Nicotine Improves Sustained Attention in Mice: Evidence for Involvement of the $\alpha 7$ Nicotinic Acetylcholine Receptor

Jared W Young¹, Keith Finlayson^{*1}, Christopher Spratt¹, Hugh M Marston^{1,2}, Nicola Crawford¹, John S Kelly¹ and John Sharkey¹

¹Fujisawa Institute of Neuroscience, Division of Neuroscience, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK

In humans, nicotine has been shown to improve attention in both normal and impaired individuals. Observations in rats reflect some, but not all aspects of the nicotine-induced improvements in humans. To date these findings have not been replicated in mice. To examine the effect of nicotine on sustained attention in mice, we have established a version of the 5-choice serial reaction-time (5-CSR) task with graded levels of difficulty, based upon spatial displacement and a variable intertrial interval. Using this paradigm, microgram doses of nicotine produced a consistent reduction in the level of omissions and an improvement in proportion correct in normal mice. This improvement in sustained attention was made irrespective of whether mice had previously received nicotine. In an attempt to elucidate which nicotinic acetylcholine receptor (nAChR) subtype(s) mediate this effect, we examined the performance of $\alpha 7$ nAChR knockout (KO) mice in the 5-CSR task. $\alpha 7$ nAChR KO mice not only acquired the task more slowly than their wild-type littermates, but on attaining asymptotic performance, they exhibited a higher level of omissions. In conclusion, by increasing the level of task difficulty, the performance of mice was maintained at sufficiently low levels to allow a demonstrable improvement in performance upon nicotine administration. Furthermore, as $\alpha 7$ KO mice are clearly impaired in the acquisition and asymptotic performance of this task, the $\alpha 7$ nAChR may be involved in mediating these effects of nicotine.

Neuropsychopharmacology (2004) 29, 891–900, advance online publication, 18 February 2004; doi:10.1038/sj.npp.1300393

Keywords: nicotine; mice; attention; receptors; nicotinic ($\alpha 7$); knockout

INTRODUCTION

It has been proposed that attentional dysfunction may underlie the psychopathology of schizophrenia (Cullum *et al*, 1993; Cornblatt and Keilp, 1994). In humans, attentional performance is generally assessed using the continuous performance test (CPT), where subjects have to attend to visual stimuli over a sustained period of time (Levin *et al*, 1998; White and Levin, 1999; Shytle *et al*, 2002). Nicotine, the predominant psychoactive compound in tobacco smoke, has been shown to enhance sustained attention in normal humans by reducing omission levels (Levin *et al*, 1998). Moreover, it has been suggested that nicotine can lock the brain into an attentional processing mode whereby there are fewer lapses in attention and

therefore less omissions (Mancuso *et al*, 1999). These observations may underlie the ability of nicotine to enhance attention and improve the symptomatology of various human diseases including schizophrenia (Yang *et al*, 2002), Alzheimer's disease (White and Levin, 1999), attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (Shytle *et al*, 2002), Parkinson's disease (O'Neill *et al*, 2002), and Tourette's syndrome (Sanberg *et al*, 1997).

The identity of the nicotinic acetylcholine receptor (nAChR) subtype(s) mediating the beneficial effects of nicotine on cognition has yet to be elucidated. Currently, nine genes have been described that encode neuronal nAChR subunits in mammals ($\alpha 2$ – $\alpha 7$, $\beta 2$ – $\beta 4$), with $\alpha 9$ and $\alpha 10$ subunits also found in mammalian cochlea. The majority of subunits appear capable of forming heteromeric channels, with the number of combinations identified in tissue continually increasing (Le Novère *et al*, 2002). In rodent brains, the two most predominant nAChRs appear to be the heteromeric $\alpha 4\beta 2$ nAChR, and the homomeric $\alpha 7$ nAChR, accounting for 85 and 10% of neuronal nAChRs respectively (Clarke *et al*, 1985). In rats, the roles that these receptors play in sustained attention is examined using the 5-choice serial reaction-time (5-CSR) task (Mirza and Stolerman, 1998; Grottick and Higgins, 2000; Stolerman *et al*, 2000; Mirza and Bright, 2001; Hahn *et al*, 2002,

*Correspondence: Dr K Finlayson, Fujisawa Institute of Neuroscience, Division of Neuroscience, University of Edinburgh, 1 George Square, Edinburgh, EH8 9JZ UK, Tel: +44 131 650 8491, Fax: +44 131 667 9381, E-mail: Keith.Finlayson@ed.ac.uk

²Present address: Organon Laboratories, Newhouse, Lanarkshire, ML1 5SH UK.

Received 20 October 2003; revised 15 December 2003; accepted 18 December 2003

Online publication: 23 December 2003 at <http://www.acnp.org/citations/Npp12230303480/default.pdf>

2003a,b; Terry *et al*, 2002; Grottick *et al*, 2003). While nicotine-induced improvements in sustained attention have been reported in the rat literature, these studies have generally required the additional complexities afforded by brain lesions, poorly performing subjects, or task challenges (Muir *et al*, 1995; Grottick and Higgins, 2000; Hahn *et al*, 2002). Indeed, no consistent improvements have been reported in unimpaired rats (Mirza and Bright, 2001; Terry *et al*, 2002). Clear identification of the receptor subtypes underlying the beneficial effects of nicotine is made more onerous (Shoaib *et al*, 2002) by the current lack of truly selective compounds and the difficulties associated with producing nAChR subtype selective drugs (Gotti *et al*, 2000; Broad *et al*, 2002). It has therefore been suggested that a combined approach of pharmacological interventions and transgenic animals may help delineate the nAChR subtypes involved (Gotti *et al*, 2000; Chapman, 2002).

