



# Transfusion support using filtered unscreened blood products for cytomegalovirus-negative allogeneic marrow transplant recipients

AB Narvios<sup>1</sup>, D Przepiorka<sup>2</sup>, J Tarrand<sup>4</sup>, K-W Chan<sup>3</sup>, R Champlin<sup>2</sup> and B Lichtiger<sup>1</sup>

Departments of <sup>1</sup>Transfusion Medicine, <sup>2</sup>Blood and Marrow Transplantation, <sup>3</sup>Pediatrics and <sup>4</sup>Microbiology, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, Texas, USA

## Summary:

It has been suggested that leukoreduced unscreened blood products can be used as an alternative to components from cytomegalovirus (CMV)-seronegative donors in order to prevent transmission of CMV from transfusions for CMV-seronegative marrow transplant recipients with CMV-seronegative donors, but confirmatory data are lacking. A retrospective chart review was undertaken for patients undergoing allogeneic transplantation over a 4-year period during which blood products were filtered for CMV-seronegative patients with CMV-seronegative donors when CMV-seronegative components were not available. Forty-five CMV-seronegative patient–donor pairs were identified. Only one patient developed CMV disease (pneumonia) and no other patients developed an infection. In this group of patients, the rate of CMV infection was 2.7% (95% CI, 0–8%) by life-table analysis. We conclude that filtered unscreened blood products as partial transfusion support for CMV-seronegative marrow transplant recipients were associated with a low incidence of CMV infection, justifying further evaluation of filtered blood products as total transfusion support for this patient population. However, since CMV infections still occur, continued surveillance by periodic culture or other techniques is warranted.

**Keywords:** cytomegalovirus infections; filtered blood components; bone marrow transplantation

nents with a low risk of transmission of CMV. The incidence of CMV infection is 0–2.4% in autologous and allogeneic marrow transplant recipients receiving filtered blood products as partial or complete transfusion support,<sup>4–6</sup> but little information is available about the efficacy of this approach in the high-risk allogeneic population alone. We report the results of our experience with partial transfusion support using filtered blood components for CMV-seronegative allogeneic marrow transplant patients with CMV-seronegative donors.

## Materials and methods

### Patient population

From January 1990 to December 1993, 45 CMV-seronegative patients with CMV-seronegative donors underwent allogeneic marrow transplantation at UT MD Anderson Cancer Center. These patients account for approximately 12% of all allogeneic transplantations during this period of time. Only those patients and donors who were CMV-seronegative by serological screening using the Latex Agglutination Assay (Becton Dickinson, Cockeysville, MD, USA) and by CMV culture of the urine and blood prior to transplantation were included. Characteristics of the study population are summarized in Table 1. The majority of patients were adults with leukemia. Nineteen (42%) received T cell-depleted transplants, and 13 (29%) had alternative donors.

### Transfusion support

Packed red blood cells were administered to maintain a hemoglobin >8.0 gm/dl. Single-donor platelet units or 6 units of random-donor platelets were administered once daily to maintain a platelet count >20 × 10<sup>9</sup>/l or more frequently for patients with active bleeding. During the study period, CMV-seronegative patients with CMV-seronegative donors preferentially received screened blood components, and leukofiltration was used when CMV-seronegative components were not available. Blood components that were screened for CMV antibodies were tested using the standard Latex Agglutination Assay.

### Leukoreduction of components

Platelet concentrates were administered through a Sepacell PL-10A or PLS-10A leukocyte reduction administration set

Meyers *et al*<sup>1</sup> reported that 28% of cytomegalovirus (CMV)-seronegative marrow transplant recipients with CMV-seronegative donors developed CMV infections, and these were attributed to transmission of virus by leukocytes in blood components used for transfusions. Although use of blood products solely from CMV-seronegative donors was associated with a reduction in CMV infection to approximately 3% in CMV-seronegative transplant patients,<sup>2,3</sup> the availability of such blood products is limited by the high incidence of CMV infection in the general population. Leukoreduction by filtration has emerged as an alternative means for providing unscreened blood compo-

Correspondence: Dr B Lichtiger, The UT MD Anderson Cancer Center, 1515 Holcombe Boulevard, Box 007, Houston, TX 77030, USA  
Received 5 December 1997; accepted 9 April 1998

