Tropical Plant Diseases: Their Importance and Control*

By Dr. E. J. BUTLER, C.M.G., C.I.E., F.R.S.

IN the great tropical and subtropical plantation industries, such as tea, rubber, coffee, cocoa, citrus fruits, etc., large areas of permanent crops are cultivated on capitalistic lines with uniform and usually white control. On an estate of hundreds or even thousands of acres, often under highly intelligent supervision and where the produce may be worth a great deal of money, it is comparatively easy to get adopted improvements which are the results of scientific research, whether in the control of disease or in any other direction. It is quite a different matter, however, when one comes to deal with the crops grown by the indigenous people of the tropics for their own use. Their agricultural practices are rigidly traditional, their standard of intelligence may be low, money is scarce or even absent, and their crops are raised in small holdings, often subdivided to an almost incredible degree. I once had occasion to acquire 17 acres for expansion of an agricultural research station in India and found 30 families and 91 individual plots represented in this piece of arable land. In such conditions—and they are those under which a great part of the population of the world lives—"the cultivator's ways and the sheep's ways tend to be much the same", as an Indian proverb says, and however well the traditional agricultural methods are followed, the cultivator is apt to be helpless in an emergency as, for example, an outbreak of epidemic plant disease.

It is not surprising that the earlier plant pathologists who worked in the tropics, from the time when Marshall Ward went to Ceylon in 1880 to fight coffee leaf disease when it was already too late to save the industry from ruin, should have concerned themselves mainly with the diseases of the great export crops. A study of the reports of fifteen or twenty years ago will show that for practical purposes, India was the only tropical British possession in which it was the policy of the agricultural departments to devote much of their attention to the crops grown by the people of the country for their own use. During the past ten years, however, there has been a considerable improvement in this respect in the British Colonies, especially those of tropical Africa. Most of the colonies have one, and a few have two, plant pathologists attached to the agricultural departments and where as in several of them there are no plantation crops, the needs of the village cultivators are receiving attention. Even in some of the more advanced 'plantation' colonies such as Ceylon and Malaya, the large plantation industries have now organised their own research departments, leaving the Government departments of agriculture free to concentrate on the improvement of the local crops and methods of farming.

Such improvements are likely to increase the responsibilities of the plant pathologists. New and improved varieties of crop plants are liable to become attacked by diseases from which the old ones had become immune through age-long selection, and more intensive methods of farming often have a similar result. The great activity in crop improvement that has been characteristic of agricultural development in the United States and Canada since the beginning of the present century, has been accompanied by such an increased call on the services of plant pathologists that each of the staple crops has, not one but many, men engaged in the study of the cause and control of the diseases to which it is liable. As similar efforts are made to improve the staple food crops of the tropics similar needs will be felt. There are clear indications from the work on rice in Japan that even in a crop such as this, which in India is one of the healthiest of all the field crops, there is a number of diseases capable of becoming formidable obstacles to the introduction of improved varieties.

The two tropical cereals next in importance as food crops, sorghum and the bulrush millet, are much more subject to disease than rice, but very little work has been done on them in the tropics and even the full life-history of several of the common bulrush millet parasites is unknown. Still less is known of the diseases of the tropical pulses and other plants of economic importance, except those that are grown for export. Tropical plant pathology has not much to boast of in the study and control of village and field crop diseases; the number of man-years of work put into this branch of agricultural science is too small to have yielded much result and the difficulty of getting the native cultivator to change his ways, as well as his lack of means and general backwardness, has helped to induce a pessimistic outlook amongst those who are charged with the control of agricultural research and amongst the research workers themselves. Nevertheless, not only because there is great preventable loss of crops from disease in the tropics but also because a solid knowledge of the pathology of each crop plant is a necessary concomitant of all attempts at crop improvement, means must be found to surmount these difficulties. Little by little, openings for successful attack on them will appear, and however slow progress may be at first, the next fifty years are bound to see a great improvement in the crops and in the general agriculture of even the most primitive of the African Colonies. In this advance plant pathologists will have to bear an ever increasing share.

The work which has been done in India during

* From a semi-popular lecture delivered before Section K (Botany) of the British Association at York on Sept. 2.