Since its first description by Carli *et al* (1983), the 5-CSR task has been extensively used in rat studies. In contrast, the task has received only limited, and comparatively recent attention in mice (Humby *et al*, 1999; Marston *et al*, 2001). Hitherto, no reports addressing the effects of nicotine on the performance of mice in the 5-CSR task have been published. In the present study, we describe the development and use of a version of the 5-CSR task to examine the hypothesis that nicotine can improve attentional function in mice by primarily reducing omission levels and secondarily by increasing proportion correct. In addition, the role that the $\alpha 7$ nAChR plays in attention was examined by using $\alpha 7$ knockout (KO; B6.12957-Chrna7^{tm1bay}, Orr-Urtreger *et al*, 1997) mice in the task, who should conversely exhibit a deficit as measured by increased omission levels and a lower proportion correct score. Some of the current findings have previously been presented in abstract form (Young *et al*, 2003).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animal Maintenance and Genotyping

Two groups of C57 Bl/6J male mice (study 1, $n = 16$; study 2, $n = 25$, Charles River, Margate, UK), weighing between 22 and 26 g at the start of the studies, were used in the behavioral paradigms described. Eight $\alpha 7$ nAChR KO (B6.12957-Chrna7^{tm1bay}; The Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, USA) and eight age-matched wild-type littermates (WT; N8F1 and F2), weighing between 22 and 27 g at the inception of the study, were used in study 3. For confirmation of genotype, transgenic animals were tail tipped under halothane/nitrous oxide anesthesia, and DNA obtained by proteinase K treatment of tail samples (Promega, Southampton, UK; Sambrook and Russell, 2001). The PCR protocol used was as described on the Jackson Laboratory website (www.jax.org). All animals were group housed (where possible) in a temperature controlled room ($21 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$), with a 12 h light/dark cycle (lights on at 0730) and were tested during the light phase of the cycle. Mice were maintained at 85% of their free-feeding weight and were permitted free access to water during training and testing. The animals were given *ad libitum* access to food approximately every 5 weeks in order to re-establish a free-feeding weight. Studies were performed under license by UK

authorities (Scientific Animal Procedures Act, 1986, <http://www.homeoffice.gov.uk>), and in accordance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals as adapted and promulgated by the National Institute of Health.

Tissue Procurement and P₂ Synaptosomal Membrane Preparation

For radioligand binding studies, P₂ synaptosomal membranes were prepared as described previously (Maemoto *et al*, 1997). Mice were killed by cervical dislocation, the brains removed, and immediately placed in ice-cold saline (0.9% NaCl). The whole brain minus cerebellum (due to the low density of $\alpha 7$ nAChRs) was used for preparation of synaptosomal membranes. Brain tissue from each animal was treated independently in the $\alpha 7$ nAChR KO study. Tissue samples were homogenized in 15 volumes (15 vol) of ice-cold (4°C) 0.32 M sucrose using a glass/Teflon homogenizer, centrifuged at 1000 g for 10 min (4°C), and the resulting supernatant centrifuged at 17 000 g (20 min, 4°C). The synaptosomal/mitochondrial P₂ pellet was lysed in 30 vol of ice-cold (4°C) milliQ H₂O for 60 min and centrifuged at 50 000 g (10 min, 4°C). The membrane pellet was then resuspended in 30 vol of ice-cold (4°C) 50 mM potassium phosphate assay buffer (50 mM potassium phosphate, 1 mM EDTA, and 0.01% sodium azide, pH 7.4), centrifuged at 50 000 g for 10 min (4°C) and resuspended in 5 vol (original tissue weight) of assay buffer and stored at -20°C . On the day of use, frozen membranes were thawed, diluted to 30 vol with ice-cold (4°C) assay buffer and the suspension centrifuged at 50 000 g for 10 min at 4°C . The pellet was then resuspended in the appropriate volume of assay buffer and the protein content determined as described previously (Finlayson *et al*, 2001).

Behavioral Apparatus

Training and testing took place in 'nine-hole' operant chambers (25 × 25 × 25 cm, Cambridge Cognition, Cambridge, UK). The response holes were used in two configurations; 'narrow' with holes 3–7 open and 1, 2, 8, and 9 occluded, or 'wide' with holes 1, 3, 5, 7, and 9 open and 2, 4, 6, and 8 closed. The mice were required to respond to a visual stimulus recessed into the holes, with a nose poke. A response was detected by an infrared beam crossing the entrance of each hole. Liquid reinforcement in the form of strawberry milkshake (Yazoo[®]; UK; 20 μl) was delivered by a peristaltic pump to a spigot located within the magazine at the chamber front, on the wall opposite to the nine-hole array. Entry into the magazine was monitored by an infrared beam. The house light was set into the roof of the operant chamber, which was housed within a sound-attenuating box containing a fan, which provided ventilation and a constant low background noise. An infrared camera was installed within each box allowing performance to be monitored during testing. Each operant chamber was interfaced to an Acorn computer (RISC OS). The software required was programmed in house using the Arachnid extension to BBC Basic (Paul Fray Ltd, Cambridge, UK).

Behavioral Handling and Procedures

For the 3 days prior to training, all mice were handled for approximately 10 min per day. On the day before initiation of training mice were introduced to the liquid reinforcer. On training days 1 and 2, mice were placed in the nine-hole boxes for 10 min, during which liquid reinforcement was dispensed every 15 s into the well of the magazine, while the magazine was lit. Entry into the magazine caused the light to be extinguished until the next reinforcement was delivered. At the end of this and subsequent sessions, the wells beneath the spigots were inspected to ensure no liquid was present. On day 3, in order to obtain reinforcement, mice were required to nose poke in any of the 5 lit holes at the rear of the chamber. This process was repeated every day until all mice were able to make at least 60 responses to the light cue within a 25 min session.

5-CSR Task

At the beginning of each session the house light was extinguished and the magazine was lit. A nose poke in the magazine initiated the trial sequence. An intertrial interval (ITI) of 2 s preceded one of the five response holes being illuminated. To record a correct response the mouse had to respond within a stimulus duration (SD) period of 10 s, or during the following 2 s limited hold (LH) when the light was extinguished. The magazine light was then illuminated, a reinforcement dispensed, with entry in to the magazine initiating a 4 s reward interval (RI). Failure to respond during the SD + LH resulted in an 'omission' error being recorded and a 4 s time out (TO) initiated. During a TO the house light was on and all holes were unresponsive, then as the TO phase ended the house light was extinguished, and the magazine illuminated. The mouse could then begin a new trial by responding in the magazine. If, during the choice phase, the mouse responded in a hole other than the one that was lit, the response was registered as an incorrect response and a TO phase began. If the mouse responded during the ITI, an anticipatory error was recorded and a TO phase initiated. Each session lasted 25 min, or 120 trials if completed sooner. The SD was initially set at 10 s and was only reduced to 8 s following attainment of a mean correct latency half that of the SD and a minimum of 10 correct responses per session, maintained for over two consecutive sessions. The SD was further reduced to 4, 2, and then 1 s based upon these response latency criteria. Successful acquisition of the task was defined as attainment of a 1 s SD, a proportion correct score (correct/correct + incorrect + anticipatory errors) of >0.8, and with % omissions (omissions/correct + incorrect + omissions) of <40%. In study 3, once every mouse had attained acquisition criteria, the mice were trained continuously until asymptotic performance had been established. In studies 1 and 2, once every mouse had attained acquisition criteria, a variable (2–10 s) ITI was introduced, and mice were trained continuously until asymptotic performance had been established. The mice were allocated to a drug group in a counter-balanced design. Each mouse received saline for the three training sessions prior to testing, and then given their allocated nicotine dose everyday in the four subsequent test sessions. The effects of subcutaneous

(–)nicotine hydrogen tartrate (Sigma, Poole, UK) on performance (study 1; 3, 30, and 300 µg/kg; study 2; 1, 10, and 100 µg/kg) were assessed 10 min after drug injection, with nicotine prepared freshly everyday.

