As to the maximum depth at which they are found, Prof. Forel has taken them in Lake Leman as deep as 100 and even 150 metres; at the greatest depths only *Diaptomus*.

150 metres; at the greatest depths only *Diaptomus*. The optic nerve of those animals probably suffers from too bright light, and so they descend whenever the light of sun or moon becomes too strong; still, they require some light to seek their prey. In their migrations they traverse a considerable thickness of water. What is the limit of light in freshwater lakes? The author showed in 1877, that the transparence varied with the season; it is much greater in winter than in summer, Under the most favourable conditions, a bright object sinking in the water of Lake Leman disappears at about 16 or 17m. depth. Paper sensitised with chloride of silver gave as light-limit in Lake Leman 45 m. in summer, and 100 m. in winter. Asper, using more sensitive plates (prepared with bromide of silver emulsion), found the *actinic* rays still active in the Lake of Zurich at 90 m. and more. All this, however, does not determine the limit of absolute obscurity for the retina, and especially for the optic nerve of lower animals.

With regard to the origin of this pelagic fauna, Prof. Forel confidently rejects the idea of local differentiation of litttoral species in each lake, producing the pelagic fauna of the lake. The very remarkable character of generality, the almost absolute identity of the pelagic entomostraca in all European lakes point to dissemination and mixture.

How has this dissemination occurred? Active migration from one lake to another is inadmissible, considering obstacles and power of locomotion. On the other hand, a passive migration in the state of winter eggs, attached to the feathers of birds of passage, ducks, grebes, gulls, &c., explains the transport sufficiently. Pavesi has argued against this common origin and mode of dissemination, on account of irregularity in the pelagic population of different Italian lakes, certain species being absent in certain lakes, while they are represented in neighbouring lakes. But this irregularity seems to the author to correspond perfectly with the accidental and fortuitous character of the mode of dissemination referred to. "If this mode of transport be aduritted, the differentiation of pelagic species is no longer necessarily localised in the lake in which we find the animals, any more than in the present geological epoch. This fact is very important for explanation of the pelagic fauna of certain lakes the origin of which is comparatively modern ; for our Swiss lakes, the glacial epoch forms an absolute limit which prevents our supposing a local differentiation of ancient tertiary species, and their transformation into our present species; the origin of the pelagic faunas of certain Italian lakes of volcanic nature, is still more modern. But since we are no longer limited to a local differentiation of autochthonous species, we find more time and more space for this process of differentiation.

Prof. Forel believes the cause of differentiation of pelagic fauna will be found in a combination of two facts, viz., the daily migrations of entomostraca, and the regular local breezes on large lakes. There are two such breezes in calm weather, one blowing from the land at night, the other from the water by day. Crepuscular animals of the shore region, which come to swim on the surface at night, are carried out into the lake by the surface-current of the land breeze. By day the light sends them down, and thus they escape the surface current of the breeze that would bring them back to the shore. Carried each night further out, they become finally relegated to the pelagic region. Differentiation by natural selection then operates, and after a few generations, there remain only the admirably transparent animals and excellent swimmers we know. This differentiation once effected, the pelagic species is transported by the migratory birds from one country to another, from one lake to another, where it is multiplied, if the conditions are favourable. Thus we may find, even in lakes too small to possess an alternation of breezes, true pelagic Entomostraca that have been differentiated in other larger lakes by the play of such breezes. The differentiation of most pelagic species may thus be easily accounted for.

There are two species, however, the author points out, whose origin is not so explained; these are the most beautiful and interesting of pelagic Entomostraca: *Leptodora hyalina* and *Bythatrephes longimanus*. These two Cladocera have no known parentage in the freshwater species forming either the shore fauna of lakes or the marsh or river fauna. We must, with Pavesi, seek a marine origin for them. *Bythatrephes* probably descended from a common ancestor with Podon, its nearest parent, and the *Leptodora* from a primitive Daphnis.

