

'wetted wall' column in which the interface was continuously being renewed. An interfacial resistance was shown to be present; but the interfacial transfer coefficients reported were greater than those obtained in this work, for example, about 0.015 cm./sec. as compared with final values of about 0.001 cm./sec. This difference might conceivably be due to the rapid renewal of the interface in the wetted wall column, each element of which is replaced in a few seconds, as compared with the increasing age of the interface in the transfer cell.

A study of the extraction of uranyl nitrate from water into a hydrocarbon solution of tributyl phosphate has recently been carried out by Hahn⁵. Neither phase was stirred, so that transfer to the interface was by molecular diffusion. No interfacial barrier could be detected; but as molecular diffusion is considerably slower than eddy diffusion, a barrier sufficiently large to affect the rate of transfer in stirred systems might be undetectable in Hahn's experiments.

All attempts to provide a theoretical explanation for the fall-off in rate have so far been unsuccessful; however, it has been shown that the effect is real and not due to surface contamination.

I wish to thank Mr. A. S. White for his continued interest in this work and Dr. H. R. C. Pratt for much useful discussion.

J. B. LEWIS

Atomic Energy Research Establishment,
Harwell, Didcot, Berkshire.
March 27.

¹ Lewis, J. B., *Chem. Eng. Sci.*, **3**, 248 (1954).

² Lewis, J. B., *Chem. Eng. Sci.*, **3**, 260 (1954).

³ Lewis, J. B., and Pratt, H. R. C., *Nature*, **171**, 1155 (1953).

⁴ Murdoch, R., and Pratt, H. R. C., *Trans. Inst. Chem. Eng.*, **31**, 307 (1953).

⁵ Hahn, H. T., HW-32026, 20.7.54 (unclassified publication of the U.S.A.E.C.).

Relation between the Volume of a Liquid and its Internal Energy

IN a recent paper¹, Benninga and Scott have pointed out that an adequate theory of liquids must account for the approximate identity, $EV = \text{constant}$. E is defined as being equal to the difference between the internal energy of the substance in the perfect gas state and in the liquid state at a given temperature, and will be identified with the potential energy between the molecules: V is the molar volume of the liquid. We wish to point out that this relationship can be made a direct consequence of the simplest form of 'hole' theory. Although only the case of spherical molecules of a single species will be considered here, it is intended that a treatment generalized to include the case of mixtures of non-spherical molecules will be published elsewhere in the near future.

Although the molecules in the liquid state do not in general display long-range order, 'short-range' order is known to exist: thus there is a fairly clearly defined distance l between the centres of molecules which are nearest neighbours. The expansion of such a liquid with change of temperature or pressure can be considered from two extreme points of view: either the distance between all the molecules may alter, resulting in a uniform stretching of the whole liquid structure, or the distance l may remain constant and vacant sites may appear in the ring of nearest neighbours surrounding each molecule. The former

theory—uniform stretching—leads, on the basis of a Lennard-Jones 6-12 intermolecular potential, to the law² $EV^2 = \text{constant}$. In order to investigate the implications of the second theory, two simplifying assumptions will be made: (a) that the vacant sites are randomly distributed throughout the body of the liquid; (b) that the vacant sites (or 'holes') are equal in size to a molecule.

Let there be h such sites and N molecules, and let e be the interaction energy due to each pair of molecules which are nearest neighbours. Longer-range interactions will be neglected. Then

$$\begin{aligned} E &= \frac{1}{2}NZe \times (\text{proportion of nearest neighbour sites which are occupied by molecules}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}NZeN/(N+h) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}NZeV'/V \end{aligned}$$

where V' is the molar volume of the hole-free lattice, and V is the molar volume of the liquid.

Thus this method of expansion leads to the required relationship $EV = \frac{1}{2}NZeV' = \text{constant}$.

M. B. KING

R. F. STRICKLAND-CONSTABLE

Department of Chemical Engineering,
Imperial College,
London, S.W.7.
Feb. 24.

¹ *J. Chem. Phys.*, **23**, 1911 (1955).

² Benson, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **15**, 367 (1947).

Production of Carrier-free Cobalt-58 by Pile Irradiation of Nickel

IN the course of a study of neutron-proton reactions in the Harwell pile, we have irradiated nickel metal both in the ordinary irradiation facilities and in a hollow uranium bar. In this bar the energies of the fast neutrons available approximate to the spectrum of fission-neutron energies.

The yields of cobalt-58 obtained are shown in Table 1; the fast-neutron fluxes were measured by counting phosphorus-32 formed in sulphur monitors irradiated at the same time as the nickel, assuming a cross-section of 0.03 barn, as given by Hughes¹, for the reaction sulphur-32 (n, p) phosphorus-32.

We have separated cobalt-58 from irradiated nickel in a carrier-free state, obtaining about 80 per cent yield. The nickel was dissolved in nitric acid, the solution evaporated to dryness, and the residue taken up in 9 N hydrochloric acid. This solution was added to a column of 'De Acidite FF' anion-exchange resin, when the nickel passed through and the cobalt was adsorbed. When all the nickel had been removed

Table 1

Irradiation	Fission neutron flux (neutrons/cm. ² /sec.) (S(n, p) ³² P monitors)	Saturation activity (mc./gm. Ni)	Cross-section (Ni-58) (milli-barns)	Cross-section (Ni metal) (milli-barns)
Hollow slug, 9 days Thermal flux, 1.4×10^{12} ($n/cm.^2/sec.$), 6.4 days	4.9×10^{11}	4.4	47	31.5
Thermal flux, 1.2×10^{12} ($n/cm.^2/sec.$), 6.4 days	2.7×10^{11}	2.3	45	31
Thermal flux, 1.0×10^{12} ($n/cm.^2/sec.$), 6.4 days	2.3×10^{11}	1.9	44	30
Thermal flux, 1.0×10^{12} ($n/cm.^2/sec.$), 6.4 days	2.0×10^{11}	1.7	44	30