© 1932 Nature Publishing Group

the past twenty-five years or so illustrates some of the problems that the tropical pathologist has to face in dealing with village and field crops. When the Indian agricultural department was formed thirty years ago, extremely little was known of the diseases of tropical plants, though there were a few exceptions, such as sugar cane. The first work done in the mycological branch, therefore, was to make a survey of the diseases of the more important crops, and when many of these were found to be undescribed, a more intensive study of a few was undertaken and lasted a number of years. Two of them, one on palmyra and coconut palms and the other on rice, broke out in epidemic form and had to be dealt with on emergency lines, invoking the aid of the administrative services and leading, in the palm disease campaign, to legislation of the kind that is familiar in more advanced countries, where it becomes an actionable offence to own a diseased plant without reporting or dealing with it as prescribed. In the campaign against this disease, which was exterminating the palmyra in an area where this was the dominant tree and by far the most useful,* nearly a million diseased palms were cut out to save the rest. This and the subsequent legislative action and the discovery that many trees could be saved by removing the bud sheaths in the early stages of attack have been successful in preventing the spread of the disease and in keeping it within manageable proportions. The campaign cost the Government about £20,000; but the value of the palms cured by treatment was estimated at about £28,000 in 1921, and the number saved from infection must run into millions.

In other cases it soon became evident that the variety of the crop grown was exceptionally liable to disease either because of inherent susceptibility or because disease had been allowed to accumulate in the stock and was being transmitted when diseased material was used for planting each successive crop. In such cases the agriculturists of the department, each of whom had a district under his charge, became invaluable collaborators, both in observing the injury done to particular varieties and in introducing new or more healthy ones.

Then there were the cases where one had to make a direct attack on the parasite and try to kill it or to prevent its spores germinating by the use of fungicides. This at once brought one face to face with the economic limitations of village agriculture in countries like India, where the cultivator usually has little actual money at his disposal and can only borrow at exorbitant rates of interest.

Copper and sulphur have remained to the present day the chief weapons in the hands of the plant pathologists in their direct attack on fungal parasites. In India they have been used chiefly on the more valuable orchard and garden crops or as seed disinfectants on field crops.

* An ancient Sanskrit poem professes to enumerate eight hundred and one separate uses for the palmyra palm.

The relative infrequence of destructive epidemics of disease amongst indigenous crops in the tropics as compared with the great plantation crops is not due, I think, as is sometimes assumed, to the circumstance that the latter generally occupy larger continuous areas under the one crop, so that disease germs can multiply and infect more readily. In India there are large areas of village lands mainly under a single crop, as rice in 70 per cent of the cultivated land in parts of Bengal or cotton in 60 per cent of Khandesh. Freedom from disease in these cases is probably mainly due to disease resistance having become, through long experience, the determining factor in the selection of the varieties grown, the quality of which is liable to be a secondary consideration and is often decidedly low. In the plantation industries, on the other hand, quality which will enable the produce to compete in the markets of the world is so important that hardiness may be sacrificed. Examples of destructive epidemics in these crops are numerous and are not, as in the other category, usually due to newly introduced parasites. They are just as often due to an old parasite finding in a new variety a congenial host. They are sometimes also due to the considerable financial return leading to expansion of a plantation system into areas not naturally affording optimum conditions for the growth of the plant, so that its environment may become more favourable to the parasite than the host.

The recent wave of epidemic disease that has ravaged the cotton plantations of the Sudan may perhaps find a partial explanation in these considerations. When the great Gezira irrigation scheme, due in its inception to the genius of Garstin and Kitchener, was opened in 1925, it was already established that the highest quality Egyptian long-stapled cotton could be successfully grown in this previously arid waste. At the present time, approximately 200,000 acres of irrigated Sakel cotton is grown as the major crop in the gigantic plantation of 600,000 acres under uniform control and cropping, surely the largest arable farm in existence. During the first five years after the dam was built across the Blue Nile, cotton worth more than £12,000,000 was produced from the Gezira, and 150,000 people had settled where before there was only a scattered famine-stricken population.

The cotton disease known as blackarm occurs in all the cotton-growing parts of Africa, but it seldom causes much injury to the varieties grown by the people of the tropical regions, and the long-stapled kinds grown in Egypt escape damage apparently because the climate of Egypt does not suit the parasite. In the Egyptian varieties grown in the Sudan it became a formidable pest, being one of the main factors in reducing the yield per acre from 479 lb. in 1925–26 to 129 lb. in 1930–31. This represents a loss of more than 60,000,000 lb. of cotton on the area grown in the latter year, worth even at the low prices then prevailing, more than £800,000. As the disease is carried mainly

© 1932 Nature Publishing Group

on the seed, an elaborate scheme of seed disinfection with the dust known as 'Abavit B' was carried through before the last season's crop was sown, the whole of the seed for this great area, representing more than 30,000 bags, being treated by specially devised seed dusters. Various other steps were also taken to combat the disease, and the yield, when harvest was completed last spring, was found to have risen again to a little more than 400 lb. per acre, or not far short of that of the earlier years.

