we may remark that it is more than double that which the "Hand-book of British Fungi" included in 1871. One of the many valuable features of the book is, that, wherever possible, measurements are given of the sporidia of the various species, in micromillimetres, in addition to the dimensions of the fungus in its entirety. specially allude to this feature in order to have an opportunity of adding that in our experience we have never met with a more careful or expert hand at microscopical measurement than the author of the present work, an opinion based on hundreds of observations made in concert during a series of years. Yet we must urge that, however useful the micromillimetre undoubtedly is in spore-measurement, it is not so well to use it for larger bodies, such as the cup of a Peziza, when the millimetre or its decimal part would appeal more directly to the eye and experience. 500 μ may be equal to half a millimetre, but the mind more quickly and readily conceives the half millimetre than the 500 μ . We observe a lack of uniformity in dimensions appreciable by the naked eye, which is avoided in measurements under the microscope. instance "1/4 to 1/2 line broad" (p. 249), "cups 200 to 500 μ " (p. 257), "cups 500 to 800 μ " (p. 321). What relation does the "line" bear to the micromillimetre? If half a line is about 500 μ , why use the two units of measurement? Would it not have been better to follow Stevenson in his "British Fungi," and to reduce all measurements to the centimetre, millimetre, and micromillimetre, which would have been much more consistent, and far better than the mysterious "line," and had the merit of being more intelligible to the foreigner than a unit of which he has no knowledge or experience.

It would be useless to assume that the work is absolutely free from errors, but these are mostly of a trivial character, although more numerous than we could have wished. We doubt whether "conidia" would not have been a better term than "spermatia" in such a connection as Calloria fusarioides; and we also doubt whether our author accurately appreciates the value of the terminations in such words as violascens, virescens, fuscescens, nigrescens, &c.

As for the general scope of the work, we may say that each species begins with the diagnosis, then follows its synonymy, especially in British works, references to figures, and published specimens. If these are in the main accurate, as we have no reason to doubt, they will be exceedingly valuable, but manifestly only experience can prove this, and figures are very liable to become displaced or transposed. The habitat succeeds the synonymy, which is followed by special notes or comments; then the derivation of the specific name, now and then hardly successfully interpreted, as for instance on pp. 291, 325, and 369, where ater would have been better rendered "dark" instead of "black"; and finally a list of localities.

At page 358, *Ephelis* is inserted as a genus of Fries's. The same genus is claimed by Saccardo ("Sylloge," iii. p. 691) for a genus of Sphæropsideæ, and we fear that Phillips will have to give way to Saccardo, as both cannot stand, and there is no evidence that Fries regarded his genus as ascigerous.

Forty pages at the end are most useful appendages clear, fresh, and unpretending. She rightly claims for to the work, consisting of a glossary of terms, full titles her subject that it has a practical as well as an intellectual

of the various works quoted, and an exhaustive index. To the last page Mr. Phillips has spared no trouble to make his work as complete and useful as practicable, and we trust that he may be rewarded for his labour of love (for such it undoubtedly has been) by being called upon speedily to correct the verbal errors in preparation for a new edition.

M. C. C.

OUR BOOK SHELF.

Physiography: an Elementary Text-book. By W. Mawer, F.G.S. (London: Marshall and Co., 1888.)

THIS is another addition to the steadily increasing number of text-books adapted to the elementary stage of physiography. The usual plan of dividing a book into chapters is not adhered to, but probably the author is of opinion that he is working according to the true spirit of physiography in drawing no hard and fast lines.

In the majority of cases the author has succeeded in his endeavours to explain everything in the simplest way, but in a few cases his anxiety to do so has led him astray. The following may be quoted as examples, and the obvious shortcomings need no further comment:—

obvious shortcomings need no further comment:—
"Work is the moving of matter" (p. 8); "Energy, when active—when actually doing work—is in the condition called kinetic; when it is passive and only ready to do work, it is patential" (p. 9)

do work, it is potential" (p. 9).

With a few exceptions of this kind, the book is admirably adapted to the syllabus which it is intended to cover. That it is not a mere cram-book is evidenced by the mass of useful information which is given. A good general outline of the nebular hypothesis is presented, in so far as it concerns the history of our globe, and there is also an outline of the classification of animals and plants. The astronomical portion of the syllabus also receives a fair share of attention. One omission, however, has been made, and that is the use and meaning of the term "stress": the word apparently does not occur even once in the whole book; this is rather unfortunate now that modern physicists are beginning to regard gravitation, magnetism, &c., as stresses.

Apart from its use as a class-book, it can be recommended to the general reader as an outline of science.

A. F.

Early Christian Art in Ireland. By Margaret Stokes. (Published for the Committee of Council on Education, by Chapman and Hall, 1887.)

THIS is one of the South Kensington Museum Art Handbooks, and it deserves to rank among the best of the The Christian antiquities of Ireland are in their own way as remarkable as any group of antiquities in the world, and a satisfactory account of them, such as ordinary readers might understand and appreciate, was greatly needed. In undertaking to supply what was wanted, Miss Stokes devoted herself to a task for which she was well equipped by previous study, and she has produced a little book which can hardly fail to excite interest in her subject, and which will be welcomed even by antiquaries to whom the facts of Irish archæology are already well known. A chapter on illumination is followed by one on Irish scribes on the Continent; and then come chapters on metal-work, sculpture, building and architecture, with a chronological table of examples of Irish art the date of which can be approximately fixed. The work is illustrated by upwards of a hundred good woodcuts. In her treatment of all questions relating to early Christian art in Ireland, Miss Stokes displays a thoroughly scientific spirit, and her style has the merit of being always clear, fresh, and unpretending. She rightly claims for