

gradient in a furnace. A uniform temperature gradient is suitable.

A detailed account of this work has been submitted for publication elsewhere.

W. A. CAW
R. G. WYLIE

National Standards Laboratory,
Commonwealth Scientific and
Industrial Research Organization,
Sydney.
Sept. 10.

¹ Mardles, E. W. J., *Nature*, **182**, 438 (1958).

Temperature and Turbulence in Quiescent Prominences determined from Line-widths

SOME years ago, one of us attempted to determine the kinetic temperature of atoms and a possible turbulence in some quiescent prominences¹. Since at that time the different lines of a prominence had to be exposed one after the other and since only H β and D3 could be used for the purpose, it seemed desirable to make further observations of solar prominences with improved equipment².

The present observations were made with our tower telescope ($f = 24$ m.) using a Bausch and Lomb concave grating (radius of curvature 665 cm.). The prominences were guided on to the slit of the spectrograph (height of the slit 0.6 mm.) by using a Lyot H α filter³. The following lines—arising with good approximation in an optically thin layer—could be exposed simultaneously: λ 8542 and (in some spectra) λ 8498 of Ca⁺, λ 5875 of He, λ 4340 and λ 3970 of H, the Balmer lines in the second order, the other lines in the first order. Since the molecular weight of calcium is forty times greater than that of hydrogen, the separation of temperature and turbulence is fairly certain. All line-widths have been corrected for the finite resolving power of the spectrograph.

Table 1 gives the results for ten quiescent prominences. The temperatures and the turbulent velocities are mean values computed according to the method of least squares, giving double weight to the widths of the Balmer lines. The different values given for one and the same prominence belong to different exposures. The scatter expresses, there-

fore, not only the uncertainty of the measurements but also local differences in temperature and turbulence within a prominence, since it was not always possible to place the same spot of the prominence on the slit of the spectrograph for all exposures.

The kinetic temperatures—significantly higher than the excitation temperatures derived earlier¹—and the turbulence in quiescent prominences are probably of the same order of magnitude as in the lower chromosphere. A slight but significant negative correlation ($r = -0.52$, $n = 39$) exists between kinetic temperature and turbulence. This correlation is somewhat more conspicuous if the H and He lines are treated separately ($r = -0.64$, $n = 35$), and is absent if the He and Ca⁺ lines are treated separately. From a physical point of view the negative correlation is likely to be a pseudo-correlation, suggesting that the Balmer lines arise in a different layer of a prominence from the D3 line of He and the Ca⁺ lines.

A detailed discussion of our improved observations will appear shortly in the *Nachrichten der Akademie der Wissenschaften in Göttingen, Math.-Phys.-Klasse*.

P. TEN BRUGGENCATE
G. ELSTE

Universitäts-Sternwarte,
Göttingen.
Sept. 4.

¹ Bruggencate, P. ten, *Nachr. d. Akad. d. Wissensch. Göttingen, Math.-Phys.-Klasse*, Nr. 13 (1952).

² See also Unsöld, A., "Physik d. Sternatmosphären", 2. Aufl., 682 (Springer, 1955).

³ Bruggencate, P. ten, and Voigt, H. H., *Nachr. d. Akad. d. Wissensch. Göttingen, Math.-Phys.-Klasse*, Nr. 8 (1958).

Electron Spin Resonance in Carbons: a New Oxygen Effect

Ingram and Tapley¹ and Uebersfeld and Erb² have observed that electron spin resonance in certain carbons can be affected by oxygen. Austen and Ingram³ showed that the electron spin resonance signal given by a carbon in vacuum may be (a) broadened, (b) reduced in total integrated intensity, by exposure to oxygen at room temperature. In both cases, it was found possible to restore the signal to the value it possessed in vacuum by outgassing the sample for a few minutes at room temperature.

Table 1

| U.T. 1957 | T_{kin} (deg. K.) | v_{turb} (km./sec.) | U.T. 1957 | T_{kin} (deg. K.) | v_{turb} (km./sec.) |
|--|---|---------------------------------|--|---|---------------------------------|
| May 1 6h. 24m.-6h. 35m. W., 40° S.* | 4,800 5,000 4,800 | 9.2 9.0 9.2 | May 11 9h. 45m.-10h. 00m. E., 35° N. | 7,000 7,100 5,200 5,100 | 6.3 6.1 6.1 5.7 |
| May 1 7h. 00m.-7h. 14m. E., 30° S. | 6,500 5,900 | 4.9 5.0 | May 15 6h. 35m.-6h. 45m. E., 10° N. | 6,300 7,000 8,200 | 3.9 3.9 3.6 |
| May 9 13h. 45m.-14h. 00m. W., 63° S. | 9,300 8,600 5,500 5,900 6,000 | 4.9 5.7 6.7 7.1 6.3 | May 25 8h. 25m.-8h. 45m. W., 10° S. | 6,300 7,000 6,800 6,000 5,500 | 3.6 4.8 3.8 3.9 4.8 |
| May 9 14h. 30m.-14h. 45m. E., 33° N. | 5,800 5,200 4,600 4,500 | 7.6 7.5 7.6 8.6 | June 13 6h. 12m.-6h. 21m. E., 40° N. | 7,600 7,900 7,300 6,500 | 5.6 4.9 4.9 5.0 |
| May 10 8h. 10m.-8h. 15m. E., 33° N. | 7,500 6,900 6,300 4,500 | 6.5 6.7 6.1 6.4 | June 13 16h. 04m.-16h. 30m. W., 0° | 8,800 5,900 5,000 7,700 6,600 | 6.6 7.3 7.3 6.1 7.0 |

* Position of prominences according to "Daily Maps of the Sun", Fraunhofer Institut, Freiburg im Breisgau.