for viscosity and temperature will prove to be the most satisfactory. In this connexion a comparison of the derivations of J. S. Dunn's equation (*Trans.*

Temp.	Water, $A = 1.522.$ $\beta = -0.003822.$ b = 243		$\begin{array}{c} A = 1.128. \\ \text{Octane,} \beta = -0.002399. \\ b = 190. \end{array}$	
	η obs.	η calc.	η obs.	η calc.
0° C.	0.01792	0.01787	0.007060	0.007060
10	01308	01289	006159	006152
20	01005	00996	005419	005423
30	00801	00797	004828	004826
40	00656	00657	004328	004328
50	00549	00554	003907	003908
60	00469	00474	003551	003548
70	00406	00410	003241	003238
80	00357	00359	002971	002967
90	00317	00317	002730	002730
100	00284	00281	002520	002519
110			002335	002332
120			002160	002165

The values for η obs. for water are those of Bingham and Jackson, for octane those of Thorpe and Rodger.

Farad. Soc., 22, pp. 401-405; 1926) $1/\eta = Ae^{-Q/RT}$ based on kinetic theory considerations and $\eta = Ae^{b/T}$ put forward by Prof. Andrade should be of great interest.

E. W. MADGE.

46 Crowther Road, Stockland Green,

Erdington, Birmingham.

A SATISFACTORY explanation of the decrease of liquid viscosity with temperature has long been required, and Prof. Andrade's theoretical treatment of the subject will be awaited with interest. His simple formula connecting viscosity and temperature appears to give good agreement for certain liquids, but I should like to point out that it does not give such good agreement when applied to measurements on some mineral oils, as does a formula due to Slotte. The measurements were taken several years ago and in attempting to find an empirical relationship between the viscosity and the temperature, I obtained, quite independently, a formula which was identical

Temp.	η calc. (Andr.).	η obs.	η calc. (Slotte).
20	12.36	15.20	15.46
25	9.03	10.15	10.19
30	6.67	7.04	6.99
35	4.97	4.97	4.93
40	3.75	3.58	3.58
45	2.85	2.67	2.66
50	2.18	2.00	2.01
55	1.685	1.550	1.558
60	1.313	1.232	1.217
65	1.028	0.965	0.966
70	0.813	0.780	0.777
75	0.646	0.633	0.636
80	0.517	0.517	0.522
85	0.417	0.432	0.435
90	0.337	0.362	0.363
95	0.275	0.306	0.305

with that due to Slotte and adopted by Thorpe and Rodger as best representing their experimental results. This formula is usually written in the form

$$\eta = \frac{c}{t+a}n$$

where η is the viscosity, t the temperature in °C., and Q, a and n constants depending on the liquid used. The constants A and b in Prof. Andrade's formula

No. 3154, Vol. 125]

(NATURE, Mar. 1, p. 309) have been calculated for a somewhat viscous oil from the viscosity values at 35° C, and 80° C., and the agreement between the observed results and those calculated from the two formulæ shown in the accompanying table.

One naturally expects to be able to obtain closer agreement between observed and calculated results with a formula containing three constants than with one containing two, but in this connexion a further interesting fact was observed. It was found that the value of C obtained from eight oils of widely varying viscosities could be expressed with considerable accuracy by the formula

 $C = ad^n$

where a and d are constants which are independent of the nature of the oil and the approximate values of which were 1.5×10^{-3} and 400 respectively. Thus Slotte's formula also becomes one in which there are only two constants the values of which are dependent on the nature of the liquid. On examining the values of C and n obtained for other liquids it was found that, with the exception of the alcohols, they were connected by a similar relationship, the values of a and d differing but slightly from those obtained for the mineral oils. This empirical relationship was not published, and it was thought that the results might be of some interest when considering the theoretical aspects of the problem.

D. H. BLACK.

International Telephone and Telegraph Laboratories, Incorporated, The Hyde, Hendon.

In a recent issue of NATURE (Mar. 1, p. 309) Prof. Andrade publishes the following formula, $\eta = Ae^{b/T}$, for the coefficient of viscosity of liquids as a function of the temperature T, which he shows to be in excellent agreement with the experimental data. Now I wish to point out that a practically equivalent formula, namely, $\eta = CTe^{U/kT}$, was given by me more than four years ago in a paper on the heat motion of solid and liquid bodies (*Zeit. f. Phys.*, 35, p. 664-667; 1926). Since this has obviously remained unnoticed, it may be well to state briefly the fundamental conception on the heat motion of liquids upon which the above formula is based.

The 'crawling' of the particles of the liquid is considered as a combination of oscillations about a (temporary) position of equilibrium and of a jerky displacement of this position from time to time. The average number of oscillations performed about the same equilibrium position is equal to $e^{U/kT}$, where uis the energy required to tear the particle out of it. An elementary displacement of the equilibrium position is consequently achieved in a time $\tau = \tau_0 e U/kT$, τ_0 being the period of the oscillations, which corresponds being the period of the case $\delta_{\tau} = \frac{\delta}{\tau_0} e^{-U/kT}$, where δ , the average range of this displacement, is of the order of the mean distance between neighbouring particles, and to a diffusion coefficient $D = \frac{1}{3}\delta v = \frac{\delta^2}{3\tau_0} e^{-U/kT}$. Now the latter is connected with the friction coefficient f(= ratio of force to the mean velocity which is due to it) by Einstein's formula Df = kT. If, on the other hand, we regard the particle as a small sphere of radius $a \cong \delta$ then we have by Stokes's formula $f = 6\pi a\eta$. Hence $\eta = \frac{\tau_0 kT}{2\pi a \delta^2} e^{U/kT}$ which is the above formula with $\tau_0 k$

$$C = \frac{1}{2\pi a \delta^2}$$