**Table 1** Characteristics of marrow transplant recipients and donors

<b>Recipients</b>	
Number	45
Sex M/F	30/15
Median age (range) years	31 (2–54)
<b>Diagnosis</b>	
Aplastic anemia	3
Myelodysplasia	1
Acute leukemia	14
Chronic leukemia	19
Myeloma	2
Lymphoma	5
Hodgkin's disease	1
<b>Preparative regimen</b>	
TBI-containing	33
Chemotherapy alone	12
<b>Graft-versus-host disease prophylaxis</b>	
Tacrolimus + methotrexate	1
Cyclosporine + methotrexate	14
Cyclosporine + other	11
T cell depletion + cyclosporine ± other	19
<b>Outcomes (95% CI)</b>	
Grades 2–4 graft-versus-host disease %	54 (38–70)
Day-100 survival %	60 (45–75)
2-year survival %	38 (23–52)
CMV infection %	2.7 (0–8)
<b>Donors</b>	
Sex M/F	29/16
Median age (range) years	29 (4–57)
<b>HLA compatibility</b>	
Matched related donor	32
Mismatched related donor	2
Matched unrelated donor	11

(Fenwal Division, Baxter Corporation, Deerfield, IL, USA). Packed red blood cells were administered through Sepacell R-500 leukocyte reduction filters (Fenwal Division). Filtration was performed in the blood bank or at the bedside with quality control testing as described.<sup>7</sup> Leukocyte count, platelet count, and hematocrit were measured in aliquot sample before and after filtering using a Coulter JTS (Miami, FL, USA). Absolute values of each were calculated by multiplying the concentration times of the volume of the component. For quality control, leukocyte counts were also performed with a Nageotte chamber using a 1:5 dilution of platelet concentrates and a 1:10 dilution of packed red blood cells in 0.0025% propidium iodide.<sup>7</sup> Filtered components were expected to have  $<1 \times 10^6$  residual leukocytes/unit. Hemoglobin and platelet recoveries were expected to exceed 80%.

#### CMV prophylaxis and surveillance

Patients received acyclovir 5 mg/kg i.v. every 8 h to engraftment, then 400 mg orally twice daily to day 100. Intravenous immunoglobulin 500 mg/kg i.v. was administered weekly until day 100. Urine and peripheral blood buffy coat cells were collected pretransplant and at weekly intervals to day 100. The samples were tested for CMV by standard culture and shell vial assay. For diagnosis of CMV disease, bronchoalveolar lavage fluid or tissue biopsies were cultured similarly. Tissue biopsies and autopsy specimens were examined by light microscopy, immunocyto-

chemistry or by *in situ* hybridization for CMV. CMV infection was defined as a positive surveillance culture with or without signs of disease. CMV disease was defined as a positive culture associated with pneumonitis or positive tissue biopsy.

#### Results

The 45 patients received a median of 36 units of packed red blood cells, 90 units of random-donor platelets, and 15 units of single-donor platelets (Table 2). Of these transfusions, filtering was used for all of the packed red blood cells, all of the random-donor platelets and all of the single-donor platelets.

Only one patient developed a CMV infection; by life table analysis, the incidence of CMV infection was 2.7% (95% CI 0–8%). The patient was a 22-year-old male with chronic myelogenous leukemia who received a T cell-depleted marrow graft from an HLA-matched sibling. Transfusion support for this patient included 25 units of packed red blood cells (24 screened and filtered and 1 filtered alone), 110 units of random-donor platelets (98 screened and filtered and 12 filtered alone), and 4 units of single-donor platelets (2 screened and filtered and 2 filtered alone). Grade 2 GVHD occurred on day 12 post-transplant, and this responded to treatment with high-dose steroids. Bilateral interstitial infiltrates were noted on day 43 post-transplant. By shell vial assay, bronchoalveolar lavage fluid and urine were positive for CMV on day 46, and blood buffy coat was positive on day 53. The patient was treated with ganciclovir and intravenous immunoglobulin with eradication of the CMV. He is currently alive and well more than 5 years post-transplant.

#### Discussion

The purpose of our study was to determine the safety of using filtered unscreened blood products as an alternative to CMV-seronegative components for CMV-seronegative allogeneic marrow transplant patients. The actuarial risk of CMV infection and disease was 2.7%, and there was no CMV-related mortality. A similar rate of infection was reported by Bowden *et al*,<sup>6</sup> although a direct comparison to our study cannot be made, since a substantial proportion of those patients had undergone autologous transplantation, a population with a lower risk of CMV infection.<sup>8</sup> van Prooijen *et al*<sup>9</sup> reported no CMV infections after allogeneic marrow transplantation for CMV-seronegative recipients administered only filtered unscreened blood components, but their sample size was too small to detect a low infection rate. Taken together, these results support the use of filtered unscreened blood products for CMV-seronegative allogeneic transplant patients, with the caveat that surveillance for CMV is still required for early detection of infections that may occur at a low rate.

