

said to have been observed in birds, viz., that when a hen is fertilised by a cock of another kind the resulting egg is contained in a shell tinted, more or less, like those laid by the cock's own breed. At the time, I must confess, I was rather inclined to doubt if it did really occur, or if it were not a simple reversion, or a mistake, when my attention was drawn still closer to the subject by a friend who had kindly offered to assist in obtaining, if it were possible, additional proofs of telegony by first crossing a canary hen with a greenfinch cock and then returning her to her own breed. This was done, and resulted in three eggs being laid to the greenfinch. Their shells were all tinted more like the eggshells of a greenfinch than those of a canary. Two of these eggs were afterwards found to be infertile. This showed that the alteration in the tint of the eggshell had nothing to do with the nature of the fertilising spermatozoon. But the occurrence of hybrid ochromy could not be said to have been proved, for there is very little difference in the tinting of the eggshells of a canary and greenfinch, and I do not know whether the canary was purely bred or not.

I was thus anxious to find out for certain whether or not such an occurrence was possible. I therefore obtained three black Minorca hens, which had come of stock that had been purely bred for the last twenty years. The Minorca breed is the oldest variety of the famous Spanish fowls, of which the origin seems older than the recollection of it!<sup>1</sup> These three Minorca hens I penned up alone for more than four weeks, during which time thirty-two eggs were laid, and the shells of all of the later ones were of a very pure white colour.

The reason I had kept them alone for so long a time was that I required eggs entirely free from the intervention of any cock, and the commonly accepted opinion of poultry fanciers seemed to be that a period of nearly three weeks was necessary for the complete extermination of spermatozoa. However, to prevent any mistake, at the end of this time three eggs were artificially incubated for a period of forty-eight hours at the Durham College of Science, and they proved quite infertile.

After having thus demonstrated that the Minorca egg is contained in a pure white shell, I introduced into their pen a buff cock of the Cochin China breed, a breed famous for the brown with which its eggshells are tinted. The second egg laid after its arrival in the pen was provided with a shell of a very decided brown tint, and among a dozen or more laid within the succeeding two or three weeks, the shells of several were of a faint brown tint.<sup>2</sup> I was, however, unable to observe any difference in the microscopic structure of the eggs, such as is described by Herr von Nathusius. (See "Dictionary of Birds," by A. Newton, p. 190.)

This remarkable case appears to me to be an almost incontestable proof that hybrid ochromy does, at times, occur, as the only other way for accounting for pure bred black Minorca hens laying brown tinted eggs would be that they were reverting to some brown-egg-laying ancestors, a very unlikely supposition when we remember the age of the breed.

The next question to answer is—How does hybrid ochromy take place? I feel quite convinced, both from my own observations and those with the above-mentioned canary, that the tint of the eggshell is not, and cannot be, affected by the nature of the fertilising spermatozoon, and so we must turn our attention to the spermatid fluid, the chemical properties of which, acting in conjunction with those of the products of the shell-gland, will probably be found to be sufficient to cause this change of tint.

Hybrid ochromy has, in company with a closely associated phenomenon in another kingdom (I refer to Xenia), often been referred to as a case that cannot be explained by the Weismannian theory of heredity, i.e. the continuity of the germ-plasm. If the above explanation (and I can suggest no other) of hybrid ochromy should be proved to be correct, it is easily seen to be merely a chemical change and wholly apart from the phenomena of fertilisation. In the same way I should think it is very possible that xenia might be found to be not unconnected with the conjunction of the male and female elements forming the endosperm. It doubtless will be shown before long whether or not these two attempted explanations be correct. They will, I hope, however, tend to lessen the opposition to the Weismannian theory by showing how a fact which, at first sight, appears

<sup>1</sup> "The Poultry Book," by Lewis Wright. Popular edition, p. 340.

<sup>2</sup> Since writing the above I have incubated two of these eggs and found them fertile. At first sight this would seem to contradict the explanation given, but although I hold that fertilisation is not necessary, it certainly may take place in some cases.

absolutely antagonistic thereto is found to be in complete accordance with it. It also shows what a deep effect may be induced in living organisms by the interaction of the chemical products of their glands.

I must here take the opportunity of expressing my best thanks to the Durham College of Science, Newcastle-on-Tyne, for allowing me the ground, &c., on which to conduct the experiment.

G. P. BULMAN.

Newcastle-on-Tyne.

#### The Swimming Instinct.

I HAVE just tested the inherited powers of swimming in newly hatched pheasants. I find that when placed in tepid water, at the age of about thirty hours, they swim easily with well-coordinated leg-movements and show very little signs of distress.

C. LLOYD MORGAN.

University College, Bristol, June 24.

#### RECENT SCIENTIFIC WORK IN HOLLAND.

BEGINNING with that which is of most general importance, we draw attention to the recent work of Prof. Hugo de Vries, of Amsterdam. Prof. de Vries, who is well known as a botanist and biologist and whose name is familiar to those acquainted with the history of modern chemistry, has just published the first part of a book entitled "Die Mutationstheorie. Erster Band. Versuche und Beobachtungen über die Entstehung von Arten im Pflanzenreich" (Leipzig: Veit, 1901), containing, as the title indicates, the account of a series of observations on the formation of new species in plants. Starting from the fact, well known to florists, of the appearance of "single variations" in their flower-beds, de Vries has been trying to find wild flowers which would show the same phenomenon. Of the 100 species investigated only one appeared to possess the property which was looked for, the *Enothera Lamarckiana*, originally from America, but at present growing wild in Holland. Now about ten years ago de Vries transferred specimens of this plant to the botanical gardens at Amsterdam, and up to date he has studied as many as 50,000 of its descendants.

Of these 50,000 about 49,200 were in no respect different from the original patriarchal *O. Lamarckiana*, showing no tendency towards gradual change in any special direction, but only the common small fluctuating "variations" as regards size and appearance on either side of a normal, in fact resembling in that respect other plants and animals which are left to themselves without being interfered with.

Quite otherwise the 800 other plants. None of these, although appearing spontaneously, could be said to be representatives of the species *Lamarckiana*, from which they were descended. De Vries arranges them in seven distinct species, viz. 1 of *O. gigas*, 56 of *O. albida*, 350 of *O. oblonga*, 32 of *O. rubrinervis*, 158 of *O. nanella*, 221 of *O. lata* and 8 of *O. scintillans*. Now comes the crucial question of the whole investigation. What right has de Vries to look upon the differences between these seven species and the original species as being of a different order from the variations between the specimens of each species, and what entitles him to call these differences *mutations* as opposed to variations? The answer is this: a representative of these new species produces descendants the majority of which unmistakably belong to the same species as itself. Not all the new species behave in the same way; as an instance, the only representative of *O. gigas* was isolated and made to fertilise itself. From it were obtained 450 plants, all of which, with only one exception, were *O. gigas*, the one exception not being a return to *Lamarckiana* but belonging to a new variety. The plant is a strong one and retains its properties in subsequent generations so far as investigated.