

native life and temperament which is the special and most valuable characteristic of the Danish explorer's record. Moreover, Mr. Giles had to pass through much desert country, the description of which could have been invested with charm only by a writer of genius. The book, however, shows that he has the courage, resource, and spirit of enterprise which are absolutely essential to an explorer, and here and there his story is lighted up by what he has to say about the few well-watered and pleasant tracts of land through which he passed during his various journeys. His explorations were necessary links in the chain of Australian geographical research, and he has acted wisely in preparing a full and accurate account of them. The value of the work is considerably increased by maps and illustrations.

*New Zealand for the Emigrant, Invalid, and Tourist.*

By John Murray Moore, M.D. (London: Sampson Low and Co., 1890.)

DR. MOORE spent nine years in New Zealand, and not only enjoyed his stay, but derived from it renewed health and vigour. When, therefore, he began to set down the results of his observation and experience, he was in the right mood for the production of a genial and appreciative record; and his book ought to be of considerable service to each of the three classes mentioned on the title-page. The most original parts of the work are two chapters, in one of which he indicates the various climatic zones into which New Zealand as a health-resort is divisible, while in the other he presents a full account of the characters and therapeutic achievements of the principal thermal springs of the North Island. Both of these chapters will be read with interest by medical men, and by invalids who may feel disposed, as the author puts it in the rhetorical style he sometimes affects, to "fly on the wings of steam to the realm of the Southern Cross." He gives a good description of Auckland, "the Naples of New Zealand," and sets forth pleasantly and effectively the impressions produced upon him during excursions to the hot lakes and terraces, and to the west coast Sounds. An instructive chapter is devoted to the volcanic eruption of Mount Tarawera, and Dr. Moore offers much valuable information about self-government in New Zealand, and the settlement of the land; and about social life, public works and institutions, productions and industries. The volume includes several maps, in one of which are shown New Zealand's climatic zones.

LETTERS TO THE EDITOR.

[The Editor does not hold himself responsible for opinions expressed by his correspondents. Neither can he undertake to return, or to correspond with the writers of, rejected manuscripts intended for this or any other part of NATURE. No notice is taken of anonymous communications.]

A Key to the Royal Society Catalogue.

IN his anniversary address to the Royal Society, the President, referring to the great catalogue of scientific papers, used these words:—"The utility of the work would obviously be much increased if it could be furnished with some sort of key, enabling persons to find what had been written on particular subjects. I am not without hopes that this very desirable object may yet be accomplished, notwithstanding the magnitude of any such undertaking." Almost everyone engaged in scientific research must have felt the want of such a key, and will join in the President's hopes. My present object is to suggest a scheme for supplying the want at comparatively little trouble and expense.

A complete subject index, arranged in alphabetical order, would indeed be a great undertaking. The subdivisions being minute, most of the papers would have to be catalogued more than once, and, even if the references were only to the name of the author and the number of the paper in the present catalogue,

the new catalogue would probably be as large as the old. The key that I suggest would be much smaller, and yet in many cases more convenient. The proposal can hardly be novel, but its advantages may not have been fully realized. Divide up the whole of science into some 5000 heads, classified in their natural order under the various branches—pure mathematics, astronomy, physics, chemistry, &c. Under each head place the names of the writers who have treated of the subject, with the dates of their earliest and latest papers thereon. If the heads are skilfully selected it will seldom be necessary to classify a paper under more than one head.

Some idea of the size of the suggested work may be gained from the following considerations. In the eight volumes of the catalogue at present published (1800-63 and 1863-73) are the names of about 57,000 authors, treating the names in the second part as entirely new. Of these, about 30,000 have only one paper each, and the remaining 27,000 average about eight papers each. In view of the tendency of all writers to devote themselves to special subjects, three heads seem a fair allowance for the papers of each of the 27,000 authors. We have thus 111,000 authors' names to be catalogued under 5000 heads, giving an average of about 22 names to each head. Such a list, printed in the style of the present catalogue, but with three columns instead of two in a page, would fill a volume of about 800 pages. Each of the present volumes contains about 1000 pages, and is sold at 20s., which we are told covers the cost of the paper and printing. If the sections devoted to the various sciences—chemistry, geology, &c.—were published separately, the sale would probably be large.

With regard to the use of this list, the labour of looking up 20 or even 50 names in the main catalogue would generally be trifling compared with the unavoidable labour of reading the actual papers when the references had been found. In many cases the dates would show at once that certain authors need not be referred to. Even if we had a complete alphabetical subject index, it would be necessary to think of every possible word by which the particular subject in question might be denoted, so that the classified list, though more troublesome at first, would often prove more satisfactory in the end. With 5000 heads for the whole of science, perhaps 750 might be allotted to physics, and of these, 150 to light. This would admit of such subdivisions as velocity of light, colour sensation, fluorescence, selective reflection, magnetic rotation of the plane of polarization, &c. Those subdivisions should be selected, into which the actual papers most naturally fall, rather than those which seem ideally correct.

The labour of preparing such a list as I propose would be in itself considerable, but, compared with the colossal enterprise which the Royal Society has already carried out, it would be small, and the service to science would be great.

Hotel Buol, Davos.

JAMES C. MCCONNELL.

Osteolepidæ.

THE letter of your correspondent "R. L. + E." somewhat misses the issue raised in the passage to which he refers. In that passage the question was not raised whether or no we are right in making family names from the inflected form of the generic ones, the sole contention being for uniformity in this respect. Thus, if we are right in making *Rhizodontidæ* (and not *Rhizodidæ*) from *Rhizodus*, we clearly ought to have *Osteolepididæ* (and not *Osteolepidæ*) from *Osteolepis*, both these generic names being precisely analogous compounds. If, on the other hand, your correspondent is right in saying that we should regard all such names as adjectival, then we ought at once to abolish family names like *Macropodidæ*, *Dasypodidæ*, *Octodontidæ*, &c., in favour of *Macropodidæ*, *Dasypidæ*, and *Octodidæ*. R. L.

THERE can be no question that "R. L. + E." is himself mistaken in his arbitrary assumption of a rule for the formation of compound adjectives in Greek. Sometimes the lengthened genitive is used as the stem, as in *δισώματος* ("disomatus"); sometimes the short nominative stem is employed, as in *δίστομος* ("distomus"); and sometimes both forms occur side by side, as *φιλαιμάτος* ("philæmatus") and *φιλαιμός* ("philæmus"), the former seeming to be preferred. These are words actually in use in Greek writers, and any lexicon will give plenty of other instances. But his whole argument is beside the point; the question is not whether an adjective is formed from the lengthened genitive, but whether an adjective, formed from a noun