

server, Mr. Roberts, have also been included in the new catalogue. (*Astronomical Journal*, No. 347.)

THE TEMPERATURE OF THE SUN.—A new method of determining the temperature of the sun has been employed by H. Ebert (*Astrophysical Journal*, June). With the aid of data supplied by Langley's investigations, Rubens deduced the law that the wave-length of the maximum energy is inversely proportional to the square root of the absolute temperature of the radiating body. Experiments on the radiation of blackened bodies between absolute temperatures 373° and 1088° indicated the relation

$$\lambda \sqrt{T} = 123,$$

T being the absolute temperature, and λ being expressed in microns ($\mu = .001$ mm.). Langley has shown that the maximum energy of the continuous background of the solar spectrum is very nearly at 0.6 μ , and assuming that the incandescent particles in the sun which yield the continuous spectrum are comparable to a black body as regards their total radiating capacity, the application of the above formula gives a temperature of about 40,000° C. The parts of the sun to which this temperature applies are stated to belong to the interior regions, below the photosphere.

Dr. Ebert enters into a discussion of the electromagnetic nature of the solar radiation, in order to justify the application of the formula in the case of the sun. This leads him incidentally to suppose that the continuous background of the solar spectrum is mainly due to hydrogen in a strongly compressed state.

THE ROTATION OF SATURN.—In 1893 Mr. Stanley Williams announced some highly interesting facts with reference to the period of rotation of Saturn, as deduced from observations of spots on different parts of the surface of the planet (*NATURE*, vol. I, p. 32). The observations were continued during the opposition of 1894, and similar striking results have been arrived at. (*Monthly Notices*, vol. iv, p. 354). It was again found that the spots indicated widely different rotation periods in the same latitude, but in different longitudes, as shown in the following table:—

Range in longitude.		Mean period.		
		h.	m.	s.
Dark spots (17°-37° N.)	30-130	10	14	57.29
	140-200	14	44	23
	240-360	15	47	97
Bright spots (6° S.-6° N.)	0-80	13	1	69
	80-160	12	40	03
	160-360	10	12	25.83

The average rotation periods of the whole equatorial spot zone during the four years of observation were as follows:—

	h.	m.	s.	s.
1891	10	14	21.8	
1892		13	38.2	Diff. 43.6
1893		12	52.4	" 45.8
1894	10	12	35.8	" 16.6

The extreme difference of 1m. 46s. observed since 1891 "means a very considerable increase in the velocity of motion of the surface material, amounting to 66 miles per hour. In other words, the great equatorial atmospheric current of Saturn was flowing 66 miles an hour more quickly in 1894 than it was in 1891."

Taken as a whole, the observations indicate a more rapid rotation of the planet in the equatorial regions than in the northern zone of spots, and they appear to establish that there are great differences of velocity in different longitudes.

To Prof. Darwin, these results "suggest a rather wild consideration" (*Observatory*, June). He considers it possible that sections of the planet parallel to the equator may not be circular, and suggests that it might be worth trying to detect systematic differences between the various equatorial diameters by metric measurements.

THE VISIBILITY OF SHIPS' LIGHTS.

IT may be remembered that in 1890, the German Marine Observatory tested some three thousand running lights in use on board ships, and found two-thirds of them defective. Further tests of the visibility of lights of known candle-power were made by the German Committee last year, and some of the results obtained are noted in a leaflet just distributed to seamen by the

U.S. Weather Bureau. The law of emission for a white light is that its visibility is proportional to the square root of its candle-power, and the results of the experiments by the Committee closely follow the law, the departures being no greater than the estimated errors of position of the vessel. The mean of a large number of observations gave as the distance at which a white light of one candle-power became visible 1.40 miles for a dark clear night, and 1.00 mile for a rainy one. Experiments undertaken in America, after the International Maritime Congress in 1889, gave the following results in very clear weather: A light of 1 candle-power was plainly visible at 1 nautical mile, and one of 3 candle-power at 2 miles. A 10 candle-power light was visible with an ordinary binocular at 4 miles; one of 29 candles faintly at 5, and one of 33 candles visible without difficulty at the same distance. On a second evening, exceptionally clear, a white light of 3.2 candle-power could readily be distinguished at 3, one of 5.6 at 4, and one of 17.2 at 5 miles. The Dutch governmental experiments, conducted at Amsterdam, gave the following results: A light of 1 candle-power was visible at 1 nautical mile; 3.5 at 2, and 16 at 5 miles. Experiments with green lights gave 0.80 as the distance in miles at which a green light of a single candle-power is just visible. The candle-power required for a green light to be visible at 1, 2, 3, and 4 nautical miles was 2, 15, 51, and 106, respectively. The American experiments before referred to give for green light: 3.2 candle-power fairly visible at 1 mile, and 28.5 clearly at 2 miles, these results being, however, from a limited number of experiments. The German trials were much more numerous. The extraordinarily rapid diminution of the visibility of the green light with the distance, even in good observing weather, and the still more rapid decrease in rainy weather of a character which will but slightly diminish the intensity of a white light, show that it is of the utmost importance to select for the glass a shade of colour which will interfere with the intensity of the light as little as possible. The shade recommended is a clear blue-green. Yellow-green and grass-green should not be employed, as they become indistinguishable from white at a very short distance. For the red, a considerably wider range is allowable, but a coppery-red is said to be the best.

THE RELATIVE POWERS OF LARGE AND SMALL TELESCOPES IN SHOWING PLANETARY DETAIL.

IT is to be hoped that a definite understanding will soon be arrived at regarding the differences between large and small telescopes in revealing delicate surface-markings on Mars, Jupiter, and Saturn. The subject of relative efficiency was discussed about ten years ago, and some interesting evidence was evoked as to the different forms and sizes of telescopes, but no settlement of the question was possible in the face of the diversity of opinion existing. The time seems to have come when the subject may be suitably referred to, and the facts considered apart from mere prejudice or preference for any kind or size of instrument.

The phenomenal results recently claimed for certain small telescopes are almost of a character to shake even the faith of those disposed to acknowledge their great utility on several classes of objects, for our confidence cannot go beyond reasonable limits. In individual cases a good though small instrument, an acute well-trained eye, acting in combination with the best atmospheric conditions, will yield surprising results; but some of those lately published border upon romance, and henceforth it would seem that if all the data derived with such means are to be absolutely accepted, then large telescopes are grossly incapable on certain important objects, and may as well be packed away in the lumber rooms of our observatories.

This is the more surprising when we consider the opinions expressed during the discussion which previously took place on the same subject. Prof. C. A. Young, who has charge of the 23-inch refractor at Princeton, said: "I can almost always see with the 23-inch everything I see with the 9½-inch under the same atmospheric conditions, and see it better—if the seeing is bad only a little better, if good immensely better." Other observers having the means of comparing large and small instruments, side by side, furnished similar evidence, except in the case of M. Wolf, of Paris, who said: "I have observed a great deal with two instruments (both reflectors) of 15.7 and 47.2 inches aperture. I have rarely found any advantage in using the larger one when the object was sufficiently luminous." Prof. Asaph Hall, whose