one private communication; and by the courtesy of Mr. Scott I have been permitted to see all the evidence received at the Meteorological Office on the day preceding the great storm of

The painful conclusion is forced upon my mind that some of the difficulties which lie in the way of a surer and safer forecast of dangerous storms might be removed by a simple increase of expenditure upon the machinery available for meteorological

Mr. Ley writes: "On the whole, to the minds of many students of the subject it will appear rather strange that the Office, with the materials at its disposal [the italics are used by Mr. Ley] does not more often fail to furnish satisfactory warnings of the more serious of our gales. It is easy to say, in view of occasional failures, 'the system itself must be at fault;' it is still easier to reply 'Better it!' If the country cares enough for the welfare of 'fishermen and others' to do so, let it provide the necessary funds for a system of night telegrams, and, if possible, for a system of oceanic stations. If it does not, it must be content with things as they are."

Again, a private correspondent writes to me:-"The weather cannot be treated as though it went to bed at night and tucked itself in under a blanket of cumulus. It unfortunately does nothing of the kind; and while the director and his subordinates are quietly sleeping, atmospheric changes are going on with a rapidity which a constant influx of telegrams must afford the only means of meeting. Yet still in spite of all these we go on, satisfied with having only two reports sent in every twenty-four hours. The result is that every now and then a disastrous failure such as that of Tuesday must occur."

It must seem, therefore, that without the important assistance of a new class of observations, dependent upon the motions of the higher clouds, much might be done by such an extension of an existing system as common sense seems to demand. present we appear to be acting upon a method somewhat parallel to that which would be adopted upon our railways, if the companies should send their signalmen to bed at 8 p.m., but with the night-traffic all the same. Collisions of the first magnitude would probably abound under such a system.

I write not as a meteorologist, but as a citizen. Surely if the position of things be such as has been described, and if an important improvement in the forecasting of storms could be ensured by the expenditure of a somewhat larger sum of public money, there could be no difficulty in bringing the matter in such a way under the notice of the Government as to secure the necessary funds. H. CARLISLE

Rose Castle, November 13

The Comet

It may perhaps interest you to know that a most brilliant comet has been visible here for about three weeks. I saw it for the first time on the morning of September 29; at 4.40 a.m. of that day it bore from a house on the ridge overlooking Victoria E. 1 N. true (nearly), the nucleus being then about three degrees above the horizon; an imaginary line drawn from Rigel through Sirius met the nucleus.

The approximate length of the tail was nearly equal to the distance between Rigel and Betilguex, and its greatest breadth nearly equal to the distance between the two outer stars in the belt of Orion. The tail appeared brighter on the southern than

on the northern side.

The following particulars, which may also prove of interest, were communicated to me by Capt. Metcalf, of the White Star

Company's steam ship Oceanic.

"Monday, September 25—observed a large comet rise about 4.30 a.m., position at the time lat. 30° 18' N., long. 128° 40' E. September 26, at 5h. 17m. a.m., apparent time at ship (September 25, 9h. 01m. 13s. G.M.T.), altitude of comet, 7° 20', distance from Sirius 63° 21', tail extending nearly in a line from sun to Orion's belt., lat. 27° 52' N., long. 124° 10' E. September 27 (at 9h. 02m. G.M.T. September 26), comet's distance tember 27 (at 9n. 02m. G.M. I. September 20), comet's distance from Sirius 62° 32′. At 5h. 32 a.m., altitude of comet 13° 22′, bearing true S. 80° E., lat. 25° 16′ N., long. 119° 56′ E., tail about 7° to 8° long. Comet rose bearing S. 86° E. (true). September 28—distance from Sirius 61° 49′. October 3 (in the Victoria Harbour)—distance of comet from Sirius 58° 43′."

As the comet is still visible I may possibly be able to give you some further information about it by next mail.

The following extract is from the China Mail of the 7th

The following extract is from the China Mail of the 7th inst.:

A Melbourne despatch, dated September 16, says—"The comet is now extremely bright, being visible through the telescope at noon, a circumstance unprecedented in the experience of the officers of the observation." of the officers of the observatory.

If it is not trespassing too much on your time I should be very much obliged if you would kindly inform me what observations would be useful (which could be taken by an ordinary sextant) should we be visited by another comet.

In conclusion I may add that for the past week the weather has been unusually hot, and although the barometer has fluc-

tuated considerably, no atmospheric disturbance has taken place.

According to M. Dechevrens, S.J., Director of the Zi-ka-wei Observatory, no less than twenty typhoons visited the China and Japan seas last year, but up to date of the present year only three J. P. McEwen, R.N., have been reported.

Hong Kong, October 9

Assistant Harbour Master

I THINK there must have been some mistake about Major J. Herschel's observation, as recorded in NATURE, vol. xxvii. p. 5. As other observers have shown, the comet appears quite bright in moonlight. On the morning following his observation I was perhaps as much astonished as he was, only in the opposite direction; for I was very much surprised to find then (the 31st ult., at 5 a.m.), that the tail was longer than on any other occasion when I have seen it, viz. 33°. The following observations will also show the brightness of the comet in moonlight and twilight. On the 26th, at 5.25 a.m., nine hours before full moon, and in brightish twilight, the tail was visible through fog and thin cloud 23° long. This morning, at 6.9 a.m., in bright twilight, it was very faint, but still above 18° long. I think Major Herschel cannot have looked low enough down, or his view must have been otherwise obstracted. otherwise obstructed.

The wisp, or horn, that he represents on the 23rd, was certainly a very striking feature at that time. Though not exactly like the drawing in vol. xxvi. p. 622, it was nevertheless very definite, and part of it was brighter than the adjacent part of the comet between it and the head. There appeared that morning to be also two other knots of light, much less conspicuous—one almost a continuation of the "horn," following it, and a little further north; the other, in the *n* branch of the "fish-tail."

T. W. BACKHOUSE Sunderland, November 7

P.S. November 8.—The "horn" was this morning still a marked feature of the comet, though much less definite than formerly. Its origin (at the point where it begins from the northern branch) is still brighter than the neighbouring portions of the tail for a considerable distance in all directions. It occurs to me that this comet offers a very favourable opportunity of testing theories of the motions of tails; its features are so definite that if careful observations are, and have been, made of the positions of different points, they must throw much light on the subject.

T. W. B. subject.

On the 10th inst., at 5 a.m., the length of the nucleus was 110", its breadth 12", and its position angle 112° 5. The length seems fast increasing judging from previous measures. The tail was still about 15° long, but the first glimpse of daylight GEO. M. SEABROKE completely masked it.

Temple Observatory, Rugby, November 14

THE great comet was again a magnificent object in our south-eastern sky, at 5.10 this morning. The startlingly sharp defini-tion of October 23 had given place to a softer outline; but the apparent length of the tail was at least as great, and the nucleus surprisingly bright, with a distinct scintillation, even in comparison with two near stars. Major J. Herschel's experience of finding himself gazing at the comet without seeing it, in a clear sky, differs from mine, as I have on two occasions, since October 23, seen it perfectly visible, though wan, in bright moonlight, and when the sky at that elevation above the horizon vas not free from haze. On October 23 I saw the strong apparent shadow spoken of by one of your correspondents, but here it was much blacker below the bright convex line of the tail than between the cleft at the end of clear definition. It did not seem to me the effect of contrast merely; but like that blackness through which the stars shone darkly in the recent southward display of aurora borealis. HENRY CECIL

Bregner, Bournemouth, November 10