ON SOME OF THE AFFINITIES BETWEEN THE GANOIDEI CHONDROSTEI AND OTHER FISHES.

THE group of Ganoidei Chondrostei has hitherto been regarded as one developed during the latest period of the history of the earth. Its structure is so different from that of other classes of fish that its relationship with them cannot be easily detected. The zotomic and embryological works of the last ten years, and especially the works of Zalensky in Odessa, Parker in London, Davidoff in Munich, and van der Wighe in Holland, have brought together many important facts as to the organization and development of these interesting animals, but the information provided by these writers is either fragmentary or not full enough, and long study and labour will be required before it can be satisfactorily summed up and completed.

During the last two years I have studied the anatomy of Acipenser ruthemus, the commonest representative of the Acipenseridæ to be found here; and although my work is far from being completed I may beg the reader's attention to some interesting facts, which must, I think, be taken into consideration by those who try to settle the question as to the relationship of the Ganoidei Choudrostei to this or to that group of fishes. We may begin with the teeth of these fishes, as an indication

We may begin with the teeth of these fishes, as an indication of great value, which served to distinguish this group from other Ganoidei. Teeth have been found in *Polyolon folium*, a member of the Ganoidei Choodrostei, inhabiting the rivers of North America; it has been thought that they might also be found in *Psephurus gladius* of the River Yang-tse-kiang, in China; and Prof. Zalensky has found them in *Acipenser ruthenus*, at the age of from three weeks to three months. I have had the good fortune to find teeth in a'most all the Ganoidei Chondrostei of the different ages that I have examined, but they were palatine teeth, not mandibular or maxillary teeth. I have discovered and studiel the palatine teeth in a two-monthsold sterlet; in an *Acipenser stellatus* of from seven to eight months old; in a *Scaphir hynchus kuufmannii* from Ama-Daria of a year oll; in a grown *Scaphir hynchus fedschenkoi* from Sir-Daria; and in full-g own *Polyodon folium*.

The relationship of the dimensions of the snout of fish to the age at which teeth can be found is very interesting. The long and flat snouted *Acipenser stella'us* has teeth to a more advanced age than the short and narrow snouted sterlet; the teeth of a widesnouted Scaphirhynchus not attaining a good development but are preserved until maturity; the spade snouted Polyodon preserves its teeth during the whole of its life. In all the other representatives of Acipenser and Scaphirhynchus can be found at any stage traces of palatine teeth in the shape of two similar prominences, which, by their structure, can be distinguished from the surrounding parts of the mouth.

This dependence of a long preservation of teeth on the development of the snout of Ganoidei Chondrostei, together with the geographical distribution of these fish, shows the greater antiquity of the toth-preserving kinds of Scaphirhyachus and Polyodon, than of the Acipenser. Species of one kind, innabiting such widely separated water-reservoirs as the Aral Sea and Mississippi, or the Yang-tse-kiang and the continental rivers of North America, must be representatives of very old forms, remains of former fauna; their having, at a mature age, organs that do not serve them, but which merely remain as an inheritance from former periods, is a confirmation of their supposed antiquity—a conclusion drawn from zoogeographical observations.

The structure aud development of the dorsal shields, which, in the case of Acipenseridæ, spread all along the dorsal surface, from the back edge of the head down to the dorsal surface, from the back edge of the head down to the dorsal sins, may also, I think, help us to discern affinities between Ganoidei Chondrostei and other fish. The first to pay attention to these shields, and to suppose they were an embryonal dorsal fin, was Prof. Zalensky. About the same time Prof. Goethe described a similar fin of a six-weeks-old sterlet, hinting, by the way, that the dorsal shields might be compared with the dorsal rays of a fossil fish, Cœlacanthus. I have succeeded in investigating the dorsal shields of a two-months-old sterlet, and in making a whole series of cross sections, and I have arrived at the conclusion that Zalensky's and Goethe's suppositions are fully established by facts. Indeed, between the shields spreads a membrane, in which can be seen the same horny rays that are generally seen in developing fins of fish; right and left of each dorsal shield there is a muscle, traces of which can also be

found under the shields of grown sterlets. At last, having made cross-sections of oxidized dorsal shields of grown sterlets, a canal could be perceived in them. These canals are particularly well seen in Shaphirhynchus, as an older and a better representative of the original type.