[³H]Methyllycaconitine ([³H]MLA) Binding to the $\alpha 7$ nAChR

[³H]MLA (19.8 Ci/mmol; Tocris, Bristol, UK) binding to the $\alpha 7$ nAChR was carried out as previously described (Davis *et al*, 2000). Binding assays were conducted in a total volume of 250 µl; consisting of 50 or 100 µl (no drug present) of potassium phosphate assay buffer, 50 µl of test drug, 50 µl of [³H]MLA (final concentration approximately 2 nM), and 100 µl of membrane suspension. Test compounds (methyllycaconitine and (±) epibatidine, Tocris, Bristol, UK; (–)nicotine hydrogen tartrate and d-tubocurarine chloride, Sigma, Poole, UK) were prepared by serial dilution in assay buffer. Nonspecific binding was determined in the presence of 1 mM d-tubocurarine. Binding was initiated by the addition of membranes, and samples were incubated for 60 min at 25°C. Binding was terminated by filtration onto glass fiber filters (GF/B, Whatman; presoaked for 3 h in 0.3% polyethylenimine) using a Brandel cell harvester, followed by three rapid (1 ml) washes with ice-cold phosphate-buffered saline (20 mM Na₂HPO₄, 5 mM KH₂PO₄, 150 mM NaCl, pH 7.4). Filter disks were transferred to RT30 tubes (Sterling, UK) and radioactivity determined using a Packard 2500TR liquid scintillation counter.

Data Analysis

The main dependent behavioral variables selected for analysis were: (a) % omissions, (b) proportion correct, and (c) mean correct latency (cumulative correct latency/correct). As the proportion correct in studies 1 and 3 was not normally distributed, the data were arcsine transformed. However, in Figures 1b and 3d raw data are presented. Each variable in studies 1 and 2 were compared to the mean scores obtained with saline using a three-way ANOVA (dose, day, and ITI time), with Tukey *post hoc* analysis. Acquisition performance in study 3 was analyzed by assessing the increase in proportion correct across sessions per subject, and the data fitted using a four-parameter logistic. The number of sessions required to attain 0.50 proportion correct (A_{50}) was calculated for each subject, and compared between the groups using a *t*-test. Asymptotic performance in study 3 was compared using a two-way repeated measures ANOVA (genetic make-up and day). All statistics were performed using Sigma Stat (v. 2.03, SPSS, USA). For the [³H]MLA binding studies, data were analyzed using Sigma Plot 8.0 (Jandel, USA) and ligand affinities (K_D/K_i) were calculated as described previously (Finlayson *et al*, 2001).

RESULTS

Study 1—The Effect of Nicotine (3, 30, and 300 µg/kg) on Mouse 5-CSR Task Performance

Initially, a pilot study was conducted in which mice ($n = 16$) were trained to perform the 5-CSR task using a less

Figure 1 Effects of nicotine on the performance of mice in the modified 5-CSR task. Each mouse in this study had undergone extensive training and had received nicotine (s.c.) injections 3 weeks prior to testing. The mice were separated into four groups in a counter-balanced design and given saline for three prior training sessions (Thursday, Friday, and Monday), followed by their allocated dose for 4 consecutive days (Tuesday–Friday). The 3 µg/kg dose of (–)nicotine significantly reduced % omissions (a) and increased proportion correct (b) without altering mean correct latency (c). In contrast, 300 µg/kg of (–)nicotine reduced mean correct latency (c) and increased proportion correct (b), without affecting % omissions (a). The 30 µg/kg dose of (–)nicotine had no effect on any measure. Doses of nicotine that produced significant effects compared to saline on these measures are marked (* $p < 0.05$), with data shown as mean $\pm$ SEM.

demanding narrow array (holes 3–7), with animals subsequently administered saline or three different doses of nicotine (3, 30, and 300 µg/kg). The mice were tested according to a Latin-square design over a 10-week period. Each 4-day dosing period was separated by a 10-day washout period, during which a baseline response to saline was re-established. No overall statistical difference was seen with any dose of nicotine examined (data not shown). However, as the mice had performed at near optimum levels (proportion correct approximately equal to 0.96), any clear enhancement in performance would be difficult to detect. The task was subsequently modified to increase the level of difficulty by using a wide array (holes 1, 3, 5, 7, and 9) and by varying the ITI (2–10 s instead of a constant 2 s). Such modifications have previously been used to increase task difficulty in human sustained attention tasks (Bates *et al*, 1995). These modifications differ from the acute challenges utilized for rats as they are employed in both training and testing days (Hahn *et al*, 2002). Following a 3-week washout period mice were again administered saline or nicotine (3, 30, and 300 µg/kg). As can be seen in Figure 1a, the 3 µg/kg dose of nicotine produced a significant reduction in % omissions and in Figure 1b, an increase in proportion correct when compared to the control group. A three-way ANOVA with nicotine dose, ITI time, and day as between-subject factors, yielded significant main effects of: nicotine dose on % omissions ($F(3,36) = 17.4$, $p < 0.001$), proportion correct ($F(3,36) = 8.83$, $p < 0.001$), and mean correct latency ($F(3,36) = 5.27$, $p = 0.004$); ITI time on % omissions ($F(3,36) = 28.2$, $p < 0.001$), and on mean correct latency ($F(3,36) = 57.8$, $p < 0.001$); day on proportion correct ($F(3,36) = 5.15$, $p = 0.005$). Tukey *post hoc* analysis on nicotine dose revealed a significant effect of 3 µg/kg nicotine on % omissions (Figure 1a) ($F(3,36) = 17.4$, $p < 0.001$), and on proportion correct (Figure 1b) ($F(3,36) = 8.83$, $p < 0.05$). Nicotine at 300 µg/kg also increased proportion correct (Figure 1b) ($F(3,36) = 8.83$, $p = 0.001$), and decreased mean correct latency (Figure 1c) ($F(3,36) = 5.27$, $p < 0.005$), but had no significant main effect on % omissions (Figure 1a). However, no effect was observed at the intermediate nicotine dose of 30 µg/kg on any of the parameters examined.