How did the passage from salt to fresh water take place? Pavesi supposes closure of a fjord and gradual transformation of the lake water in consequence. Prof. Forel further suggests as possible, passive migration and successive transport to lagoons less and less salt; and there may have been other ways. We have not the elements for settling the question. "But the adaptation to fresh water once accomplished, the dissemination of these forms of marine origin has certainly taken place like that of other pelagic fresh-water forms, and those two species have so been transported into lakes which have never had direct communication with the sea."

There are evident analogies, Prof. Forel remarks in closing, between the lacustrian and the marine pelagic fauna; the differences appear chiefly in relative size and proportions. In the sea all is on a large scale; in lakes, on a small; the number of species and of individuals, the size, the extent of the migrations, the area of extension. But, with this exception, the general laws are the same in the two analogous faunas.

UNIVERSITY AND EDUCATIONAL INTELLIGENCE

FOUR chairs in the University College, Dundee, have been filed up as follows: --Mr. Steggall, Fielden Lecturer in Mathematics, in Owens College, Manchester, was appointed Professor of Mathematics: Mr. Carnelly, Professor of Chemistry in Firth College, Sheffield, was appointed Professor of Chemistry; Mr. Ewing, Professor of Engineering in the University of Tokio, Japan, was appointed to the Chair of Engineering; and Mr. Thomas Gilray, M.A., Head Master in English at Glasgow Academy, to the Chair of English Literature and Modern History. The salary guaranteed to each professor is 500.

- THE University of Zürich will, at the end of the current winter term, celebrate the fiftieth anniversary of its foundation.

SCIENTIFIC SERIALS

The Journal of Anatomy and Physiology, vol. xvii. Part I, October, 1882, contains — On the lymphatics of the walls of the larger blood-vessels, and lymphatics, by Drs. George and Elizabeth Hoggan.—On micrococcus poisoning, by Dr. A. Ogston. —On omphalo-mesenteric remains in mammals, by Dr. W. Allen.—On the action of saline cathartics, by Dr. M. Hay.—On a hitherto undescribed fracture of the Astragalus, by Dr. F. J. Shepherd.—On a secondary astragalus in the human foot, by Prof. W. Turner.—Note on the rectus abdominalis et sternalis muscle, by Dr. G. E. Dobson.—On a case of ectopia vesice, &c., in a newly-born infant, by Dr. F. Ogston.—On nickel and cobalt; their physiological action on the animal organism. Part i., Toxicology, by Dr. T. P. A. Stuart.—A kerato-thyro-hyoidmuscle as a variation in human anatomy, by S. G. Shattock.— On Cesalpino and Harvey, by Prof. Humphry.

The Proceedings of the Linnean Society of New South Wales, vol. vii. part I (Sydney, 1882), contains: Wm. A. Haswell, on the structure of the paired fins of Ceratodus (plate 1).—Notes on the anatomy of Ædirhinus insolitus and Turacana crassirostris. —Wm. Macleay, on Port Jackson Pleuronectidæ, with descriptions of new species; on the fishes of Palmer River; on an Alpine species of Galaxias.—E. P. Ramsay, the zoology of the Solomons, Part IV.; on a new species of Mus from Ugi Island; contributions to Australasian oology (plates 3-5); on the zoology of Lord Howes Island; on Apogon guntheri of Castelnau; on some Fijian bird's eggs.—Alex. Morton, notes of a cruise to the Solomons.—Prof. F. W. Hutton, note on Fossarina petterdi; list of New Zealand freshwater shells.—Rev. Dr. Wools, the plants of New South Wales, No. 8.—Rev. J. E. T. Woods, botanical notes on Queensland; on a new species of Solomopneustes, and a new variety of Hipponoe variegata; on fossil plants of Queensland.—J. Brazier, fluviatile shells of New South Wales; a list of Cypræidæ of the Victorian coast.—Wm. Mitten, on some Polynesian mosses.—Rev. C. Kalchbrenner, new Australian fungi.—Dr. J. C. Cox, on the edible oysters of Australia.

Journal and Proceedings of the Royal Society of New South Wales, vol. 15, 1882, contains: On the climate of Mackay, by H. L. Roth.—Notes of a journey on the Darling, by W. E. Abbott.—The astronomy of the Australian aborigines, by Rev. P. MacPherson.—On the spectrum of the recent comet; on