Knowing that D.: Günther in his excellent book on ichthyology places the Acipenseridæ and Cœlacanthi next to the Polypteroidei, I availed myself of the offer of Prof. Bogdanoff, Director of the Moscow Museum, and my teacher, to let me examine the only dry specimen of *Polypterus s negalensis* that was in the Museum. Comparing the numerous small firs spreading all along the back of Polypterus, there being a great and wide front bone-ray, and the others being thin and horny, I became convinced of their complete similarity to the dorsal shields of a young sterlet and to the membranes which connect them.

In the wide bone-ray of Polypterus a ray channel could also be discerned, and the rays of the membrane that spreads behind the wide ray were also horny, like the rays of the membrane of an embryonal fin of a sterlet. This brought me to the conclusion that the ancestors of both the Asipenseridæ and the Polypteroidei had not only a back fin, but also well developed front dorsal fins, with great bone-rays and smiller horny rays, and were, perhaps, nearer to each other than their present descendants.

A study of other organs, especially those in youn; Acipenseridæ and Scaphirhynchus, convinces mo that there is a closer relationship between the Ganoidei Chondrostei and the Polypteroidei than has hitherto been supposed. It is well known that the conus arteriosus of Acipenser is distinguished from the same organ of the Polypterus and Lepidosteus by a much smaller number of transversal rows of valves. In young sterlets I have found, besides developed valves, undeveloped folds lying between the valves. In place of such undeveloped valves, in the case of grown fish, as for example in a specimen of Acipenser huse which I dissected, and which was about 10 feet ling, an unevenness and roughness of surface are noticed. The airbladder, which in Lepidosteus and Polypterus partly resembles the lung of Dipnoi, when attentively studied in the Acipenseridæ does not appear to be so well adapted to its new functions. Its coatings include many ramifications of vessels, the histological structure of which is so similar to the structure of the coatings of the digestive organs that it is much easier to recognize their relative layers than in those of other fishes, where the airbladder is fully adapted to its functions. The ductus pneu-maticus, in young sterlets especially, is very wide; a twomonths-old sterlet has it of almost the same width as an œsophagus, and the food of the smull fish, consisting mostly of forms of Cladocera and Ostracoda, and also of statoblasts of Polyzoa, especially Alcyonella, fills the cavity of the air-bladder like the cavity of the stomach.

Though the brain of these fishes has been well investigated, yet in its organization one finds much that is interesting. For example, the cerebral hemispheres of the prosence phalon of Scaphirhynchus proved to be more similar to the hemispheres of Dipnoi and Lepidosteus and Protopterus, than to those of Aci-penser. The lateral layers are turned upward, so that the upper portion of the hemispheres proved to consist, not of one pallium, as in Acipenser, but also of the coating of the cere-brum. The epiphysis cerebri, being a changeable organ, proved to vary even in the limits of the genus Acipenser. Thus, its front end in Acipenser sturio reached as far as the line connecting the two lower nostrils, forming an angle of nearly 28° with the surface of the brain, whereas in *Acipenser ruthenus* the epiphysis forms an angle of almost 80°, and becomes a much shorter organ. In some sterlets the end of the epiphysis cere ri went through the cranium, and was only covered by the bone shields of the exterior coating. Scaphirhynchus had the epiphysis less changeable and more similar to the epiphysis of other Ganoidei and In other respects the brain of Scaphirhynchus also Dipnoi. proved to have a closer resemblance to the other Ganoidei than to the Acipenser. Thus, its valvala cerebelli and lobi in-feriores are more developed than those of a sterlet, and even remind one of the brain of Amia and its near relatives Teleostei. Notwith tan ling the scantiness of the facts stated here, I

Notwithstanding the scantiness of the facts stated here, I indulge the hope that they may ad I something to the means at our disposal for the settlement of the relationship between Gan sidei Chondrostei and other Ganoidei.

Moscow, 20/8 September 1878.

NICHOLAS ZOGRAFF.

70