Study 2—The Effect of Nicotine (1, 10, and 100 µg/kg) on 5-CSR Task Performance in Drug-Naïve Mice

As study 1 was performed in mice that had previously been exposed to nicotine on a repeated basis, the significant improvements observed in performance could have been confounded by factors such as receptor upregulation. Indeed, our studies suggest that a 1-week washout period with saline following nicotine administration is not always sufficient to ensure a return to baseline performance (data not shown). We therefore examined the cognitive effects of nicotine using the modified 5-CSR task and drug-naïve mice. As the 3 µg/kg dose of nicotine had produced the most robust improvement in performance we examined the effect of nicotine at 1, 10, and 100 µg/kg. All three doses of nicotine produced a reduction in the levels of % omissions when compared to the control group (Figure 2a). A three-way ANOVA with nicotine dose, ITI time, and day as between-subject factors, yielded significant main effects of: nicotine dose on % omissions ($F(3,36) = 212$, $p < 0.001$), proportion correct ($F(3,36) = 3.285$, $p = 0.032$), and mean correct latency ($F(3,36) = 13.3$, $p < 0.001$); ITI time on % omissions ($F(3,36) = 40.4$, $p < 0.001$), proportion correct ($F(3,36) = 3.512$, $p = 0.016$), and on mean correct latency ($F(3,36) = 9.83$, $p < 0.001$); day on % omissions ($F(3,36) = 6.58$, $p = 0.001$). Tukey *post hoc* analysis on nicotine dose revealed significant effects of 1, 10, and 100 µg/kg nicotine on % omissions ($F(3,36) = 22.6$, $p < 0.001$ for each dose Figure 2a). Moreover the 1 µg/kg dose of nicotine significantly increased proportion correct (Figure 2b) and the 10 µg/kg dose significantly altered mean correct latency (Figure 2c). There were significant main effects of the 1 µg/kg dose of nicotine on proportion correct ($F(3,36) = 3.29$, $p = 0.05$), and the 10 µg/kg dose significantly increased mean correct latency ($F(3,36) = 13.3$, $p < 0.05$).

Study 3—The Effect of $\alpha 7$ nAChR KO on Mouse Performance in the 5-CSR Task

The debate over which nicotinic receptor subtypes are responsible for the cognitive effects of nicotine is far from

Figure 2 Effects of nicotine on the performance of drug-naïve mice in the modified 5-CSR task. Mice were trained specifically for this study and as such were nicotine naïve. The mice were separated into four groups in a counter-balanced design and given saline for three prior training sessions (Thursday, Friday, and Monday), followed by their allocated dose for 4 consecutive days (Tuesday–Friday). Every dose of (–)nicotine examined significantly reduced % omissions (a), with 1 µg/kg increasing proportion correct (b). The 10 µg/kg dose of (–)nicotine produced a significant increase in mean correct latency (c). Doses of nicotine that produced significant effects compared to saline on these measures are marked (* $p < 0.05$), with data shown as mean $\pm$ SEM.

Figure 3 Effects of $\alpha 7$ nAChR KO on mouse performance of the 5-CSR task. The $\alpha 7$ nAChR KO mice had impaired acquisition as shown in (a), with data shown as proportion correct across session days for one representative subject from each group, and (b) the mean time taken by each group to reach halfway to asymptote (A_{50}). $\alpha 7$ nAChR KO mice were also impaired in asymptotic performance of the task, as measured by % omissions (c). Proportion correct (d), and mean correct latency (e) are also shown but were unaffected by removal of this receptor in the standard task. *Significant difference to that of the controls ($p < 0.05$), with data shown as mean $\pm$ SEM.

resolved. As there are no commercially available $\alpha 7$ nAChR selective agonists or totally suitable antagonists we examined whether ablation of the $\alpha 7$ nAChR would have any detrimental effects on the performance of mice in the 5-CSR task. As Figure 3a shows, $\alpha 7$ KO mice took significantly longer to acquire the task than their age-matched WT littermates, with the time taken to reach 50% of asymptotic performance (A_{50}) being approximately 5 days longer (Figure 3b) ($F(1,12) = 4.763$, $p = 0.05$). After attaining acquisition criteria and asymptotic performance, the $\alpha 7$ KO mice exhibited significantly higher levels of % omissions (Figure 3c). A two-factor

ANOVA for repeated measures with genotype and day as between-subject factors yielded significant main effects of genotype on % omissions ($F(1,36) = 7.67$, $p < 0.05$) and day on proportion correct ($F(1,36) = 4.501$, $p = 0.009$). There were no significant effects of genotype on proportion correct (Figure 3d) or mean correct latency (Figure 3e).

$\alpha 7$ nAChR Genotyping and [^3H]MLA Binding

To validate the behavioral deficits observed for the $\alpha 7$ KO mice, genotyping was performed, and a radioligand binding

assay established using the putatively $\alpha 7$ nAChR selective antagonist [3 H]MLA. Genotyping was conducted with $\alpha 7$ nAChR-specific primers that identified WT and disrupted alleles. These studies confirmed that the mice used were of the appropriate genotype (data not shown). Verification of the absence of $\alpha 7$ nAChRs at the protein level was obtained by establishing a [3 H]MLA binding assay. Using P_2 synaptosomal membranes prepared from normal mice and a range of cholinergic drugs, there was a concentration-dependent inhibition of [3 H]MLA binding, with the following rank order of potency; MLA > epibatidine > d-tubocurarine = nicotine (Figure 4a). The affinity (K_D) of MLA was 1.31 ± 0.35 nM ($nH = 0.81 \pm 0.02$; $n = 4$), with K_i values of 167 ± 106 nM ($nH = 1.46 \pm 0.14$; $n = 3$) for epibatidine, 1.80 ± 0.07 μ M ($nH = 1.48 \pm 0.44$; $n = 3$) for d-tubocurarine, and 1.41 ± 0.82 μ M ($nH = 1.06 \pm 0.09$; $n = 3$) for nicotine. For $\alpha 7$ KO mice and their WT littermates, each brain was treated individually. As Figure 4b clearly shows, in WT littermates' specific [3 H]MLA binding was approximately 60% of total binding, whereas no specific binding was observed for the $\alpha 7$ KO mice.