In this case there is far more at stake than the saving of an industry, however important. The whole future of a province is in the balance. I cannot refrain from quoting a foreign observer who visited the Sudan two or three years ago. "The Sudan is the latest thing in European exploitation and it is the best." There has been "created a corps of agriculturists and entomologists to destroy the pests that attack the native crops; a group of veterinarians to look after and improve the native cattle; and a quite unrivalled body of biologists, bacteriologists, laboratory workers and doctors to fight native diseases. Trekking through the land are the government biologists and entomologists, experimenting, destroying pests, noting processes, giving advice. You rarely find them in the same place for two weeks running; these hardy scientists do even more work in the open than in their laboratories."

The West Indies present a very different picture from the Sudan. There, in some of the oldest of the British Colonies, generations of planters and settlers have been engaged in the tropical and subtropical cultivations of lands favoured by Nature to an unusual degree. Jamaica is the largest banana exporter of the British Colonies, having sent out 24,000,000 bunches in 1930, representing more than 50 per cent of the total value of her exports. In 1912 the first cases of the now notorious Panama disease of bananas in the island were examined by S. F. Ashby. Rigid quarantine measures were promptly introduced by the Director of Agriculture, Mr. H. H. Cousins, impressed by the ruin from this disease which had befallen the banana-growing enterprise in the Dutch Colony of Surinam between 1906 and 1910. As soon as a diseased plant was discovered, it and all the surrounding ones on an area of four chains had to be destroyed and the area fenced in. As a result, spread was slow, the number of cases annually not surpassing 1000 until 1921. Increase since has been at the rate of about 50 per cent a year, until by 1929, there were about 85,000 cases, involving nearly 140,000 plants. In the parish of Portland, the most diseased, some 9000 acres, or approximately one-tenth of the total estimated banana acreage of the island, have now been abandoned, for it has been found that commercial banana growing is impossible on land once infected, and the great United Fruit Company has already abandoned nearly 100,000 acres from this cause in Central America. The expenditure by the Government of some £60,000 in Portland no doubt prolonged the life of the plantations by ten years or more, but in the end has proved unavailing.

As there is no direct method of fighting this disease, which is due to a soil fungus, attempts to procure resistant varieties are being energetically carried out both in Jamaica and at the Imperial College of Tropical Agriculture in Trinidad. Varietal tests have shown that the Cavendish species of banana and some others are either highly resistant or totally immune. Botanists both from Kew and the West Indies have toured the world in search of varieties for trial and hybridisation and these are grown under quarantine and inspection at Kew before being sent on to Trinidad. Very large numbers of crosses have been made in Trinidad and Jamaica and some of the immune seedlings produced in the latter island have given bunches which were acceptable to the trade during the past year. The Trinidad seedling I.C.1 (Imperial College No. 1), a cross between the commercial Gros Michel and a wild species, has also shown remarkable immunity during six years tests, but the fruit still requires improvement.

It is estimated that within the next five to seven years, at the present rate of increase of the disease and the amount of suitable banana land left, the Jamaican export will begin to decline, and the decline is likely to be rapid unless a satisfactory resistant variety can replace the Gros Michel. The breeding work is difficult and slow. It is not easy for the stricken farmers to be patient. The whole population in the coastal parts of the parish of Portland has been brought up to the cultivation of the banana before anything else, and though an alternative crop of marketable value is desperately needed, it will take a long time to break down prejudices in a one-crop population. Many of the people have migrated, but many have remained to make a living as best they can. It is cold comfort to tell them, as there have not been wanting even scientific men to say, that these coastal lands, extraordinarily fertile though they be, are unsuited to the banana because the damp soil favours attack by Panama disease. The local Department of Agriculture has never taken that view but has striven hard to fight the disease, and the extra lease of life that it has given the industry, though insufficient in Portland, may yet save the banana cultivation of the island as a whole.

