places and monuments illustrative of various countries. Altogether the work is a really good specimen of its kind. Another volume will bring the story down to the present time.

LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

- [The Editor does not hold himself responsible for opinions expressed by his correspondents. Neither can he undertake to return, or to correspond with the writers of, rejected manuscripts. No notice is taken of anonymous communications.
- The Editor urgently requests correspondents to keep their letters as short as possible. The pressure on his space is so great that it is impossible otherwise to ensure the appearance even of communications containing interesting and novel facts.]

The Tide Predicter

I SEE in your last number (p. 467), among the editorial notes, the following :—"Mr. Roberts of the *Nautical Almanac* office is authorised, by resolution of Council of the Secretary of State for India, dated August 7, 1880, to make it generally known that his Tide Predicter may be employed for the preparation of Tide Tables for any port for which the requisite data are forthcoming."

I think it right to call your attention to the fact that the Tide Predicter is in no sense of Mr. Roberts's invention or design. He was employed in 1873 by me, as chairman of the British Association Tidal Committee, to calculate the number of teeth in the wheels of the first Tide Predicter (now the property of the British Association, permanently deposited in South Kensington Museum), and to superintend its construction in London by Messrs. A. Légé and Co. The second Tide Predicter was made for the India Office, according to my advice, by Messrs. A. Légé and Co. of London, under the superintendence of Mr. Roberts. In respect to the plan of the wheelwork, which is wholly due to Messrs. Légé, it is a copy of the first instrument. It is an improvement on the first instrument in having twenty tidal components instead of ten, and in having the well-known rigorous method of the slide (Thomson and Tait's "Natural Philosophy," § 55, or "Elements of Natural Philosophy," § 72) for producing simple harmonic motion in a straight line from circular motion, instead of the approximate method of pulleys centred on crankpins, which for simplicity and economy I used in the first instrument. WILLIAM THOMSON

The University, Glasgow, March 19

The Magnetic Storm of 1880, August

THE Astronomer-Royal has handed to me a copy of the photographic record of the variations of magnetic horizontal force as registered at Toronto during the disturbed period of August 11 to 14 last. The records of declination and vertical force were imperfect and have not been received.

The comparison of the Greenwich and Zi-ka-wei (China) curves for the same period (NATURE, vol. xxiii, p. 33) indicated that the commencement and end of disturbance (especially the commencement) occurred nearly simultaneously at both places, and this circumstance is now further corroborated by the Toronto horizontal force curve.

In what follows, the reference throughout is to Greenwich time.

The disturbance at Toronto commenced on August II at 10.20 a.m. At Greenwich (NATURE, vol. xxiii, p. 33) it commenced also at 10.20 a.m., and at Zi-ka-wei at 10.16 a.m.; at Melbourne (NATURE, vol. xxii. p. 558) it commenced at 10.33 a.m.

10.33 a.m. Disturbance ceases at Toronto at about midnight of August 11, and at Greenwich and Zi-ka-wei also at about or near midnight, but it dies out more or less gradually, not allowing the limit of disturbance to be always very precisely fixed.

Sudden motion is again shown (after some hours of quiet) at Toronto on August 12 at 11.40 a.m.; also at Greenwich at 11.40 a.m.; some minutes sooner at Zi-ka-wei; and at Melbourne at about 11.38 a.m.

Disturbance again dies out more or less gradually at Toronto on August 14 about 7 a.m.; at Greenwich and Zi-ka-wei at about 6 a.m.; and at Melbourne at about 7 a.m.

The commencement of disturbance in the above instances is definite, and the agreement in time, considering the widelyseparated geographical position of the four places concerned, is noteworthy. The cessation of disturbance is less definite, as has been already remarked, but even here the discordance in time is not very wide. WILLIAM ELLIS

Royal Observatory, Greenwich, March 12

Prehistoric Europe

I MUST adhere to my decision not to play the part of Secutor any further to a glacial Retiarius in the arena of NATURE. If his net be strong enough to carry the Upper Pleiocene and the Pleistocene mammalia of Europe, as well as Palæolithic man and the Neolithic skull of Olmo, I wish him joy of them. If, further, he will kindly give me the proof that the mammalia of Auvergne, considered Upper Pleiocene by Falconer, Gaudry, Gervais, and other leading palæontologists, are, as he terms them, "a hash up," they shall be properly served and *iced*, if necessary, in my second edition.

I feel however that it is only right for me to notice the new gladiator who springs to the aid of his friend. The antiquity of man in the Victoria Cave is solely due, as it appears to me, to the *perfervidum ingenium* (I speak in all respect) of Mr. Tiddeman. It was first based on a fragment of fibula which ultimately turned out to belong to a bear. Then it was shifted to the cuts on two small bones, which were exhibited and discussed at the British Association, at the Anthropological Institute, and at the Geological Society of London. The bones are recent, and belong to sheep or goat, two domestic animals introduced into Britain in the Neolithic age. The cuts have been probably made by a metallic edge. Numerous bones of the same animals, in the same condition and hacked in the same way, occurred in the Romano-British refuse-heap on the top of the clay, and fre-quently slipped down over the working face to the bottom of the cutting before I resigned the charge of the exploration to Mr. Tiddeman after nearly four years' work. There were frequent Under these circumstances the reader can slips afterwards. decide whether it is more probable that the mutton-bones in question did slip down from a higher level to be picked out at the bottom, or that there is evidence of "interglacial" (J. Geikie) or "preglacial" (Tiddeman) man possessed of domestic animals and probably using edged tools of metal. The muttonbones seem to me to prove so much on the latter hypothesis, that they may be thrown aside without further thought.

The reindeer (bones of feet) was found in 1872 along with fox, rhinoceros, elephant, hyæna, and bison in the cave at the lower horizon, which afterwards was proved to contain the hippopota-mus. It was omitted in Mr. Tiddeman's lists up to 1876, when I called his attention to the fact. Then he wrote that the fact that it was so found was "noteworthy," and that "these remarks [his generalisations] were made solely on the evidence which passed through your present reporter's hands since he undertook to conduct the exploration of the cavern" (Brit. Ass. Rep., 1876, p. 118). Surely it is too late, in his letter to NATURE (March 10. 1881), to recall this on the grounds that these remains were discovered in a shaft, that my exploration was not carried on so accurately as his own, and further, that because he did not find the reindeer in the lower strata that I did not. It is not for me to compare my own experience in cave-hunting with his, or to point out the value of negative evidence. The exploration while under my charge was not carried on by shafts only. When the hyæna-layer was reached it was followed in the deep cutting visited by the British Association in 1873. The presence of reindeer in the hyæna-layer renders Mr. Tiddeman's views untenable which are based on its assumed absence. Most of these points have been so fully argued out before the above-mentioned societies, that I am sorry to be obliged to repeat them in this letter. W. BOYD DAWKINS

Owens College, March 11

Oceanic Phenomenon

H.M.'s surveying ship *Alert* was recently engaged in searching for a "shoal" which was reported as existing some 200 miles to the southward of Tongatabu, in the South Pacific. In the course of the survey—which I may add tended to disprove the existence of any such shoal—it was observed that for several days the seasurface exhibited large discoloured patches, due to the presence of a fluffy substance of a dull brown colour, and resembling in consistency the vegetable scum commonly seen on the stagant water of ditches. This matter floated on the surface in irregular streaky patches, and also in finely-divided particles impregnated