Figure 4 [3 H]MLA binding in P_2 synaptosomal brain membranes from WT and $\alpha 7$ KO mice. (a) Concentration-dependent inhibition of [3 H]MLA (2 nM) binding to P_2 synaptosomal brain membranes from WT animals by a range of cholinergic drugs. (b) The level of specific [3 H]MLA binding in P_2 membranes prepared from individual WT control and transgenic mice ($n = 4$ per group) is shown. Clear specific [3 H]MLA binding was observed for WT animals, which is absent in $\alpha 7$ KO mice.

DISCUSSION

This is the first study in normal mice to demonstrate nicotine-induced improvements in sustained attention. These results support the hypothesis which suggests that the nicotine-induced improvement in attention in normal humans is characterized by a reduction in omission levels, and by an increase in proportion correct. Moreover, our demonstration that $\alpha 7$ nAChR KO mice exhibit higher omission levels in a less demanding version of the task implicates the $\alpha 7$ nAChR in attentional function.

In humans, the CPT has been routinely used to evaluate attentional performance (White and Levin, 1999; Shytle *et al*, 2002). In normal human subjects, nicotine administration has consistently been shown to enhance attention by reducing omissions (Levin *et al*, 1998). This is consistent with the hypothesis of Mancuso *et al* (1999), whereby the nicotine-induced improvement in attention is a consequence of nicotine acting to 'lock the brain into the attentional processing mode and so there are fewer lapses in attention', hence fewer errors of omission might be expected. However, an improvement in accuracy (proportion correct) following nicotine administration has also been observed in subjects displaying impaired attentional function, associated with schizophrenia, Alzheimer's disease, and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (White and Levin, 1999; Shytle *et al*, 2002; Yang *et al*, 2002). The 5-CSR task was developed to examine sustained attention in rodents (Carli *et al*, 1983) and is regarded as being analogous to the CPT (Jones and Higgins, 1995). This task has been used extensively with rats, with nicotine generally showing an improvement in attention, but only when lesions or specific task challenges have been introduced to impair performance (Mirza and Stolerman, 1998; Grottick and Higgins, 2000; Stolerman *et al*, 2000; Mirza and Bright, 2001; Hahn *et al*, 2002, 2003a, b; Grottick *et al*, 2003). A consistent demonstration of a nicotine-induced facilitation of attention in unimpaired rats, unlike observations in humans (Levin *et al*, 1998), has proven challenging (Mirza and Bright, 2001; Terry *et al*, 2002). While widely used with rats, the 5-CSR task was only comparatively recently modified for use in mice (Humby *et al*, 1999), with no reports to date, on the effects of nicotine. Our initial studies indicated that the lack of effect of nicotine in mice may have been due to a 'ceiling effect' (data not shown), a feature commonly observed for rats (Grottick and Higgins, 2000; Hahn *et al*, 2002). Modification of the task by introducing the wide array and a variable ITI (this latter alteration minimizes the possibility of mice using any temporal mediating strategies), resulted in an increase in % omissions and a reduction in proportion correct. These data clearly show that the performance of mice is not impaired when tested in the larger rat nine-hole operant chambers and so a reduction in box size, as used by Humby *et al* (1999), is not a prerequisite. Four groups of mice, previously exposed to different doses of nicotine, were given a 3-week washout period with saline to ensure a return to prestudy baseline performance. These mice were then tested in the modified task and given different doses of nicotine (Figure 1), based upon previous rodent and human studies (Muir *et al*, 1995; Grobe *et al*, 1998; Stolerman *et al*, 2000; Mirza and Bright, 2001). The 3 μ g/kg dose of nicotine

produced a clear improvement in performance, with a significant reduction in percent omissions and a concomitant increase in proportion correct. As nicotine administration is known to alter nAChR density (Gentry and Lukas, 2002), confirmation that the observed improvements in sustained attention were not merely a consequence of increased receptor number, required nicotine to be examined in drug-naïve mice. Therefore, a new study was conducted using a modified dose range that took cognizance of the improvements seen at 3 µg/kg of nicotine. This study revealed a significant enhancement in the performance of drug-naïve mice that were administered a 1 µg/kg dose of nicotine. The doses of nicotine that improved attention in mice in the present studies are consistent with those reported to improve attention in normal humans (Levin *et al*, 1998; Heishman and Henningfield, 2000; Min *et al*, 2001). In contrast, studies in rats have generally required higher doses of nicotine and the inclusion of lesions or task challenges (Muir *et al*, 1995; Mirza and Stolerman, 1998; Stolerman *et al*, 2000; Hahn *et al*, 2002, 2003a, b; Grottick *et al*, 2003). In addition, whereas nicotine reduced omission levels in ostensibly normal mice in the current study, and previously in normal human subjects (Levin *et al*, 1998), in rats, the most consistent manifestation of an improvement in attention was an increase in accuracy (Mirza and Stolerman, 1998; Hahn *et al*, 2002). The reasons underlying these different effects of nicotine on omissions in rodents have yet to be clearly defined. One possible explanation is that in rats, the lack of effect of nicotine on omissions may be due to a floor effect as baseline omissions are already <10%, whereas in mice they are approximately 20% (Inglis *et al*, 2001; Spratt *et al*, 2001). This difference in omission levels cannot be attributed simply to the fact that mice were tested in apparatus commonly used for rats, as when the apparatus was scaled down, omission levels were still about 20% (Humby *et al*, 1999). Therefore the reduction observed in omissions in mice is consistent with the nicotine-induced improvement in attention hypothesis proposed by Mancuso *et al* (1999).

While it appears that the 1 and 3 µg/kg doses of nicotine enhance sustained attention, at higher doses the physiological effects become increasingly complex (Picciotto, 2003; see Figure 5 for schematic representation). Between 10 and 100 µg/kg the overall trend continues to be that of a reduction in omissions, but with no effect on proportion correct. In contrast, at 300 µg/kg, nicotine increased

proportion correct without altering % omissions. As this dose also reduced mean correct latency, this suggests the increase in accuracy may reflect psychomotor stimulation (Grottick and Higgins, 2000), with these mice consequently not attending to the cue array any more than control subjects. This would be consistent with nicotine being reported to produce motoric excitation at 125 and 250 µg/kg in mice (Nordberg and Bergh, 1985). Further increases in nicotine dose have been shown to lead to motoric inhibition and hypothermia (Marks *et al*, 1983; Figure 5).