In the examples selected to illustrate the importance and control of the diseases of tropical plants, there is every gradation in severity from the sorghum smut which levies a moderate toll of about ten per cent of the crop on some millions of acres in India, to the Panama disease which completely exterminates the susceptible bananas and precludes replanting within any reasonable time. The success of the measures which have been adopted to control these diseases also shows every gradation from the complete control which is easy to obtain by disinfection against sorghum smut or by growing Cavendish bananas in Panama-diseased land, to mere alleviation which appears to be all one can hope for, but is yet sufficient,

© 1932 Nature Publishing Group

against rubber mildew or the root diseases of limes. A consideration of these measures—ruthless eradication, the complete replacement of susceptible varieties, hybridisation or selection to obtain resistant plants, budding or grafting on resistant stocks, modifications in agricultural practices like stubble burning or pre-watering, and, finally, the direct attack on the parasite by steeping, spraying or dusting—indicates how varied is the task of the plant pathologist and how wide must be the foundations of his knowledge if he is to perform it successfully. The old conception of the mycologist, student of the fungi that cause disease, as adequately equipped to fulfil the duties of a plant pathologist, dies hard but it is dying. Like bacteriology in the realm of medicine, pure mycology is a necessary foundation and the mycologist a necessary collaborator, but he is not equipped either as a general practitioner or a specialist in particular diseases.

The practical man is often slow to admit that a destructive disease in a plant is due to agencies outside his control. Confronted with such he is inclined to seek for explanations other than the true one. He looks first for some disorder brought about by cultivation or inbreeding, or meteorological phenomena. Or he thinks that the soil is unsuitable or has become exhausted, or that the plant, if an exotic, has failed to become acclimatised. It is often not until all these have been tested and found wanting, that the true cause is fully realised. Experience has shown that it is unfortunately rare to find the explanation of serious disease in these directions and the dominating factor is usually the presence of a parasite, however much its activities may be favoured by secondary causes.

Failure to recognise the very varied weapons used by modern plant pathology and undue weight given to the secondary factors in the causation of disease have led, no doubt, to the suggestion which I have heard that the 'mycologist', as he is still called in most of the British Colonies, may be in danger of losing his position as one of the most essential members of any team of tropical research agriculturists. In actual fact there can be no question that, looked on as a member of a team and relying on the collaboration that must exist between him and the plant breeders and agriculturists, the plant pathologist is more needed now than ever. Improvement in the crops of the people and the quest of quality or the satisfaction of market fancies in the plantation crops can be relied on to be followed by increase in disease. Unless plant pathologists well versed in the pathology of the crop concerned are available—and they cannot be produced at a moment's notice—the examples I have given will be paralleled in every Colony in the British Empire.

The Cambridge Philosophical Society

THE Cambridge Philosophical Society was incorporated in 1832, by Royal Charter, through the grace and favour of William IV, and the centenary of that event has been celebrated recently. (See NATURE of November 19, p. 769.)

The history of this scientific organisation, which has maintained a high standard in past years, and remains unfailingly hopeful of the future, should be of interest, not only to historians of science, but also to laymen. The Cambridge Philosophical Society is of older origin than its royal grant by some twelve or thirteen years. In October 1819, as the outcome of conversations amongst a few interested persons, a notice was issued, signed by thirty-three members of colleges, inviting assistance in promoting a society "as a point of concourse for scientific communications". Success having followed this venture, the designated name was approved, rules were made, and a council elected for the year ensuing. The Chancellor of the University, H.R.H. the Duke of Gloucester, accepted the office of patron; that of president being assigned to the Rev. William Farish, Jacksonian professor of natural and experimental philosophy. In all the foregoing movements, Prof. A. Sedgwick, J. S. Henslow (afterwards Prof.), and Dr. E. D. Clarke (professor of mineralogy, 1808–22), were prominent. At an inaugural gathering, the last-named gave an address on the new project (separately distributed*). Reference was broadly made to the philosophical contributions of members of the University as being hitherto "frittered and squandered away" in detached and distant parts, almost to be without existence.

The first half of the nineteenth century witnessed the establishment of many scientific societies. The apprehensions of Sir Joseph Banks for the welfare, even the continuity of the parent tree, the Royal Society, were seen to have been needless, and indeed, at his death, in 1820 (whilst in office), however chequered in progress certain of the newcomers were, the writing was already on the wall in regard to alarmist notions. Among the new bodies were: the Horticultural (1804), Geological, London (1807), Geological, Penzance (1814), Institution of Civil Engineers (1818), Astronomical (1820), Zoological (1826), Geographical (1830), Entomological (1833), Chemical (1841). Cognate organisations were formed at Manchester, Glasgow, Hull, and other centres. Neither in the perplexing era of the seventeenth century, nor in the industrial nineteenth, were scientific societies born secure in the knowledge of powerful support. In all the instances above, initiation was *ex collegium*. In the case of the Cambridge Society, there certainly was an ample measure of University support and

*The Patent Office Library, London, possesses a copy (bound) on the title page of which is the pencilled signature, "J. Henslow, 1821," and there are textual annotations in the same hand.

© 1932 Nature Publishing Group