# NEWS AND VIEWS

### Sir Arthur Evans, F.R.S.

SIR ARTHUR EVANS, the well-known archæologist, celebrated his ninetieth birthday on July 8. He has been honorary keeper of the Ashmolean Museum since 1890, having been keeper during 1884-1908. Sir Arthur is undoubtedly the leading British authority in the classical archæological studies, for which he was awarded the Copley Medal of the Royal Society in 1936. In his earlier years he made important contributions to the science of numismatics. His researches in Crete from 1893 onwards resulted in the discovery of the remains of a civilization which he named Minoan after the sea-king, He traced the Minoan civilization from Minos. approximately 3200 to 1400 B.C. His work, "The Palace of Minos", published in six volumes, revolutionized our knowledge of the ancient history of the Near East.

On the occasion of Sir Arthur's ninetieth birthday, Prof. J. L. Myres and Prof. R. M. Dawkins, both former presidents of the Society for the Promotion of Hellenic Studies, presented him on behalf of the Society with an illuminated address. Prof. Myres also presented an address from the British School of Archæology in Athens, of which Sir Arthur was one of the founders and to which he presented some years ago the site of the Palace of Knossos and his property in Crete to be a centre for Cretan studies. Sir Arthur was president of the British Association during 1916-19 and of the Society of Antiquaries during 1914-19. He has been the recipient of many honours from British and foreign universities and societies. All readers of NATURE will doubtless wish to be associated with our congratulations to a scientific worker whose contributions to archæology and anthropology have been of such outstanding importance.

#### University of London: Air Raid Damage

Some brief notes on damage done during air raids to buildings of the University of London have already appeared in Nature (December 21, 1940, p. 802). Information has now been made available of further damage:

UNIVERSITY COLLEGE. Extensive additional damage. The libraries south of the Dome have been burnt out, and also the Exhibition Room, the General Office, the Provost's and Secretary's Rooms, the Council Room, the Botanical Theatre and the whole of the Mathematical Department above it.

King's College. Damage from blast, fairly extensive in area, and a rough estimate for full repairs is £10,000.

KING'S COLLEGE OF HOUSEHOLD AND SOCIAL SCIENCE. Fairly extensive damage was done when a German bomber crashed on the College.

BEDFORD COLLEGE. Severe damage both by high explosive and incendiary bombs, to the administrative block, the Biological Science block and the Dining Hall. There is slight damage by blast to other parts of the buildings.

QUEEN MARY COLLEGE. Damage by blast in various parts of the building. The West Lodge in the forecourt had a direct hit and was demolished. A rough estimate of the cost of repairs of damage suffered is £5,000.

BIRKBECK COLLEGE. On the occasion of one attack, a small fire was started in the Department of Chemistry, but was brought under control. During one of the fire attacks on London, fires broke out in the surrounding buildings, but the main building of the College escaped; 90 per cent of the College library has been destroyed.

School of Oriental and African Studies. Slight damage, mostly by blast, to new (unfinished) building.

MEDICAL SCHOOLS. St. Bartholomew's Hospital Medical College: The chemistry block, the old medical school buildings in Giltspur Street, the physiological block, including the library, students' common room, theatre and Pharmacology Department, have been completely burnt out. St. George's Hospital Medical School: Roofs of the large lecture theatre and library damaged, and a number of books destroyed. London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine: The eastern frontage has been completely wrecked: Minor damage by high explosive and incendiary bombs, and by blast, has also been done at St. Thomas's, Westminster, Middlesex, Charing Cross, University College and King's College Hospital Medical Schools, while the London School of Medicine for Women has lost £3,000 worth of furniture and equipment stored in Messrs. Thomas Wallis's depositories.

#### Social Reconstruction Survey

Mr. Greenwood, Minister without Portfolio, has announced in the House of Commons that the Committee of the Social Reconstruction Survey consists of the following members appointed by the committee of Nuffield College: -Mr. G. D. H. Cole, reader in economics in the University of Oxford (chairman), the Master of Balliol, the Principal of Lady Margaret Hall, Prof. A. G. B. Fisher, Prof. D. H. Macgregor, Price professor of international economics of the Royal Institute of International Affairs, Prof. A. L. Bowley, emeritus professor of statistics in the University of London; Mr. R. C. K. Ensor, senior research fellow of Corpus Christi College, Oxford; Miss A. Headlam-Morley, and Mr. C. H. Wilson. The committee of the Survey has co-opted Dr. C. S. Orwin, director of the Agricultural Economics Research Institute; Miss Margery Perham, reader in colonial administration in the University of Oxford; Mr. G. Montagu Harris, research lecturer in public administration in the University of Oxford; and Prof. Patrick Abercrombie, professor of town planning in the Bartlett School of Architecture, University College, London.

