

some twenty years since, the Cinchonas have had showered upon them books and pamphlets innumerable, and where we find such voluminous writings, it would be strange indeed were there not matter of varied quality, and some that could be dispensed with altogether. Mr. Owen's book is very complete in the several branches of Cinchona literature, facts gathered from various authentic sources, such as the works of Dr. King, Dr. Bidie, Mr. McIvor, and the reports of the Indian and Javan Governments, all of which are acknowledged by the author.

The book is divided into six parts, the first part being devoted to the physiology of plants, gathered, as we are told, from Church and Dyer's "How Crops Grow." The second part treats of the alkaloids, the species and varieties, to which a large space is given, and the next part on the choice of land, felling, clearing, weeding, planting, &c. In the fourth part manuring and harvesting are considered; and in parts 5 and 6 the diseases to which Cinchonas are liable, and the estimates of Cinchona planting are digested. In all these matters careful details are given.

The book no doubt will be very useful to Cinchona planters, more particularly the practical part. Its greatest fault, perhaps, is the extent of the book, numbering 203 pages, too voluminous for many planters to wade through; but on the other hand it appeals also to those who, though not actual planters, are interested in the progress of the Cinchona culture.

Kallos, a Treatise on the Scientific Culture of Personal Beauty and the Cure of Ugliness. By a Fellow of the Royal College of Surgeons. (London: Simpkin Marshall and Co., 1883.)

THE author desires his book to be taken seriously. He shows that good looks and manners have a commercial value, since those are more likely to succeed in obtaining the prizes of life who can make favourable first impressions than those who cannot. The first start greatly depends on patronage, and obscure youths who have won wealth and position have almost always been helped by their good looks, good address, and good voice. These are aids of considerable importance to every candidate, whether it be for a place behind a counter or for the suffrages of a constituency. The author considers from a medical point of view how ill-favoured individuals may palliate their defects. He treats ugliness as a disease, classifying its various forms and indicating such remedies as he can. His classes are coarseness, thinness, obesity, vulgarity, wrinkles, defects of circulation, of complexion, and of the hair. Then he takes the features in detail, eyes, nose, mouth, &c. His recipes are not numerous. We learn incidentally that what is sold as lime juice and glycerine for the hair contains no glycerine at all, and that a very popular dressing is castor oil and rum. This would have harmonised with the toilette of the Syrian beauty of old times, whose "garments smelt of myrrh, aloes, and cassia," a very apothecary-like fragrance. The book does not contain practical advice of much novelty, but its interest chiefly lies in directing attention to much that we already know but are too apt to forget; such as that dissipation, gross feeding, and indolent ways create ugliness of various forms. We know there are bad schools where the boys are slouching, ill complexioned, furtive in expression, and generally ugly, and we also know that there are good schools where, owing to healthy habits and keen and varied interests, the boys are bright, vivacious, and attractive. Similar differences due to different habits of life exist in men; they are pre-eminently shown by the good effect of drill on a plough-boy or street loungeur. We may be sure that those who habitually cultivate a healthy mind in a healthy body, and who study how to please, cannot fail to add to the total happiness of the world and to secure for themselves a better chance of succeeding in it than their more negligent rivals.

The Nat Basket. (Printed for the Editress and Publisher, Mrs. Eleanor Mason, at the Albion Press, Rangoon, Burmah.)

WE hope that the subscribers to this extraordinary publication are content to give to it their money and nothing more. It is designed, we are told, to show the natives that there is no contradiction between Scripture and science, but if they believe that what is presented to them in the *Basket* is science they are much to be pitied. Such a medley of misstatements, absurd etymologies, and false astronomy was never before met with out of Bedlam. If this is the stuff that is taught the Burmese by our missionaries, the sooner the latter return home the better.

LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

[The Editor does not hold himself responsible for opinions expressed by his correspondents. Neither can he undertake to return, or to correspond with the writers of, rejected manuscripts, No notice is taken of anonymous communications.]

[The Editor urgently requests correspondents to keep their letters as short as possible. The pressure on his space is so great that it is impossible otherwise to insure the appearance even of communications containing interesting and novel facts.]

Deductive Biology

IN the few remarks which I communicated to this journal (vol. xxvii. p. 554) under the above heading, I protested against the deductive method used in a purely literary manner as a mischievous way of attacking biological problems. Mr. William White objects that if I am right the deductive method must be excluded altogether "as a false and dangerous element of philosophy." I do not myself see that this necessarily follows. The pith of what I said simply amounts to this—the biological sciences not having reached the deductive stage, it is not possible to enlarge our knowledge in them by mere ratiocination. This is I apprehend no more than is laid down by Mr. Mill himself. Writing of the conditions under which the deductive method is applicable, he expressly says that without one indispensable adjunct "all the results it can give have little other value than that of guesswork" ("System of Logic," 4th ed. vol. i. p. 498). The indispensable adjunct is verification, which requires the substitution of the work-table for the desk. When the former has put the stamp of confirmation on the speculations elaborated at the latter we get a scientific result which commands attention. Without this confirmation I am still of opinion that the deductive result is only "a literary performance." It is worth noting that the able writer whose papers and method I took the liberty of criticising so far admitted the validity of what I said, that he promised to have some experiments made which would go a considerable way towards demolishing or sustaining the results at which he had so far arrived only deductively.

As it would be a rather arduous undertaking to follow Mr. White over all the other ground covered by his letter, I will only refer to one point. He asks whether "comparative embryology" is not "founded entirely upon the method of deductive analogy." I am certainly myself under the impression that it would be difficult to pitch upon any area in science in which the knowledge we possess has been more conspicuously gained by persistent investigation or one in which generalisations have more often crumbled under the pressure of fresh results of observation. It is the section-cutter, and not the de-k, which has won the victories in this field. At the present moment two of the most skilled of our younger embryologists (with funds furnished by the Royal Society) are on the point of starting, one for the Cape, to study the embryology of *Peripatus*, the other to make a similar attempt in Australia on the earliest phases of the life-history of *Ornithorhynchus* and *Ceratodus*. They would hardly perhaps engage in so laborious a quest if it would answer equally well to stay at home with a ream of paper, and, say—without any disrespect to the eminent author—a copy of the writings of Mr. Herbert Spencer as "a base of fundamental truth" to start from in the analogical deduction of the embryology of these organisms.

W. T. THISELTON DYER

YOUR correspondent, Mr. William White, has not, it seems to me, a correct appreciation of the words "deductive" and