N_2 = N_0$ and, numerically:

$$F_0 = G \approx G' N_0^2 e^2 = G''$$

With little error the following relations between e,  $\alpha$ and G'' may be stated:

$$G''/e = 137$$
 E.S.U.,

from which 
$$G'' = 6.582 \times 10^{-8} (E.S.U.)^2$$

$$\alpha^2 G'' = \frac{2}{9}$$
 (E.S.U.)<sup>2</sup>,  
from which  $G'' = 6.584 \times 10^{-8}$  (E.S.U.)<sup>2</sup>

$$x^2e = \frac{2}{9}\left(\frac{1}{137}\right)$$
 E.S.U.

The third relationship follows from the other two but, for the purpose of checking, it has the advantage that the less-accurately-known G" has been eliminated. Using the values<sup>3</sup>  $e = 4.8028 \times 10^{-10}$  E.S.U.,  $\alpha =$ 1837.1 and 137.04 rather than 137 (also used to calculate G''),  $\alpha^2 e \times 137.04 = 0.22213$ , in close agreement with 2/9. The discrepancy between the value  $6.58 \times 10^{-8}$  for G" and  $6.67 \times 10^{-8}$  for G has already been discussed<sup>1</sup>.

It is significant that 1/137.04 is the value of the fine structure constant  $2\pi e^2/hc$ , but for the present purpose no use of this relationship has been made. A. E. MARTIN

Sir Howard Grubb Parsons and Co., Ltd.,

Optical Works,

Walkergate,

Newcastle upon Tyne 6.

<sup>1</sup> Martin, A. E., Nature, 192, 59 (1961).

<sup>2</sup> Carr, L. H. A., Proc. Inst. Elec. Eng., Pt. 1, 97, 235 (1950). Allen, L. W., Electrical Engineering, 134 (1958). Martin, A. E., Nature, 191, 588 (1961).

<sup>3</sup> Smithson. Phys. Tables, ninth rev. ed. (1954).

## Production of Superconducting Niobium Films by Vacuum-Arc Deposition

HOLLAND<sup>1</sup> commented that certain workers<sup>2,3</sup> interested in the production of vacuum-deposited thin films had shown that the electric arc could be used for this purpose. He noted that this method offered a possible solution to the problem of evaporating low-vapour pressure metals which for various reasons could not be handled by the techniques then We have shown that, where films of available. uniform thickness are not required, the high deposition-rates obtainable from a vacuum-arc at a very short distance from the substrate greatly facilitate the production of pure films in poor vacua.

One of our major interests has been to produce superconducting niobium films with the transition characteristics of the pure bulk metal. It is a wellknown fact that superconducting niobium films are difficult to prepare. The main obstacles to be overcome are: high melting temperature, low vapour