Despite a plethora of evidence demonstrating nicotine-induced improvements in attention in different species, there has been no definitive identification of which nAChR subtype(s) are responsible. However, several studies indicate that the $\alpha 7$ nAChR appears crucial in maintaining one aspect of attentional function, namely sensory gating (Stevens *et al*, 1996, 1998; Simosky *et al*, 2001). Schizophrenics have poor sensory gating with a reduction in the P50 auditory evoked potential (Waldo *et al*, 1995). This deficiency has been linked to chromosome 15, in a region proximal to the $\alpha 7$ locus (Freedman *et al*, 1997). Moreover, the DBA/2 mouse strain, which has a natural reduction in $\alpha 7$ nAChR density, show sensory gating deficits (Stevens *et al*, 1996), that can be ameliorated by treatment with the $\alpha 7$ partial agonist DMXBA (GTS-21; Stevens *et al*, 1998; Simosky *et al*, 2001) and atypical antipsychotics (Simosky *et al*, 2003). DMXBA also attenuated the sensory gating deficits observed in rats that were reared in isolation (O'Neill *et al*, 2003), an animal neuro-developmental model of schizophrenia (Geyer *et al*, 1993). Furthermore, both DMXBA (Kem, 2000), and the full $\alpha 7$ nAChR agonist AR-R17779 (Mullen *et al*, 2000), are able to replicate the beneficial effects observed with nicotine on working memory (Felix and Levin, 1997; Levin and Simon, 1998). In contrast, and perhaps somewhat surprisingly, recent studies in rats showed that AR-R17779 had no effect on attention in the 5-CSR task (Grottick and Higgins, 2000; Grottick *et al*, 2003; Hahn *et al*, 2003a). To date, independent evaluation of these agents is not possible as these compounds are not commercially available. Similarly, investigation of the $\alpha 7$ nAChR subtype using the selective antagonists α -bungarotoxin and methyllycaconitine has proven difficult as the former is a large peptide which does not cross the blood-brain barrier, while there is now some debate over the selectivity of the latter (Mogg *et al*, 2002). Therefore, we chose to investigate the role of the $\alpha 7$ nAChR

Figure 5 Schematic representation of nicotine-induced behavioral effects in mice. Nicotine exerts both cognitive and physiological effects that are largely dose dependent. The present study suggests that low doses of nicotine (1–3 µg/kg) produce improvements in attention. Higher doses appear to reduce mean correct latency, suggesting an enhancement of motoric capabilities, consistent with previous studies (Nordberg and Bergh, 1985). Doses of nicotine of 500 µg/kg and above inhibit motoric responses, with hypothermic effects observed. A further increase to 5 mg/kg has been shown to induce seizures in mice (Damaj *et al*, 1999).

in attention in mice by studying $\alpha 7$ KO animals (Orr-Urtreger *et al*, 1997) in the 5-CSR task. Even in the simple version of the 5-CSR task, the $\alpha 7$ KO mice had a clear deficit in attention, with omission levels significantly increased when compared to WT littermates. The absence of the $\alpha 7$ nAChR in the KO mice was verified by genotyping and radioligand binding studies using [3 H]MLA. For WT mice, the K_D/K_i values of the drugs examined in the [3 H]MLA binding assay were consistent with previous studies (Whiteaker *et al*, 1999). It is possible that the deficiencies observed are attributable to developmental problems that are a consequence of $\alpha 7$ nAChR KO, although these animals do have an ostensibly normal appearance; with standard growth, survival, gait, anatomy, and no nervous system abnormalities (Orr-Urtreger *et al*, 1997). In addition, Paylor *et al* (1998) reported no differences in the behavioral phenotype of $\alpha 7$ nAChR KO mice and their WT littermates when subjected to a battery of behavioral tests, including contextual and auditory fear conditioning, spatial learning in the Morris water maze, and anxiety tests. However, unexpectedly it was noted that the mice did not exhibit sensory gating deficits in the PPI paradigm (Paylor *et al*, 1998), although this result could have been confounded by the use female mice. It is conceivable that compensatory mechanisms, such as alterations in nAChR density, distribution, and/or receptor subtype, may result in gene KO studies producing fundamentally different results from that observed in traditional pharmacological studies. However, Orr-Urtreger *et al* (1997) did not detect differences in high affinity nicotinic binding sites in these $\alpha 7$ nAChR KO mice. The development of the modified 5-CSR task should allow confirmation of the $\alpha 7$ nAChR KO data, if and when, $\alpha 7$ selective agonists become available and we can then address whether species differences exist between rats and mice. In addition, future studies will address whether nicotine can improve the performance of $\alpha 7$ nAChR KO mice in this modified 5-CSR task and/or whether a similar effect is observed in $\alpha 7$ nAChR heterozygous mice.

In conclusion, modifying the 5-CSR task for mice impaired baseline performance and enabled the demonstration of an enhancement in sustained attention following nicotine administration. Moreover, we have also shown that $\alpha 7$ nAChR KO mice have a profound deficit in attention that was observed without increasing task difficulty. Therefore, it is conceivable that the $\alpha 7$ nAChR plays a role in nicotine-induced improvements in sustained attention.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Dr LE Kerr, Mrs N Logan, and Mrs J McLuckie for their assistance and helpful comments during these studies and in the preparation of this manuscript. This work was funded by a research grant from the Fujisawa Pharmaceutical Company Limited (Osaka, Japan).

