post-tertiary (neo-volcanic) is maintained, the Plutonic rocks are admitted to be of all ages.

Geologists will, alas, look in vain in this work for any indication that they may hope for a speedy termination of the terrible confusion that has so long prevailed with respect to petrographical nomenclature. On the contrary, they will find that in addition to having to reckon with the schools of Paris and Heidelberg, as they have done in the past, they will now have to take account of a third-that of Leipzig! With some of Prof. Zirkel's criticisms of contemporary palæontological literature, English and American geologists will heartily sympathise. The employment of such terms as granitite, granophyre, &c., with significations different from those given to them by the authors of th names, cannot but fail to lead to almost endless confusion, and we are glad to see that the authority of Prof. Zirkel is thrown into the scale against such principles of nomenclature being adopted ; but in other cases we cannot but think that his objections to the nomenclature of other authors are not likely to be sustained by future workers in this branch of science.

Whether the confusion that now exists can be removed by any friendly discussion between the representatives of rival schools-such as those of the international committee proposed at the late Geological Congress at Zurich-time alone can show. If this be impossible, and writers in France and Germany, respectively, continue to ignore the terminology employed in other countries than their own, then it appears to us that, if science is to maintain her cosmopolitan character, only one method of escape is possible. We must follow the example of the other natural-history sciences in adopting the test of priority as absolute and final in our terminology of rocks. That many inconveniences must result from such a course may be readily admitted; and it will not be easy to fix upon the Linnæus of our science-or to decide upon the date at which exact petrographical literature may be supposed to have commenced. But almost any trouble and difficulty of this kind is worth encountering, if we may hope that geologists in the future will, in speaking of rocks, attain that great desideratum of "one thing-one name."

In the meanwhile, we are not ungrateful to the author of the work before us for the enormous labour and pains he has taken in wading through the great mass of petrographical literature; in furnishing us with correct statements concerning the origin and history of terms; and in placing on record the decisions he has arrived at upon many of the difficult problems that confront us. The "Lehrbuch der Petrographie" has always been a standard work of reference; and, in its new form, it has become more indispensable than ever. J. W. J.

## OUR BOOK SHELF.

Pithecanthropus Erectus, eine Menschenaehnliche Uebergangsform aus Java. By E. Dubois. 4to, pp. 40, illustrated (Batavia, 1894.)

JAVA, from its geographical situation, being just one of those countries where the remains of a connecting form between man and the higher apes would be extremely likely to occur, zoologists have naturally been attracted by the title of the work before us, which proclaims in no

NO. 1317, VOL 51

uncertain tones that such a missing link has actually been discovered. A feeling of disappointment will, however, probably come over the student, when he finds how imperfect are the remains on the evidence of which this startling announcement is made; and when he has submitted them to a critical examination, he will probably have little difficulty in concluding that they do not belong to a wild animal at all. The specimens described are three in number, and were discovered in strata of presumed Pleistocene age near a spot called Trinil. The first of these is a last upper molar tooth, found during the drying up of a river-bed in the autumn of 1891. A month later, the roof of a large cranium was discovered in the same bed, at a distance of only about a yard from the spot where the tooth laid. Finally, in August 1892, at a distance of some sixteen yards higher up the stream, a left femur was disinterred, which is stated to present much more human resemblances than either of the other two specimens. The bed from which this bone was derived is stated to have been the same as that from which the other two specimens were obtained. The author is confident that all are referable to a single animal; and we are content to accept this view.

Especial stress is laid on the femur as indicative of human affinities; and here again we are in agreement with the author, only we would go one step further, and say that it actually is human. As is pointed out in the text, this bone has a large exostosis below the lesser trochanter; and we believe that such slight differences as it shows from normal human femora, are due to this diseased condition. With regard to the skull, which shows a marked human facies, but an extremely small development of the brain-cavity, the absence of ridges on the calvarium clearly shows that it can belong to no wild anthropoid; and there appears every reason to regard it as that of a microcephalous idiot, of an unusually elongated type. The molar, so far as we can see from the figure, may likewise perfectly well be human.

from the figure, may likewise perfectly well be human. Haeckel's "*Pithecanthropus*" may, therefore, be relegated to the position of an hypothetical unknown creature for which it was originally proposed; while the specific name "*erectus*" must become a synonym of the frequently misapplied "*sapieus*." R. L.

The Planet Earth. An Astronomical Introduction to Geography. By R. A. Gregory, F.R.A.S. (London: Macmillan and Co., 1894.)

It is, perhaps, one of the consequences of the antiquity of astronomy that it is not now usually presented to the youthful mind in a thoroughly scientific manner. The established truths of the science, in so far as they concern the earth's place as a planet, though once so astounding to mankind, are now so commonplace that the educational advantages of a study of the phenomena which brought them to light are frequently overlooked altogether. As in the case of geography, information rather than education appears to be the principal arm of astronomical teaching when it is not carried beyond the elementary stage which it reaches in schools; although, when properly handled, there is no subject better calculated to lead the mind into a scientific groove.

We therefore cordially welcome this attempt to indicate the lines which should be followed for a profitable study of that portion of astronomy which deals with the earth as a planet. The bald statements as to the earth's dimensions and movements, so frequently appearing in the text-books of geography, furnish the sole astronomical knowledge which many acquire; but they are, as Mr. Gregory remarks, quite inadequate. The de-ign of the little book before us, is first to direct the students' attention to observations which they may generally make for themselves, and then to show how such phenomena can be accounted for. Thus,