

## LETTERS TO THE EDITORS

*The Editors do not hold themselves responsible for opinions expressed by their correspondents. They cannot undertake to return, or to correspond with the writers of, rejected manuscripts intended for this or any other part of NATURE. No notice is taken of anonymous communications.*

IN THE PRESENT CIRCUMSTANCES, PROOFS OF "LETTERS" WILL NOT BE SUBMITTED TO CORRESPONDENTS OUTSIDE GREAT BRITAIN.

NOTES ON POINTS IN SOME OF THIS WEEK'S LETTERS APPEAR ON P. 593. CORRESPONDENTS ARE INVITED TO ATTACH SIMILAR SUMMARIES TO THEIR COMMUNICATIONS.

### The Mass Centre in Relativity

THE question whether there exists in relativity mechanics a theorem analogous to the classical law for the motion of the mass centre (conservation of total momentum) has, as far as we can see, never found a satisfactory answer. Eddington<sup>1</sup> has taken this fact as the starting point for a general attack against the usual application of wave mechanics to fast-moving particles without contributing himself anything positive to the question. The reason why this problem has never been seriously treated seems to be this.

In classical mechanics the internal potential energy depends on the simultaneous relative positions of the particles; therefore one can separate the relative motion from the translatory motion of the centre. In relativity, however, all forces are retarded, the interaction does not depend on simultaneous relative positions and the separation of the relative motion from the translation of the whole system loses its meaning.

Quantum mechanics circumvents this problem by considering interactions as produced by emission and reabsorption of other particles. We were induced to reconsider this problem by its bearing on a relativistic and 'reciprocal' formulation of second quantization. Without touching this question, we shall state here some simple results concerning free particles. It is clear that in this case there must exist a 'rest system'  $\Sigma^0$ , that is, a Lorentz frame in which the total momentum vanishes. The problem is to describe the relative motion in an invariant way.

We start by bringing the classical derivation into a form permitting generalization. If  $\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2$  are the position vectors,  $\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2$  the momenta of two particles, we form the vector of relative position and that of total momentum

$$\rho = \mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2, \quad \mathbf{P} = \mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{p}_2, \quad (1)$$

and determine their canonical conjugate variables: components of the vectors  $\pi$  and  $\mathbf{R}$ . A simple calculation shows that these are not uniquely determined but have the form

$$\pi = (1 - a)\mathbf{p}_1 - a\mathbf{p}_2, \quad \mathbf{R} = a\mathbf{r}_1 + (1 - a)\mathbf{r}_2, \quad (2)$$

where  $a$  is an arbitrary constant. Hence another condition must be added.

We postulate that the kinetic energy  $p_1^2/2m_1 + p_2^2/2m_2$  assumes the form  $P^2/2m + \pi^2/2\mu$ . This condition leads to a determination of the three constants  $a, m, \mu$ , namely,

$$a = \frac{m_1}{m_1 + m_2}, \quad m = m_1 + m_2, \quad \mu = \frac{m_1 m_2}{m_1 + m_2},$$

which introduced into (2) give the usual expressions for relative momentum and centre of mass.

In relativity, the energies  $E_1, E_2$  of two free particles are given by

$$E_1^2 = m_1^2 + p_1^2, \quad E_2^2 = m_2^2 + p_2^2. \quad (3)$$

We consider now the 4-vectors  $\mathbf{p}_+ = \mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{p}_2, E_+ = E_1 + E_2$  and  $\mathbf{p}_- = \mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{p}_2, E_- = E_1 - E_2$ . A simple calculation leads to

$$E_+^2 = m_+^2 + p_+^2 + \pi^2, \quad E_-^2 = m_-^2 + p_-^2 - \pi^2; \quad (4)$$

here  $m_+ = m_1 + m_2, m_- = m_1 - m_2$

and  $\pi = 2m_1 m_2 \sinh \Gamma/2,$  (5)

where  $\Gamma$  is the 'angular distance' of the two 4-vectors, given by

$$m_1 m_2 \cosh \Gamma = E_1 E_2 - \mathbf{p}_1 \mathbf{p}_2. \quad (6)$$

$\Gamma$  is invariant, hence  $\pi$  is invariant also.  $\pi$  has a simple meaning in the case of equal masses. In the rest system  $\Sigma^0$ , where  $\dot{P} = \dot{p}_+ = \dot{p}_1 + \dot{p}_2 = 0$ , we have  $m_1 - m_2 = m_- = 0$ , and  $\dot{E}_1 - \dot{E}_2 = \dot{E}_- = 0$ ; hence  $\pi^2 = (p_-)^2$ . This shows that  $\pi$  is the length of the vector  $\pi$  representing relative momentum.

For different masses  $\pi$  can be described as the relative momentum in that Lorentz frame (which always exists) in which

$$E_1 - E_2 = \pm (m_1 - m_2).$$

The first equation (4) can now be written

$$E^2 = M^2 + P^2, \quad M^2 = \mu^2 + \pi^2, \quad (7)$$

where  $\mu = m_1 + m_2$  is the sum of the rest masses,  $M$  the total internal energy, which represents also the rest mass of the whole system, and  $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{p}_+ = \mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{p}_2$  the total momentum.

Taking the components of  $\mathbf{P}$  and  $\pi$  as new canonical momenta, one can determine the conjugate co-ordinates,  $\mathbf{R}$  and  $\rho$ . They are linear in  $\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2$ ; the coefficients are, however, not constants but functions of  $\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2$ .

For small  $\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2$  the formulae reduce to the classical ones.

It is interesting to remark that in relativity there exists a 'reciprocal'<sup>2</sup> theorem obtained by interchanging co-ordinates and momenta.

MAX BORN.  
KLAUS FUCHS.

Department of Applied Mathematics,  
University of Edinburgh.

<sup>1</sup> Eddington, A., *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, **35**, 186 (1939).

<sup>2</sup> Born, M., *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh*, (ii), **59**, 219 (1939).