of earning so much money with little exertion makes the inhabitants unwilling to engage in more arduous labour. A narrow path leads from the hut on the water's edge into the forest from one rubber-tree to another, the path eventually returning to the hut. The trees are cut on the morning round, and the rubber is gathered in the afternoon. As soon as it arrives at the hut a fire of oily palm-nuts (*Attalea excelsa*) is lighted, and the thin sap thickened in the smoke For this purpose a paddle is used, on to which the sap is poured with a small earthenware or tin vessel. The smoke soon thickens it, and a new layer is poured on until the well-known flat cakes of india-rubber have been formed.

Owing to the rise of the river during the rainy season most of the huts have to be abandoned, and it can easily be imagined how comfortless they are. Nearly all of them are built on piles, and most of them are thatched with palm-leaves. There is hardly any attempt made to cultivate the soil, such as it is, but everything is imported. The s.s. *Cametense*, in which the surveying party went out, was laden with cabbages, onions, and potatoes, part of which went as far as Iquitos in Peru.

Chiefly owing to this want of provisions, and to the generally careless mode of life, the mortality among india rubber gatherers is very great.

Everything Bates and Wallace have said of this region remains as true as it was forty years ago, and hardly anything new can be added to their description of the general features of the Amazon valley; but the town of Manaos has completely changed its character since it was made the capital of that region in 1853. A town quite European in its features has arisen in the midst of the forest, and to the benefits of rapid transport, to which it has owed so much, there is now added the characteristic lever of modern progress, the annihilator of space and time—electrical communication.

NOTES ON CLOUDS.¹

THERE are two points connected with clouds on which I wish to make a few remarks. The first is on the classification of clouds, and the second on the manner in which certain forms of clouds are produced. It may be as well to remark at the outset that the observations are those of an "outsider," being in a department of meteorology to which I have given but little attention, and they have been written with a view of calling the attention of specialists, and getting their opinion on the subject.

It appears to me that in classifying clouds they ought first of all to be divided into two great classes. In the one class should be placed all clouds in the process of *formation*, and in the other those in the process of *decay*. The two classes might be called *Clouds in Formation* and *Clouds in Decay*. We may take Cumulus clouds as an example of the former, and Nimbus of the latter. My observations made on the clouds themselves have shown that there is a difference in the structure of these two classes of clouds. In clouds in formation the water particles are much smaller and far more numerous than in clouds in decay; and while the particles in clouds in decay are large enough to be seen with the unaided eye when they fall on a properly lighted micrometer, they are so small in clouds in formation that, if the condensation is taking place rapidly, the particles cannot be seen without the aid of a lens of considerable magnifying power. In the former case the number of particles falling per square millimetre is small, while in the latter they are so numerous that it is impossible to count them.

It appears that one good end might be served by adopting this classification. It would direct the attention of observers more to looking on the processes going on in *decay* for an explanation of many of the forms observed in clouds. In most books on clouds, when describing the different shapes of clouds, it is almost always assumed that they are in process of *formation*, and the whole explanation of the shapes taken by the clouds is founded on this supposition. Now, it is very evident that very many clouds are in the processes going on under these conditions.

This brings me to the second point in this communication,

¹ Paper read by John Aitken, F.R.S., to the Roy. Soc. of Edin. on May 4.

NO. 1390, VOL. 54]

namely, the manner in which ripple-marked cirrus clouds are produced. The explanation which has generally been accepted of the formation of this form of cloud is, that the ripple markings are due to the general movements of the air giving rise to a series of eddies, the axes of the eddies being horizontal, and roughly parallel to each other. It is very evident that the air revolving round these horizontal axes, that is, in a vertical plane, will at the lower part of its path be subjected to compression, and at the upper part to expansion. The result of this will evidently be, supposing the air to be nearly saturated with moisture, a tendency for cloudy condensation to take place in the air at the upper part of its path, and it is this cloudy condensation in the upper part of the eddies that is supposed to produce the ripple-like cirrus; each ripple mark indicating the upper part of an eddy. One objection I have always felt to this explanation is, that it is difficult to imagine that the small amount of eleva-tion and consequent expansion and cooling could give rise to so dense an amount of clouding as is generally observed. Any clouding produced in this way one would expect to be extremely thin and filmy. I have for the last few years made frequent observations of these clouds, and I have to admit I have never once seen them in the process of formation, or seen one appear in a clear sky. In all cases that have come under my observa-tion, these ripple clouds have been clouds in decay. They are They are generally formed out of some strato-cirrus or similar cloud. When we observe these strato-cirrus clouds in fine weather, it will be found that they frequently change to ripple-marked cirrus clouds before vanishing. The process of their formation would seem to be : the strato-cirrus gradually thins away till it attains such a depth, that if there are any eddies at its level, the eddies break the stratus cloud up into parallel or nearly parallel masses, the clear air being drawn in between the eddies. It will be observed that this explanation requires the eddies, but not to produce the clouding, only to explain the breaking up of the uniform cirrus cloud into ripple cirrus.

One thing which supports this explanation is, that lenticularcirrus clouds are frequently observed with ripple markings on one or more sides of them just where the cloud is thin enough to be broken through by the eddies. If we watch these lenticularformed clouds under these conditions, we frequently see the ripple markings getting nearer and nearer the centre as the cloud decays; and at last, when nearly dissolved, the ripple markings will be seen extending quite across the cloud. It seems probable that "mackerel" and other cloud forms may be produced in the same way.

The shapes which these ripple cirrus clouds assume are much more varied than is generally supposed. I lately observed a most interesting form in the south of France while the mistral was blowing strongly. There were a few cirrus clouds in the sky at the time, and one of these was rapidly being broken up into irregular ripple forms, but at one point there was formed a most perfectly cylindrical-shaped piece, its length being about twenty times its diameter. The whirling effect of the eddy was very evident by the circular streaking of the clouding. Further, this cloud was evidently hollow, that is, the interior was filled with clear air as the cloud was thinnest along the axis, and it had all the appearance of a revolving tube of cloudy air. It is not contended here that ripple clouds are never produced

It is not contended here that ripple clouds are never produced in the manner which has generally been accepted, only that so far as my observations go they have never been observed forming in the manner supposed. It is hoped that others will put the explanation here offered to the test of observation, and it is principally with a view of getting others to repeat the observations that this has been written.

UNIVERSITY AND EDUCATIONAL INTELLIGENCE.

CAMBRIDGE.—In the Mathematical Tripos List published on June 16, Mr. W. G. Fraser, of Queens', is Senior Wrangler, Messrs. Barnes, Carson, and Wilkinson, all of Trinity, are bracketed for the second place, and four members of St. John's, Messrs. Edwardes, Houston, Cook, and Turner, follow in two brackets, fifth and seventh. Miss Longbottom, of Girton, has the twelfth place.

In Part II. seven names appear in the first division of the first class, beginning with Mr. Bromwich, of St. John's, the Senior Wrangler of last year.

Mr. A. C. Dixon, of Trinity College, has been approved for the degree of Doctor of Science, in consideration of his mathe-