The acceptance of filtered blood components to prevent transmission of CMV<sup>10</sup> required that this approach result in an infection rate no higher than that with screened blood products. Transfusion-associated CMV infection may still

**Table 2** Transfusion support

Component	No. of patients	Units/Patient transfused	Units/Patient CMV screened and filtered	Units/Patient CMV filtered only
Packed red blood cells	44	36 <sup>a</sup> (1–150)	32 (3–150)	11 (1–41)
Random donor platelets	41	90 (4–692)	97 (4–462)	31 (4–96)
Single donor platelets	43	15 (1–74)	14 (1–74)	20 (1–29)

<sup>a</sup>Number (range).

occur when using screened blood products. Although this may be a consequence of false negative results with the current serological tests for CMV antibodies, albeit at a very low rate, some individuals may have early or asymptomatic infections and have not yet seroconverted at the time of donation. Indeed, in a prospective study by Wilhelm *et al*,<sup>11</sup> volunteer blood donors had a 0.8% annual seroconversion rate.

Primary CMV infection may also occur as a result of respiratory tract-mediated transmission from a community source. Although we cannot exclude community acquired CMV infection in our patients who developed CMV pneumonia, the standard infection precautions utilized by our patients should make this possibility remote.

Successful use of filtration to prevent transfusion-associated CMV infection depends on the adequacy of depletion of leukocytes during the procedure. It should be noted that our quality control program required that the filtration procedure allowed no more than  $<1 \times 10^6$  leukocytes/unit after filtration. This is lower than the current standard ( $<5 \times 10^6$  residual leukocytes/unit) and clearly feasible with the filters available. Consequently, with the appropriate quality control measures in place, our results will likely be applicable to other institutions and will allow this approach to be used for other populations at high risk for transfusion-associated infections.<sup>10,12</sup>

## References

- 1 Meyers JD, Flournoy N, Thomas ED. Risk factors for cytomegalovirus infection after human marrow transplantation. *J Infect Dis* 1986; **153**: 478–488.
- 2 Bowden RA, Sayers M, Flournoy N *et al*. Cytomegalovirus immune globulin and seronegative blood products to prevent primary cytomegalovirus infection after marrow transplantation. *New Engl J Med* 1986; **314**: 1006–1010.
- 3 Miller WJ, McCullough J, Balfour HH *et al*. Prevention of cytomegalovirus infection following bone marrow transplantation: a randomized trial of blood product screening. *Bone Marrow Transplant* 1991; **7**: 227–234.
- 4 Verdonck LF, de Graan-Hentzen YCE, Dekker AW *et al*. Cytomegalovirus seronegative platelets and leukocyte-poor red blood cells from random donors can prevent primary CMV infection after bone marrow transplant. *Bone Marrow Transplant* 1987; **2**: 73–78.
- 5 Bowden RA, Slichter SJ, Sayers MH *et al*. Use of leukocyte-depleted platelets and cytomegalovirus-seronegative red blood cells for prevention of primary cytomegalovirus infections after marrow transplant. *Blood* 1991; **78**: 246–250.
- 6 Bowden RA, Slichter SJ, Sayers MH *et al*. A comparison of filtered leukocyte-reduced and cytomegalovirus (CMV) seronegative blood products for the prevention of transfusion-associated CMV infection after marrow transplant. *Blood* 1995; **86**: 3598–3603.
- 7 Narvios AB, Alvarez FE, Glassman A, Lichtiger B. Assessing the efficiency of leukoreduction of cellular blood components. Use of a simplified formalin-fixation and batch-counting method. *Am J Clin Pathol* 1997; **107**: 111–113.
- 8 Wingard JR, Chen DY-H, Burns WH *et al*. Cytomegalovirus infection after autologous bone marrow transplantation with comparison to infection after allogeneic bone marrow transplantation. *Blood* 1988; **71**: 1432–1437.
- 9 van Prooijen HC, Visser JJ, van Oostendorp WR *et al*. Prevention of primary transfusion-associated cytomegalovirus infection in bone marrow transplant recipients by the removal of white cells from blood components with high-affinity filters. *Br J Haematol* 1994; **87**: 144–147.
- 10 Przepiorka D, LeParc GF, Werch J, Lichtiger B. Prevention of transfusion-associated cytomegalovirus infection. Practice parameter. *Am J Clin Pathol* 1996; **106**: 163–169.
- 11 Wilhelm JA, Matter L, Schopper K. The risk of transmitting cytomegalovirus to patients receiving blood transfusion. *J Infect Dis* 1986; **154**: 169–171.
- 12 Murphy MF, Grint PC, Hardiman AE *et al*. Use of leukocyte-poor blood components to prevent primary cytomegalovirus (CMV) infection in patients with acute leukemia. *Br J Haematol* 1988; **70**: 253–255.