REFERENCES

- Bates T, Mangan G, Stough C, Corballis P (1995). Smoking, processing speed and attention in a choice reaction time task. *Psychopharmacology* **120**: 209–212.
- Broad LM, Felthouse C, Zwart R, McPhie GI, Pearson KH, Craig PJ *et al* (2002). PSAB-OPF, a selective alpha 7 nicotinic receptor agonist, is also a potent agonist of the 5-HT₃ receptor. *Eur J Pharmacol* **452**: 137–144.
- Carli M, Robbins TW, Evenden JL, Everitt BJ (1983). Effects of lesions to ascending noradrenergic neurones on performance of a 5-choice serial reaction task in rats; implications for theories of dorsal noradrenergic bundle function based on selective attention and arousal. *Behav Brain Res* **9**: 361–380.
- Chapman PF (2002). Giving drugs to knockout mice: can they do that? *Trends Neurosci* **25**: 277–279.
- Clarke PB, Schwartz RD, Paul SM, Pert CB, Pert A (1985). Nicotinic binding in rat brain: autoradiographic comparison of [3 H]acetylcholine, [3 H]nicotine, and [125 I]-alpha-bungarotoxin. *J Neurosci* **5**: 1307–1315.
- Cornblatt BA, Keilp JG (1994). Impaired attention, genetics, and the pathophysiology of schizophrenia. *Schizophr Bull* **20**: 31–46.
- Cullum CM, Harris JG, Waldo MC, Smernoff E, Madison A, Nagamoto HT *et al* (1993). Neurophysiological and neuropsychological evidence for attentional dysfunction in schizophrenia. *Schizophr Res* **10**: 131–141.
- Damaj MI, Glassco W, Dukat M, Martin BR (1999). Pharmacological characterization of nicotine-induced seizures in mice. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **291**: 1284–1291.
- Davis DHJ, Lukas RJ, Peng JH, Kelly JS, Finlayson K (2000). Characterisation of [3 H]-methyllaconitine binding sites in rat brain tissue and cells stably expressing the human alpha-7 nicotinic receptor. *Eur J Neurosci* **12**: 374.
- Felix R, Levin ED (1997). Nicotinic antagonist administration into the ventral hippocampus and spatial working memory in rats. *Neuroscience* **81**: 1009–1017.
- Finlayson K, McLuckie J, Hern J, Aramori I, Olverman HJ, Kelly JS (2001). Characterisation of [125 I]-apamin binding sites in rat brain membranes and HE293 cells transfected with SK channel subtypes. *Neuropharmacology* **41**: 341–350.
- Freedman R, Coon H, Myles-Worsley M, Orr-Urtreger A, Olincy A, Davis A *et al* (1997). Linkage of a neuropsychological deficit in schizophrenia to a chromosome 15 locus. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **94**: 587–592.
- Gentry CL, Lukas RJ (2002). Regulation of nicotinic acetylcholine receptor numbers and function by chronic nicotine exposure. *Curr Drug Target CNS Neurol Disord* **1**: 359–385.
- Geyer MA, Wilkinson LS, Humby T, Robbins TW (1993). Isolation rearing of rats produces a deficit in prepulse inhibition of acoustic startle similar to that in schizophrenia. *Biol Psychiatry* **34**: 361–372.
- Gotti C, Carbonnelle E, Moretti M, Zwart R, Clementi F (2000). Drugs selective for nicotinic receptor subtypes: a real possibility or a dream? *Behav Brain Res* **113**: 183–192.
- Grobe JE, Perkins KA, Goettler-Good J, Wilson A (1998). Importance of environmental distractors in the effects of nicotine on short-term memory. *Exp Clin Psychopharmacol* **6**: 209–216.
- Grottick AJ, Haman M, Wyler R, Higgins GA (2003). Reversal of a vigilance decrement in the aged rat by subtype-selective nicotinic ligands. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **28**: 880–887.
- Grottick AJ, Higgins GA (2000). Effect of subtype selective nicotinic compounds on attention as assessed by the five-choice serial reaction time task. *Behav Brain Res* **117**: 197–208.
- Hahn B, Sharples CG, Wonnacott S, Shoaib M, Stolerman IP (2003a). Attentional effects of nicotinic agonists in rats. *Neuropharmacology* **44**: 1054–1067.
- Hahn B, Shoaib M, Stolerman IP (2002). Nicotine-induced enhancement of attention in the five-choice serial reaction time task: the influence of task demands. *Psychopharmacology* **162**: 129–137.
- Hahn B, Shoaib M, Stolerman IP (2003b). Involvement of the prefrontal cortex but not the dorsal hippocampus in the