The terms of reference of the Survey are, briefly to inquire into the redistribution of industry and population brought about by the War, and the extent to which this redistribution was likely to persist in the post-war period; into the effects of war conditions on the working of public social services (other than the hospital service); into the changes in conditions of living due to evacuation and similar measures taken to meet the war situation, and into the bearing of all these factors on the general problem of national reorganization after the War. The bulk of the expenses of the survey during the current financial year will be borne by the independent resources of Nuffield College, but the Government has undertaken to make a grant not exceeding £5,000 towards the expenses of the Survey in that year.

#### Provision of Fine Chemicals

REFERENCE has already been made to the scheme inaugurated by the Advisory Research Council of the Chemical Society to facilitate the supply of fine chemicals needed for work of national importance, but which are not available commercially. The subcommittee organizing this work particularly desires to direct the attention of all users of fine chemicals to the existence of this scheme, in order that the greatest possible use may be made of the generous offers of help which have been received from numerous chemists in universities, technical colleges and schools, who have suitable laboratory facilities at their disposal. The scheme, which works in close collaboration with the Association of British Chemical Manufacturers, is an attempt to use to the best possible advantage both the laboratory facilities and the skilled man-power in teaching institutions and other laboratories which may not at present be fully harnessed to the war effort.

Before a substance can be accepted for preparation under this scheme, the Committee must be satisfied that the chemical is unobtainable from any British manufacturing firm and that it is required for urgent work of national importance within the British Empire. The scheme provides for the manufacture of approved items at basic charges which represent only the cost of raw material and such overheads as gas, electricity, etc. There is no charge for the chemist's services. Inquiries from both individuals and firms for chemicals which might be produced under the scheme should be made to the Secretary, Mr. S. E. Carr, Chemical Society, Burlington House, Piccadilly, London, W.1.

### War and Industry in India

Comments on the relation of industry in India to the country's war effort are made in an article by J. C. Ghosh in the February issue of Current Science. Mr. Ghosh believes that Indian nationalists have a genuine grievance against the Government for lack of vision in dealing with industrial development. During the War of 1914–18, much encouragement was given to many new industries, and, in the postwar years, it was withdrawn on the grounds that only those industries likely to become independent of State support should be supported. Thus the industries commanding an abundant supply of raw

material and a ready market for finished products, such as cotton, paper and cement, have gone ahead; unfortunately, this gain has been offset by decreasing prices and shrinking foreign markets for agricultural products. Mr. Ghosh's remedy for this state of affairs—and he thinks it is also a means of assisting defence measures—is to establish as key industries those which are included under the broad heading of metallurgical, engineering and machine tools, chemical and transportation industries.

Mr. Ghosh then discusses what has already been done in these fields. The Tata concern has been responsible for large developments in the iron and steel industry, and it is claimed that India could supply all the steel requirements of the countries represented at the Eastern Group Conference. Nonferrous industries are not so advanced, but a plant for the production of aluminium with a capacity of 5,000 tons a year is being erected. Engineering is also backward. The heavy chemical industries are developing and may soon satisfy a large part of the country's requirements, but the dye-stuff and fine chemical industry is not satisfactory. The production in India is also urged of locomotives, ocean-going vessels and aeroplanes. It is stated that Indian industrialists fear that the manufacture of motorvehicles is too difficult to be undertaken by Indian workmen in the near future, forgetting that "the thought and skill required in manufacture have been transferred from workmen to automatic machines". Non-official opinion in India is said, however, to be strongly in favour of starting such industries, and maintaining them as a part of the defence programme of the country.

## Health of the Army in India

According to the annual report for 1939 on the health of the Army in India, there were no serious epidemics during the year among British or Indian troops, although civilian areas in which troops were placed suffered from cholera, plague, small-pox, dysentery, malaria and enteric fever. The hospital admission-rate among British troops was as large as 666 per 1,000 of strength during the year, and was an increase on the rate for the previous year, but the death-rate of 2.75 per 1,000 and the invalidingrate of 9.14 per 1,000 were lower. Among the Indian troops the death-rate was also down, but the hospital admission- and invaliding-rates were up. This increase. however, was undoubtedly due to the conditions of war service and the return or influx of large numbers of men potentially infected with malaria and other prevalent diseases. Malaria and dysentery held the first place in the list of principal causes of sickness among British officers, and were followed by cellulitis and catarrhal jaundice. Malaria also held the chief place among soldiers, and next came cellulitis, and a good way down tonsillitis; but dysentery came sixth and was only half as frequent as a cause of admission as malaria. The prevalence of dysenteric infections was found to be due to lack of sanitation surrounding the troops' area. Respiratory diseases