trace is clearly shown. From 11.35 to 11.38 there was a very rapid easterly movement of about 4' in the declination trace, of a non-oscillatory character. The close agreetion trace, of a non-oscillatory character. The close agree-ment in time of this movement with the arrival of the preliminary tremors is very likely a purely accidental coincidence; but the movement is of an unusual character, and it would be interesting to know what was being re-corded at the time at other magnetic observatories. The movement may, of course, have been due to some purely local source, *e.g.* abnormal electric-tram currents.

C. CHREE.

Kew Observatory, Richmond, Surrey, January 7.

Singularities of Curves and Surfaces.

THERE is a distinction between multiple points and what, for want of a better word, I have called singular points. The curve $au_p + u_{p+1} = 0$ has at A a multiple point of order p, but not a singular point. The latter points are defined in § 169 of my "Geometry of Surfaces," reviewed in NATURE of December 22, 1910 (p. 231), and the definition may be illustrated as follows. Let multiple points de order p by the probability of the points of the points are defined by a start of the point the definition may be illustrated as follows. Let multiple points of orders p. q, r..., where p is not less than q, r..., move up to coincidence along a continuous curve; then the compound singularity thereby formed is a singular point of order p. The curve of lowest degree, which can possess a singular point of given order, depends on the way in which the singularity is formed. Thus if four nodes move up to coincidence along a conic, the resulting singular point is of the second order; but a quintic is the curve of lowest degree which can posses such a singularity. Also, if three nodes move up to coincidence along a straight line, the singular point is still of the second order, but no curve of lower degree than a sextic can posses such a point. The reviewer's statement in the second paragraph is

The reviewer's statement in the second paragraph is since the investigations referred to are applicable to surfaces of any degree. The fact is that a quartic surface is capable of possessing most of the simpler singularities. The principal exceptions are triple lines, which cannot be completely discussed without the aid of a surface of the seventh degree, and cuspidal twisted curves, which necessitate the employment of a quintic surface, since a quartic surface, which possesses a cuspidal twisted cubic curve, is a developable surface, and is therefore not sufficiently

general for the purpose in question. As science advances, the introduction of new words is essential. Thus lithotrity, ovariotomy, scleroderma, &c., have been introduced during the last century to designate operations and diseases of which our ancestors were ignorant, whilst algebra has been enriched by such words as catalecticant, evectant, protomorph, &c. The choice of suitable words requires care, but I adhere firmly to my opinion that Latin and Greek are the best languages to employ. A. B. BASSET.

December 23, 1910.

It is unfortunately impossible to give a very brief rejoinder to Mr. Basset's letter; and it is perhaps as well to take the opportunity of giving a further statement of my position in reference to singularities on a plane curve.

In the first place, the distinction drawn in Mr. Basset's letter between *multiple* points and *singular* points of order b does not seem to be in agreement with the practice followed in his book, where the two terms appear to be used indiscriminately: thus in \S 171-4 and \S 181 the term *multiple* point is invariably applied to singularities which, according to his letter, he would now call singular points. At any rate, the singularities considered in these articles cannot occur (in their general forms) on curves of degree (p+1), and, as I understand Mr. Basset's letter, he intends the use of the term *multiple* points to be restricted to those singularities which do occur on curves of degree (p+1). Naturally such a restriction would justify the assumption made in § 165, which was criticised in my question as to whether all types of singularity can be obtained by Mr. Basset's treatment of the subject.

NO. 2150, VOL. 85

The singularities which were in my mind when I raised this question were those considered by Zeuthen (Math. Annalen, Bd. x.) and Jordan ("Cours d'Analyse," t. 1, chap. v.); a fairly simple example is given by the origin on the curve $x=t^6$, $y=t^{12}+t^{15}+t^{16}$. Zeuthen's method enables us to determine the Plücker-

equivalents of the singularity, and Jordan shows how to find quadratic transformations which reduce the singularity to a simpler character. But I do not see that Mr. Basset's limiting process (as briefly indicated in his letter) would enable us to handle any singularity of this type (called a *cycle* by Jordan), nor have I found any reference to the existence of such types in Mr. Basset's book.

T. J. 1'A. B.

Scottish Natural History.

I SEE that NATURE of December 29, 1910, refers to two statements made before a natural history society by Mr. Symington Grieve, viz. :-

(1) That half a century ago white-tailed eagles were more abundant than golden eagles, or words to that effect.

(2) That Mr. Grieve is of the opinion that wild cats are on the increase in Scotland owing to the instructions issued by proprietors and factors for their protection.

With regard to the first, naturalists would like to have further data. It is certainly true white-tailed eagles were then vastly more abundant than now, and that they are now verging on extinction as an existing species. But that they were "far more numerous half a century ago than the golden eagle" requires more exact statistics. Forty years ago there were quite eighty eyries of golden eagles occupied over all Scotland, but I cannot find any evidence to prove that white-tailed eagles at any time anywhere in Scotland even approached that figure, and during at least forty years I have paid considerable attention to all statements made as to their distribution and their subsequent decrease. Locally in some few districts white-tailed eagles were more numerous than golden eagles, but not generally, and I believe all occupied eyries could at any time have been easily counted.

As regards the increase of wild cats, that is also quite undoubted, but the true reason is not the direct instruc-tions given by proprietors or factors generally, though that may have some local value also, but to the protection afforded by the increased area of lands devoted to deer afforestation. T. A. HARVIE BROWN.

Dunipace, Larbert, Stirlingshire, N.B., December 29, 1910.

The Origin of Man.

THE following extract from a review in "Dodsley's Annual Register for 1767" of Dr. Adam Ferguson's essay on the "History of Civil Society" may be of interest :— "Many of the authors who have written on man, and those too, some of the most ingenious, have set out by considering him as an animal. . . Nay, one in particular has thrown out doubts of his having been originally a monkey or baboon." (The reviewer goes on to speak of this theory as "too ridiculous for serious animadversion.") Could any of your readers say who was the "one in particular"? CHARLES E. BENHAM.

Colchester, January 7.

COLLIERY WARNINGS.

WHEN an appalling colliery disaster, like that at Hulton Colliery, happens to coincide with a "colliery warning," public attention is naturally attracted to the fact, and the warning at once becomes invested with an appearance of importance that is out of all proportion to its true value. There appears to be an impression that these colliery warnings are issued by some central responsible authority, such as the Meteorological Office might be, and that they are based upon sound scientific principles, but as a matter of fact they are issued by the Press Association, and are