- attention-enhancing effects of nicotine in rats. *Psychopharmacology* **168**: 271–279.
- Heishman SJ, Henningfield JE (2000). Tolerance to repeated nicotine administration, subjective and physiological responses in nonsmokers. *Psychopharmacology* **152**: 321–333.
- Humby T, Laird FM, Davies W, Wilkinson LS (1999). Visuospatial attentional functioning in mice: interactions between cholinergic manipulations and genotype. *Eur J Neurosci* **11**: 2813–2823.
- Inglis WL, Olmstead MC, Robbins TW (2001). Selective deficits in attentional performance on the 5-choice serial reaction time task following pedunculopontine tegmental nucleus lesions. *Behav Brain Res* **123**: 117–131.
- Jones DN, Higgins GA (1995). Effect of scopolamine on visual attention in rats. *Psychopharmacology* **120**: 142–149.
- Kem WR (2000). The brain alpha7 nicotinic receptor may be an important therapeutic target for the treatment of Alzheimer's disease: studies with DMXBA (GTS-21). *Behav Brain Res* **113**: 169–181.
- Le Novère N, Corringer PJ, Changeux JP (2002). The diversity of subunit composition in nAChRs: evolutionary origins, physiologic and pharmacologic consequences. *J Neurobiol* **53**: 447–456.
- Levin ED, Connors CK, Silva D, Hinton SC, Meck WH, March J et al (1998). Transdermal nicotine effects on attention. *Psychopharmacology* **140**: 135–141.
- Levin ED, Simon BB (1998). Nicotinic acetylcholine involvement in cognitive function in animals. *Psychopharmacology* **138**: 217–230.
- Maemoto T, Finlayson K, Olverman HJ, Akahane A, Horton RW, Butcher SP (1997). Species differences in brain adenosine A₁ receptor pharmacology revealed by the use of xanthine and pyrazolopyridine based antagonists. *Br J Pharmacol* **122**: 1202–1208.
- Mancuso G, Warburton DM, Melen M, Sherwood N, Tirelli E (1999). Selective effects of nicotine on attentional processes. *Psychopharmacology* **146**: 199–204.
- Marks MJ, Burch JB, Collins AC (1983). Genetics of nicotine response in four inbred strains of mice. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **226**: 291–302.
- Marston HM, Spratt C, Kelly JS (2001). Phenotyping complex behaviours: assessment of circadian control and 5-choice serial reaction learning in the mouse. *Behav Brain Res* **125**: 189–193.
- Min SK, Moon IW, Ko RW, Shain HS (2001). Effects of transdermal nicotine on attention and memory in healthy elderly non-smokers. *Psychopharmacology* **159**: 83–88.
- Mirza NR, Bright JL (2001). Nicotine-induced enhancements in the five-choice serial reaction time task in rats are strain-dependent. *Psychopharmacology* **154**: 8–12.
- Mirza NR, Stolerman IP (1998). Nicotine enhances sustained attention in the rat under specific task conditions. *Psychopharmacology* **138**: 266–274.
- Mogg AJ, Whiteaker P, McIntosh JM, Marks M, Collins AC, Wonnacott S (2002). Methyllycaconitine is a potent antagonist of alpha-conotoxin-MII-sensitive presynaptic nicotinic acetylcholine receptors in rat striatum. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **302**: 197–204.
- Muir JL, Everitt BJ, Robbins TW (1995). Reversal of visual attentional dysfunction following lesions of the cholinergic basal forebrain by physostigmine and nicotine but not by the 5-HT₃ receptor antagonist, ondansetron. *Psychopharmacology* **118**: 82–92.
- Mullen G, Napier J, Balestra M, DeCory T, Hale G, Macor J et al (2000). (–)-Spiro[1-azabicyclo[2.2.2]octane-3,5'-oxazolidin-2'-one], a conformationally restricted analogue of acetylcholine, is a highly selective full agonist at the alpha 7 nicotinic acetylcholine receptor. *J Med Chem* **43**: 4045–4050.
- Nordberg A, Bergh C (1985). Effect of nicotine on passive avoidance behaviour and motoric activity in mice. *Acta Pharmacol Toxicol* **56**: 337–341.
- O'Neill HC, Rieger K, Kem WR, Stevens KE (2003). DMXB, an alpha(7) nicotinic agonist, normalizes auditory gating in isolation-reared rats. *Psychopharmacology* **169**: 332–339.
- O'Neill MJ, Murray TK, Lakics V, Visanji NP, Duty S (2002). The role of neuronal nicotinic acetylcholine receptors in acute and chronic neurodegeneration. *Curr Drug Target CNS Neurol Disord* **1**: 399–411.
- Orr-Urtreger A, Goldner FM, Saeki M, Lorenzo I, Goldberg L, De Biasi M et al (1997). Mice deficient in the alpha7 neuronal nicotinic acetylcholine receptor lack alpha-bungarotoxin binding sites and hippocampal fast nicotinic currents. *J Neurosci* **17**: 9165–9171.
- Paylor R, Nguyen M, Crawley JN, Patrick J, Beaudet A, Orr-Urtreger A (1998). Alpha7 nicotinic receptor subunits are not necessary for hippocampal-dependent learning or sensorimotor gating: a behavioral characterization of Acra7-deficient mice. *Learn Mem* **5**: 302–316.
- Picciotto MR (2003). Nicotine as a modulator of behavior: beyond the inverted U. *Trends Pharmacol Sci* **24**: 493–499.
- Sambrook J, Russell DW (2001). *Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual*, 3rd edn. New York: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press.
- Sanberg PR, Silver AA, Shytle RD, Philipp MK, Cahill DW, Fogelson HM et al (1997). Nicotine for the treatment of Tourette's syndrome. *Pharmacol Ther* **74**: 21–25.
- Shoaib M, Gommans J, Morley A, Stolerman IP, Grailhe R, Changeux JP (2002). The role of nicotinic receptor beta-2 subunits in nicotine discrimination and conditioned taste aversion. *Neuropharmacology* **42**: 530–539.
- Shytle RD, Silver AA, Wilkinson BJ, Sanberg PR (2002). A pilot controlled trial of transdermal nicotine in the treatment of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *World J Biol Psychiatry* **3**: 150–155.
- Simosky JK, Stevens KE, Adler LE, Freedman R (2003). Clozapine improves deficient inhibitory auditory processing in DBA/2 mice, via a nicotinic cholinergic mechanism. *Psychopharmacology* **165**: 386–396.
- Simosky JK, Stevens KE, Kem WR, Freedman R (2001). Intragastric DMXB-A, an alpha7 nicotinic agonist, improves deficient sensory inhibition in DBA/2 mice. *Biol Psychiatry* **50**: 493–500.
- Spratt C, McQuatt NE, Sharkey J, Kelly JS, Marston HM (2001). Comparison of rats and mice in a serial reaction task. *Br Neurosci Assoc Abstr* **16**: P490.3.
- Stevens KE, Freedman R, Collins AC, Hall M, Leonard S, Marks MJ et al (1996). Genetic correlation of inhibitory gating of hippocampal auditory evoked response and alpha-bungarotoxin-binding nicotinic cholinergic receptors in inbred mouse strains. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **15**: 152–162.
- Stevens KE, Kem WR, Mahnir VM, Freedman R (1998). Selective alpha7-nicotinic agonists normalize inhibition of auditory response in DBA mice. *Psychopharmacology* **136**: 320–327.
- Stolerman IP, Mirza NR, Hahn B, Shoaib M (2000). Nicotine in an animal model of attention. *Eur J Pharmacol* **393**: 147–154.
- Terry AV, Risbrough VB, Buccafusco JJ, Menzaghi F (2002). Effects of (+/–)-4-[[2-(1-methyl-2-pyrrolidinyl)ethyl]thio]phenol hydrochloride (SIB-1553A), a selective ligand for nicotinic acetylcholine receptors, in tests of visual attention and distractibility in rats and monkeys. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **301**: 284–292.
- Waldo M, Myles-Worsley M, Madison A, Byerley W, Freedman R (1995). Sensory gating deficits in parents of schizophrenics. *Am J Med Genet* **60**: 506–511.
- White HK, Levin ED (1999). Four-week nicotine skin patch treatment effects on cognitive performance in Alzheimer's disease. *Psychopharmacology* **143**: 158–165.

- Whiteaker P, Davies ARL, Marks MJ, Blagbrough IS, Potter BVL, Wolstenholme AJ *et al* (1999). An autoradiographic study of the distribution of binding sites for the novel $\alpha 7$ -selective nicotinic radioligand [^3H]-methyllaconitine in the mouse brain. *Eur J Neurosci* **11**: 2689–2696.
- Yang YK, Nelson L, Kamaraju L, Wilson W, McEvoy JP (2002). Nicotine decreases bradykinesia-rigidity in haloperidol-treated patients with schizophrenia. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **27**: 684–686.
- Young JW, Spratt C, Marston HM, Finlayson K, Kelly JS, Sharkey J (2003). Effect of nicotine on visuo-spatial sustained attention in mice as measured by the 5-choice serial reaction time task. *J Psychopharmacol* **17**(Suppl): pA64.