

a large long mass of sand, suddenly giving way and falling forward from the vertical face of a sand-bank or river-bank on to the water, will produce, and the very long distance it will be heard up and down the river.

In the vicinity of such great rivers, at such a spot as Chilmari Ghât, which I know well, we must be rather sceptical with regard to sounds being any other than of this nature. The reports are very likely to be repeated, for the wave produced on the water will set another mass falling some way off, and even the wave of air may do the same to a mass just tottering, and bring it all down together (see also Report in *Proceedings of Asiatic Society, Bengal*, p. 207, regarding the echo from one side of the river to the other, so well described by Mr. Manson).

Mr. W. T. Blanford has suggested to me landslips for the reports heard near Buxa; but this is a sound I have heard on the bursting of a landslip dam in the Himalayas: the sound was of the nature of a rumble, not a report. A fall of rocks into a valley from any height would produce, I think, a still more prolonged rumbling sound—more like that of the avalanche.

Shalford Park, January 7. H. H. GODWIN-AUSTEN.

ALLOW me to mention, for the information of those of your readers who take an interest in this subject—a considerable number, to judge from the correspondence that has lately appeared in these columns—that a very complete account of the phenomenon is now being published in *Ciel et Terre*, a review devoted to astronomy, meteorology, and terrestrial physics, published twice monthly at Brussels, from the pen of M. Ernest Van den Broeck, curator of the Royal Museum of Natural History of Belgium, who has devoted much time and labour to a study of the subject. The first article, containing a complete historical review of the subject, appeared on December 1; the second, on the 16th, dealt with the accounts of the phenomenon received by M. Van den Broeck from various observers in Belgium; and future articles will be devoted to a study of the facts as reported from Bengal, to the causes of the phenomenon, and to the methods employed in determining its origin.

I may mention that the true rendering of the word *mist-poeffers*, the name given to the sounds by the fishermen, is not *fog-dissipators*, but, as appears from a note on the etymology of the word by one of M. Van den Broeck's correspondents, it should more correctly be translated *fog-belchings* or *fog-hiccups*, the French rendering of the word *poëff* being *renvoi* or *hoquet*. The point is of some importance, since the sounds do not appear to have any effect, as one might imagine from the signification of the name, as it first appeared in NATURE, in the dispersal of fogs.

T. D. LA TOUCHE.

Boring a Coral Reef.

WILL you be good enough to allow me to correct a somewhat important oversight in the note in last week's NATURE, on the work of the Coral Reef Committee of the Royal Society. You mention that the Royal Society has granted the sum of £800, and the Government a gunboat, for the expedition. Even with this help, however, it would have been impossible to undertake the work unless the Department of Mines of the New South Wales Government, largely through the good offices of Prof. Anderson Stuart, had granted to the Committee the use of a complete set of boring tools and appliances, with an engine and boiler. Further, the Department has relaxed the somewhat stringent restrictions usually placed on the use of the tools, owing to the difficulties which will be experienced in a waterless and sparsely inhabited island. For such generous assistance, and to Prof. Stuart, who has helped in many different ways, the Committee cannot be too grateful.

W. W. WATTS,

(Joint-Secretary of the Royal Society's Coral Committee).

Sutton, Surrey, January 11.

Variability of Red Stars.

ACCORDING to a notice, which I found in NATURE'S "Astronomical Column" of November 14, 1895, my theory of the variability of red stars should demand, that the bright lines of hydrogen should be produced there at a low temperature and in a condition never tested by experiments.

I will try to show that this objection is not valid.

The bright lines in red stars are considered by me as caused by the recently much studied phenomenon of luminescence, *i.e.*

by a production of light not due to elevation of temperature, and thence not subjected to Kirchhoff's law of absorption.

In the cooled atmospheres of the red stars two causes of luminescence can be expected—two causes, which according to the relatively low temperature of the combinable elements and condensable vapours, with which these atmospheres are filled up, must act there much more energetically than in other stars. These two well-studied causes of luminescence are chemical action and electricity.

If we first consider chemical action, it may be stated, by the way, that the supposed chemical combination in a cooling star does not necessarily require a very low temperature. Acetylene, for instance, can be generated in the electric arc. Now we know that acetylene is a compound actually present in the atmospheres of some of the most cooled stars. It is abundantly absorbing in the stars III *b* and, according to Prof. Lockyer, not unlikely radiating in the stars of his Group II. (Vogel's Class III *a*).

If therefore we assume that it is principally acetylene, which is generated in the cooling atmospheres of the red stars, bright lines of hydrogen may be expected there. These lines are then precisely caused as those of sodium, potassium, lithium and thallium in the experiments of Pringsheim, being absent as long as the sodium vapour is only strongly heated, but appearing immediately as soon as in this heated vapour some chemical change occurs.

It must be conceded, however, that in the case of hydrogen this chemical luminescence has not yet been actually observed in our laboratories. But in the case of hydrogen we have another luminescence, which is daily experienced. It is the glow in Geissler tubes, where (whatever may be the heat of the individual shining molecules) the average temperature of the glowing gas does not necessarily exceed the common temperature of our atmosphere, and cannot therefore be considered as the cause of the glowing of the gas.

As this luminescence is caused by electric discharges, and as such discharges (according to what we know about the electrical phenomena connected with the formation of clouds and hail in our own atmosphere) are likely to be expected in atmospheres, which are filled up with vapourous matter ready to condense in clouds, the hypothesis of an electrical luminescence of the hydrogen in the atmospheres of red stars seems very plausible. That hypothesis demands that the bright lines should be especially conspicuous in stellar atmospheres, where the alternation of vapourisation and recondensation is also very conspicuous, *i.e.* (according to my theory explained in the November and December numbers of *Knowledge*) in the atmospheres of red variables and Novæ, where that intermitting condensation and vapourisation of dark obscuring cloudy matter is the very cause of the variability. Now we know that this demand is fully verified. Prof. E. C. Pickering has stated as a rule that, with perhaps a single exception, every red star with bright hydrogen lines is *eo ipso* variable. And this rule has proved to be so sure, that Mrs. Fleming could discover numerous new variables from the bright lines of their spectra (*Astrophys. Journal*, I. p. 27, 41; II. p. 198).

Eventual bright lines in the stars of Vogel's Class I. and II. may be explained perhaps (as Prof. Scheiner has recently shown in his "Untersuchungen über Spectra der Hellenen Sterne," p. 223) by the hypothetical presence of a gigantic incandescent atmosphere, whose radiation around the bundle of rays coming to us from the star's much smaller photosphere is greater than the absorption it causes in that bundle; but in the more cooled atmospheres of red stars the chemical compounds there present, attest a temperature relatively so low that we cannot conceive that atmospheres to be filled up to such a gigantic height with hydrogen so enormously heated as to become (if that still doubtful phenomenon is possible) bright shining by incandescence.

Such enormously heated hydrogen and chemical compounds cannot permanently coexist in a stellar atmosphere. The coexistence of chemical compounds and bright shining hydrogen is only possible if the brightness of the latter is due to luminescence.

The ideas here suggested may be considered as an instance of a likely fruitful application of the study of luminescence to stellar spectroscopy. If they are right, they give, I think, a plausible explanation (1) of the connection between the variability of a star and the frequent brightness of its spectral lines, and (2) of the very remarkable fact that both variability and bright lines are so often observed precisely in those stars, whose