

symmetry is either parallel or perpendicular to the plane of polarisation of the light (the analyser being crossed), a few broad, black, curved bands crossing the main black band lying in the plane of symmetry, which are probably portions of the isochromatic curves of a very thin plate. But, on the other hand, some non-iridescent crystals show these bands, and some iridescent crystals do not show them at all. Also the iridescent crystals which reflect D light at moderate incidences show very perfectly the circular band described by Prof. Stokes (NATURE for April 16, 1885, p. 566, par. 9) as sharp black crescents, the horns of which nearly touch each other at the plane of symmetry.

Almost the whole of the ordinary commercial crystallised potassium chlorate seems to consist of macles; so that, in order to get a single individual crystal for examination, I have always had to cut away one component of a twin.

It seemed worth while to try whether other biaxial crystals would, when similarly combined, give similar phenomena. I took a crystal of barite (barium sulphate), the angle included by the optic axes of which is, according to Groth, 63° in air, and cut a plate of it in such a direction that the plane containing the optic axes made an angle of 53° with the normal to the surfaces of the plate. I then cut it in half and cemented one of the portions upon the other in a reversed position. The compound plate thus produced shows the secondary ellipses (which, however, are very nearly circles) in great perfection. I have also made similar compound plates of borax, nitre, and citric acid, and found them to give similar results.

H. G. MADAN

Eton College, August 24

The August Meteors

BETWEEN August 4 and 20, 174 shooting stars were recorded here in $16\frac{1}{2}$ hours of observation. These included about 37 Perseids, chiefly seen on August 5, 8, and 13, but the shower was not well observed owing to cloudy weather. The following are the chief radiant points determined from the paths registered:—

No.	Epoch August	Radiant		Notes
		α	δ	
1 ...	16-20 ...	$5+12$...	Meteors bright, max. Aug. 20.
2 ...	13 ...	$51+58$...	Perseids.
3 ...	4-17 ...	$292+52$...	Near χ Cygni.
4 ...	5-13 ...	296 ± 0	...	On equator near η Aquila.
5 ...	5-20 ...	$317+22$...	Meteors slow and faint.
6 ...	8-17 ...	$318-9$...	Slow, S.W. of β Aquarii.
7 ...	15-17 ...	$328+27$...	Slow, faint.
8 ...	11-15 ...	$329+8$...	Slow, bright, E. of ϵ Pegasi.
9 ...	16-20 ...	345 ± 0	...	Rather swift, bright.
10 ...	8-20 ...	$345+53$...	Very swift, short.
11 ...	16-20 ...	$351+38$...	Swift, E. of σ Andromedæ.

Many other shower centres were less distinctly shown. Nos. 4 and 9 fall exactly on the equator, and were sharply defined.

As to the shower of Perseids on August 10, I believe it was more brilliant than usual, though I made no regular observations on that night this year in consequence of overcast sky. Many meteors were, however, noticed in the clear spaces which now and then occurred, and judging from the frequency of the apparitions the display was a fine one. As to the duration of the shower it was still visible, though very feebly, on August 20, for I registered 2 undoubted Perseids during a watch of $3\frac{1}{4}$ hours, when 31 meteors were recorded.

With regard to the minor displays of this epoch they are more remarkable for their number than for individual intensity. The most active of these radiants, as recently observed, was No. 10 at $345^\circ+53'$, which supplied about 10 meteors, but the rate was less than one per hour, so that it cannot be ascribed much importance.

W. F. DENNING

Bristol, August 25

Disinfection of Sewers

IN the last number of the *Lancet* (August 15, 1885) I have read of the measures taken by the Metropolitan Board of Works for the deodorising and disinfecting of London sewers. Between 30,000 to 40,000 tons of sodium manganate and from 10,000 to 12,000 tons of sulphuric acid are daily poured in the London sewers.

By what experiments has it been ascertained that the quantities of disinfectants used are sufficient, and how is it proved that the sewers have been properly disinfected?

I need not point out the difference between the deodorising and the disinfecting of sewage. The latter may be perfectly deodorised, and yet be quite adapted to favour the vegetation of bacteria.

The oxidising and deodorising action of sodium manganate cannot be sufficient to prevent bacterial life, unless when the salt is present in large quantities. Considering the enormous volume of London sewage, it is not to be believed that even such a vast amount of manganate as 40,000 tons *per diem* would suffice to destroy bacterial life in the sewers.

The adding of sulphuric acid to the manganate must certainly enhance the disinfecting action of the latter. Only, I do not understand why the quantity of sulphuric acid is relatively so small in comparison with the quantity of manganate. I do not see why manganate should be used at all when sulphuric acid, a more powerful and less costly disinfectant, can be used alone.

It is well known to all who occupy themselves with the cultivation and study of bacteria that these micro-organisms do not grow well in acid media, and that the addition of acids, especially of mineral acids, checks their growth completely.

It can be said that the antiseptic action of acids is of household knowledge, for vinegar is constantly used in the preservation of animal and vegetable products. That mineral acids have a greater disinfecting action than vegetable acids is also well known, unfortunately even by dealers in vinegar, who give durability to this condiment by the addition of a tiny proportion of sulphuric acid.

It is probable that pathogenic bacteria, even more than the bacteria of ordinary fermentations and of putrefaction, are in need of alkaline media, and therefore are more sensitive to the action of acids. In the animal body bacteria invade those fluids and tissues where the alkaline reaction prevails; and it is proved that the germs of disease are easily spread by milk, a liquid generally alkaline. Moreover, it has been proved by experiments on some pathogenic bacteria that gastric juice, although of so slight acidity, easily, and sometimes effectively, checks their development.

Sewage contains all the elements necessary for the nourishment of bacteria, and its alkaline reaction renders it very favourable to their growth and preservation. Disinfection means the destruction of existing bacteria and preventing the development of newly-sown bacterial germs. Therefore I am persuaded that the cheapest and more simple method for effectually disinfecting sewage is to render its reaction *permanently acid* by the addition of a sufficient quantity of mineral acid.

There are of course disinfectants far superior to mineral acids in antibacterial energy. But they are generally costly substances, that cannot be applied to the disinfection of such an enormous quantity of matter as the sewage of a town. As for cheap disinfectants, such as ferrous sulphate, ferric chloride, sodium manganate, their action is inferior to that of mineral acids. Especially of the two former it can be said that their deodorising action is due to their saline constitution, and their disinfecting action to their acidity reaction.

The great difficulty in extensive disinfections is to ascertain if the disinfection has been complete—*i.e.* if the substance disinfected has been rendered unfit for the development and preservation of bacteria. Even laboratory experiments, to ascertain the *minimum* of disinfectants necessary for the destroying of bacteria, are not easily conclusive. But, in using acids, the disinfection can be considered complete when a permanent acid reaction is obtained.

I do not believe the quantities of sulphuric acid poured in the London sewers sufficient to give a permanent acid reaction to the sewage. Disinfection must be done completely, or not at all: there are no half measures in disinfection. Therefore I maintain that the London disinfection is useless, and the sewage remains likely to become the culture fluid of infectious germs, unless the sewage is rendered permanently acid. All the sodium manganate added to a sewage that remains alkaline, gets decomposed; the manganese precipitates as sulphide, or is deposited in combination or mixture with the organic sediment. The sewage will thus be cleared and deodorised for a while; but it still contains in solution all the elements necessary for the nourishment of Bacteria, and is still favourable to their growth and preservation. The disinfecting action of sodium manganate would avail only if large quantities of the salt remained dissolved in the sewage, over and above of the quantities decomposed in deodorising and clearing the putrid fluid.

It might be objected that, even if mineral acids stop the